

The Response of the Committee on Earth Observation Satellites (CEOS) to the Global Climate Observing System Implementation Plan 2010 (GCOS IP-10)

Developed by CEOS and submitted to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) Subsidiary Body on Scientific and Technological Advice (SBSTA)

24 September 2012

CEOS Committee on Earth Observation Satellites



Table of Contents

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	5
1. INTRODUCTION.....	8
1.1 Purpose of the Report	8
1.2 Background	8
1.3 The Essential Role of Satellites in a Climate Observing System	9
2. THE GLOBAL CLIMATE OBSERVING SYSTEM IMPLEMENTATION PLAN 2010 (GCOS-138)	12
2.1 Introduction	12
2.2 Background	12
2.3 Purpose	12
2.4 Strategic Approach	13
2.5 Overview of Recommendations.....	13
3. SATELLITE SUPPLEMENT TO THE GCOS IMPLEMENTATION PLAN	15
3.1 Introduction	15
3.2 Purpose	15
3.3 Overview of Requirements	16
4. APPROACH TO PREPARATION OF THE CEOS RESPONSE TO GCOS IP-10	19
4.1 Introduction	19
4.2 Review of the CEOS Response to GCOS IP-04.....	19
4.3 The CEOS Response: GCOS IP-10 vs. GCOS IP-04	20
4.4 The Process.....	20
5. PLANNED CEOS ACTIONS IN RESPONSE TO GCOS IP-10	23
5.1 Introduction	23
5.2 Role of CEOS Working Group on Climate	23
5.3 The Atmosphere	24
5.3.1 Introduction.....	24
5.3.2 Precipitation	24
5.3.2.1 GCOS/CEOS Action A8; SS: A.2	24
5.3.3 Surface Wind Speed and Direction	28
5.3.3.1 GCOS/CEOS Action A11; SS: A.1	28
5.3.4 Upper-air Wind Speed and Direction; SS: A.4.....	29
5.3.5 Climate Calibration Mission.....	30

5.3.5.1	GCOS/CEOS Action A19; SS: N/A	31
5.3.6	Upper Air Temperature	33
5.3.6.1	GCOS/CEOS Action A20; SS: A.3.2	33
5.3.6.2	GCOS/CEOS Action A21; SS: A.3.1	35
5.3.7	Cloud Properties	36
5.3.7.1	GCOS/CEOS Action A23; SS: A.6. to A.6.6 (cloud amount, cloud top pressure, cloud top temperature, cloud optical depth, cloud water path, and cloud effective particle radius)	37
5.3.7.2	GCOS/CEOS Action A24; SS: A.6.1toA.6.6 (cloud amount, cloud top pressure, cloud top temperature, cloud optical depth, cloud water path, and cloud effective particle radius)	38
5.3.8	Earth Radiation Budget.....	39
5.3.8.1	GCOS/CEOS Action A25; SS: A.7.2 (solar irradiance) and A.7.1 (Earth radiation budget).....	40
5.3.9	Atmospheric Composition	42
5.3.9.1	GCOS/CEOS Action A26; SS: A.9.3 (ozone), A.5.2 (water vapour), A.8.1 (CO ₂ and CH ₄)	43
5.3.9.2	GCOS/CEOS Action A27; A.11.1	45
5.3.10	Carbon Dioxide and Methane, and other GHGs	45
5.3.10.1	GCOS/CEOS Action A28; SS A.8.1	45
5.3.10.2	GCOS/CEOS Action A29; SS A.8.1	46
5.3.11	Ozone.....	48
5.3.11.1	GCOS/CEOS Action 32; SS: A.9.1 (total column ozone), A.9.2 (tropospheric ozone), and A.9.3 (ozone profiles)	48
5.3.12	Aerosol Properties	50
5.3.12.1	GCOS/CEOS Action A33; A.10.1 to A.10.4 (aerosol optical depth, aerosol single scattering albedo, aerosol layer height, and aerosol extinction profiles)	51
5.3.12.2	GCOS/CEOS Action A34; SS: A.11.1	51
5.4	The Oceans	53
5.4.1	Introduction.....	53
5.4.2	Oceanic Domain – Surface: General	53
5.4.2.1	GCOS/CEOS Action O4; SS O.1	53
5.4.3	Sea Surface Temperature	53
5.4.3.1	GCOS/CEOS Action O7; SS: O.1	54
5.4.4	Sea Level	56
5.4.4.1	GCOS/CEOS Action O10; SS: O.3	57
5.4.5	Sea Surface Salinity.....	58
5.4.5.1	GCOS/CEOS Action O12; SS: O.2	58
5.4.6	Ocean Colour	59
5.4.6.1	GCOS/CEOS Action O15; SS: O.6.1	59
5.4.7	Sea Ice.....	60
5.4.7.1	GCOS/CEOS Action O19; SS: O.5	61
5.4.8	Oceanic Domain – Sub-surface: General	61
5.4.8.1	GCOS/CEOS Action O28; SS: N/A	61
5.4.9	Oceanic Domain – Scientific and Technological Challenges: Global-scale Observation Capabilities	62
5.4.9.1	GCOS/CEOS Action O41; SS N/A.....	62
5.5	The Land	62
5.5.1	Introduction.....	62
5.5.2	Monitoring of Terrestrial Biodiversity and Habitats at Key Ecosystem Sites.....	63
5.5.2.1	GCOS/CEOS Action T5; SS: T.12.....	63
5.5.3	Lakes.....	63
5.5.3.1	GCOS/CEOS Action T8; SS: T.1.1 and T.1.2.....	64
5.5.3.2	GCOS/CEOS Action T10; SS: N/A	65
5.5.4	Soil Moisture.....	66
5.5.4.1	GCOS/CEOS Action T13; SS: T.11.....	67
5.5.4.2	GCOS/CEOS Action T14; SS: T.11.....	68

5.5.5	Snow Cover	69
5.5.5.1	GCOS/CEOS Action T16; SS: T.2	69
5.5.6	Ice Sheets.....	70
5.5.6.1	GCOS/CEOS Action T20; SS: T.4.....	70
5.5.7	Permafrost.....	71
5.5.7.1	GCOS/CEOS Action T23; SS: T.12.....	72
5.5.8	Albedo	74
5.5.8.1	GCOS/CEOS Action T24; SS: T.5.....	74
5.5.8.2	GCOS/CEOS Action T25; SS: T.5.....	77
5.5.9	Land Cover.....	78
5.5.9.1	GCOS/CEOS Action T27; SS: T.6.1 (Moderate-resolution maps of land-cover type) and T.6.2 (High-resolution maps of land-cover type).....	79
5.5.9.2	GCOS/CEOS Action T28; SS: T.12.....	80
5.5.10	Fraction of Absorbed Photosynthetically Active Radiation (fAPAR)	81
5.5.10.1	GCOS/CEOS Action T29; SS: T.7.....	81
5.5.11	Leaf Area Index (LAI).....	83
5.5.11.1	GCOS/CEOS Action T30; SS: T.7.....	83
5.5.11.2	GCOS/CEOS Action T31; SS: T.7 (fAPAR) and T.8 (LAI)	84
5.5.12	Above-ground Biomass	85
5.5.12.1	GCOS/CEOS Action T32; SS: N/A	86
5.5.13	Soil Carbon.....	86
5.5.13.1	GCOS/CEOS Action T34; SS: N/A	86
5.5.14	Fire Disturbance.....	87
5.5.14.1	GCOS/CEOS Action T35; SS: T.10.....	87
5.5.14.2	GCOS/CEOS Action T36; SS: T.10.....	87
5.5.14.3	GCOS/CEOS Action T37; SS: T.10.....	88
5.5.14.4	GCOS/CEOS Action T39; SS: T10.....	88
5.6	Cross-cutting Actions	91
5.6.1	Continuity of Satellite Systems and Data Products	91
5.6.1.1	GCOS/CEOS Action C8; SS: N/A	91
5.6.2	Distributed Data Services	92
5.6.2.1	GCOS/CEOS Action C21; SS: N/A	92
SUMMARY.....	93	
APPENDIX 1 GCOS GUIDELINE FOR SATELLITE-BASED DATASETS AND PRODUCTS ..	94	
APPENDIX 2 CLIMATE ACTIONS FOR SPACE-BASED OBSERVATIONS.....	96	
APPENDIX 3: ACRONYMS	103	

Executive Summary

At the sixteenth session of the Conference of the Parties (COP) to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) in 2010, the 33rd session of the Subsidiary Body for Scientific and Technological Advice (SBSTA) invited the Committee on Earth Observation Satellites (CEOS) to provide, at SBSTA 37 at the COP in November 2012, an updated report on progress made on major achievements in relevant areas, such as in relation to responding to space-related needs of the updated Global Climate Observing System (GCOS) Implementation Plan of 2010¹. This report represents the CEOS response to the requirements for space-based observations in GCOS IP-10 and its Satellite Supplement².

CEOS responded to the previous GCOS IP³ in its 2006 report⁴. CEOS prepared and submitted an updated report⁵ at SBSTA's 29th session in 2008. The SBSTA requested another update for its 33rd session in 2010, which CEOS prepared and submitted⁶. In addition to the implementation of 59 climate actions plans, a major initiative – CEOS Virtual Constellations – resulted in part from these activities. These virtual, space-based Constellations provide critical information on changes in land cover, precipitation, atmospheric composition, global sea level, ocean surface vector wind, ocean colour, and sea surface temperature. A CEOS Virtual Constellation is a set of space and ground segment capabilities operating together in a coordinated manner, in effect a virtual system that overlaps in coverage in order to meet a combined and common set of Earth Observation requirements. The individual satellites and ground segments can belong to a single or to multiple owners.

Earth observation satellites provide a vital means of obtaining measurements of the climate system from a global perspective and comparing the behaviour of different parts of the globe for many of the Essential Climate Variables (ECVs) listed in GCOS IP-10. Their global nature distinguishes satellite observations from ground-based and airborne measurements that are more limited in spatial coverage, but nevertheless necessary to validate information derived from space and provide additional data, especially on variables not accessible from space.

Satellite climate data records that meet the GCOS requirements enable: climate monitoring, studies of trends and variability, climate research, assimilation into numerical weather prediction models to produce long-term reanalyses of the atmosphere and the Earth's surface, provision of

¹ Implementation Plan for the Global Observing System for Climate in Support of the UNFCCC [2010 Update] (GCOS IP-10).

² Systematic Observation Requirements for Satellite-Based Data Products for Climate 2011 Update: Supplemental details to the satellite-based component of GCOS-IP10.

³ 2004 Global Climate Observing System (GCOS) Implementation Plan [IP]

⁴ Satellite Observation of the Climate System: The Committee on Earth Observation Satellites [CEOS] Response to the 2004 Global Climate Observing System [GCOS] Implementation Plan [IP]

⁵ Coordinated Response from Space Agencies Involved in Global Observations to the Needs Expressed in the Global Climate Observing System [GCOS] Implementation Plan: Update on Climate Actions

⁶ 2010 Progress Report: Coordinated Response from Parties that Support Space Agencies Involved in Global Observations to the Needs Expressed in the Global Climate Observing System [GCOS] Implementation Plan of 2004

boundary conditions for and verification of climate models, climate impacts, and, ultimately, decision-making in many societal sectors including agriculture, water resource and coastal management, forestry, transportation, and insurance applications.

Reliable space-based observations can provide the authoritative records of climate change needed to empower governments and the private sector to make informed decisions on prevention, mitigation, and adaptation strategies.

GCOS IP-10 specifies the Actions required to implement a comprehensive observing system for the ECVs. The Plan includes some 138 specific Actions to be undertaken, mostly over the period 2011-2015, across the atmospheric, oceanic, and terrestrial domains. Of these, 47 involve space-based observations.

The Satellite Supplement to GCOS IP-10 provides additional technical detail related to satellite-based observations for each of the ECVs. It details the specific satellite data records that should be sustained in accordance with the GCOS Guidelines for Satellite-based Datasets and Products (Appendix 1). In particular, for each ECV, the Satellite Supplement provides requirements for horizontal, vertical and temporal resolutions, accuracy, and stability. In addition, information is presented on benefits of meeting the requirements, rationale for the requirements, the requirements for satellite instruments and satellite datasets, calibration, validation and data archiving needs, adequacy/inadequacy of current datasets, immediate actions, partnerships and international coordination, links to the GCOS Implementation Plan, and other applications.

The current CEOS response is a significant step forward in defining a program to carry out the space-based contributions to the GCOS Implementation Plan. It represents a blueprint comprised of detailed plans for all of the ECVs accessible from space. For the actions specified for each ECV in GCOS IP-10 and its Satellite Supplement, CEOS has made an unprecedented effort to develop a roadmap with specificity, actionability, responsibility, and desired outcomes in terms of quantitative metrics. The plans for each action include the lead and cooperating CEOS Member Agencies responsible for carrying out the action, descriptions of the specific deliverables, and activities planned for implementation over the next five years. It was prepared by the scientific and technical experts who, with the teams they have assembled, will be responsible for leading the implementation of the action plans.

Going beyond its response to the previous GCOS IP (GCOS IP-04), CEOS has made a concerted attempt to address the quantitative target metrics established by GCOS IP-10 for each ECV's accuracy, stability, and spatial resolutions; this CEOS response includes these target metrics and the metrics that CEOS plans to achieve for each ECV. The specification of metrics places the entire enterprise on a much firmer foundation.

Achieving the metrics laid out in this response represents a significant challenge to the CEOS community and will require a degree of coordination and collaboration never achieved before. CEOS, at its 24th Plenary meeting in 2010, responded to this challenge by establishing a new Working Group on Climate (WGClimate), to coordinate and encourage collaborative activities among the world's space agencies in the area of climate monitoring. The continued development and implementation of the CEOS Virtual Constellations are vital to success. Close collaboration among CEOS, the GCOS program, World Climate Research Programme (WCRP) satellite observational and data programs, and national climate programs is also vital.

Compiling the detailed action plans since the December 2011 release of the update to the Satellite Supplement represented a significant undertaking. In some cases, action plans are still incomplete. The process and metrics defined provide a useful mechanism for updating and monitoring the actions. Even if the current action plans are not exhaustively completed, they can be updated over time as more information becomes available. This report should be considered a living, working document.

1. Introduction

1.1 Purpose of the Report

1.2 Background

The Global Climate Observing System (GCOS), a joint undertaking of the World Meteorological Organization (WMO), the Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission (IOC) of the United Nations Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Organization (UNESCO), the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) and the International Council for Science (ICSU), was established in 1992 to ensure that the observations and information needed to address climate-related issues are obtained and made available to all potential users.

At the 7th Conference of the Parties (COP 7) to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) in 2001, the UNFCCC Subsidiary Body on Scientific and Technological Advice (SBSTA) invited GCOS to consider an integrated (satellite and *in situ*) approach, including the exploitation of new and emerging methods of observation to the measurement of climate change. At COP 9 in 2003, GCOS was invited to develop a phased 5-10 year implementation plan. COP 10 in 2004 invited Parties with space agencies to have those space agencies provide a coordinated response to the recommendations in the 2004 implementation plan. At COP 11 in 2005, the United States, Japan, and other Parties supported the offer of the Committee on Earth Observation Satellites (CEOS) to provide a coordinated response to the recommendations in the GCOS Implementation Plan. At COP 12 in 2006, the SBSTA:

- Welcomed the CEOS report⁷ requested by COP 10 and describing the coordinated response by space agencies involved in Earth observations to the needs expressed in the GCOS Implementation Plan;
- Invited Parties that support space agencies to enable those agencies to implement the actions identified and to continue responding in a coordinated manner through CEOS;
- Encouraged the continued partnership between GCOS and CEOS.

COP 13 in 2007 commended CEOS on the progress made in 2007 in implementing actions for space agencies identified in the 2004 GCOS Implementation Plan and invited CEOS to provide an updated progress report at SBSTA 29 in 2008. CEOS prepared and submitted its report⁸ at

⁷ Satellite Observation of the Climate System: The Committee on Earth Observation Satellites [CEOS] Response to the 2004 Global Climate Observing System [GCOS] Implementation Plan [IP],

⁸ Coordinated Response from Space Agencies Involved in Global Observations to the Needs Expressed in the Global Climate Observing System [GCOS] Implementation Plan: Update on Climate Actions

SBSTA's 29th session in 2008. The SBSTA requested another update for its 33rd session in 2010, which CEOS prepared and submitted⁹.

COP 15 expressed its appreciation to CEOS for its coordinated response, on behalf of Parties that support space agencies involved in global observations, to the needs expressed in the GCOS implementation plan and invited GCOS to update its implementation plan, taking into account emerging needs in climate observation, in particular those relating to adaptation activities. In line with the conclusions of SBSTA 33, CEOS has been invited to provide, by SBSTA 37 at the COP 18 in November 2012, an updated report on progress made on major achievements in relevant areas (such as in relation to responding to space-related needs of the GCOS IP). This document provides CEOS's response.

1.3 The Essential Role of Satellites in a Climate Observing System

Earth observation satellites provide a vital means of obtaining observations of the climate system from a global perspective and comparing the behaviour of different parts of the globe for many of the Essential Climate Variables. Their global nature distinguishes satellite observations from ground-based and airborne measurements that are more limited in spatial coverage, but nevertheless necessary to constrain and validate information derived from space, and provide data on variables not accessible from space.

Satellite climate data records that meet the GCOS requirements enable climate monitoring, studies of trends and variability, climate research, assimilation into numerical weather prediction models to produce long-term reanalyses of the atmosphere and surface, provision of boundary conditions for and verification of climate models, climate impacts, and, ultimately, decision-making in many societal sectors including agriculture, water resource and coastal management, forestry, transportation, and insurance applications.

Reliable space-based observations can provide the authoritative, irrefutable records of climate change needed to empower governments and the private sector to make informed decisions on prevention, mitigation, and adaptation strategies.

The conventional (non-satellite) observational systems contributing to the GCOS include atmospheric, oceanic, and terrestrial components. The atmospheric component includes the GCOS Surface Network (GSN), which provides a global baseline of the surface climate in which we live; the global baseline GCOS upper air network (GUAN), and the GCOS Reference Upper-Air Network (GRUAN), which measures temperature, humidity, and winds aloft; the World

⁹ 2010 Progress Report: Coordinated Response from Parties that Support Space Agencies Involved in Global Observations to the Needs Expressed in the Global Climate Observing System [GCOS] Implementation Plan of 2004

Meteorological Organization (WMO) Global Atmosphere Watch (GAW) global baseline ozone networks and the WMO GAW Global Atmospheric CO₂ and CH₄ Monitoring Networks.

The surface ocean network provides information about the patterns of ocean surface temperature, pressure, winds, salinity, sea level, waves and sea ice that are important both to the global climate and its regional distribution. Its main systems are: (a) the global baseline network of tide gauges; (b) an enhanced drifting buoy array; (c) an enhanced Tropical Moored Buoy network; (d) an enhanced Voluntary Observing Ships Climatology (VOSCLim) network; and (e) a globally-distributed reference mooring network. The sub-surface ocean network provides critical information on ocean climate variability and change and includes: (a) the Argo profiling float array; (b) the systematic sampling of the global ocean full-depth water column; (c) the Ship-of-Opportunity Expendable Bathythermograph (XBT) trans-oceanic sections; and (d) the Tropical Moored Buoy and reference mooring networks.

The conventional climate observing system in the Terrestrial Domain remains the least well-developed component of the global system. The Global Terrestrial Observing System (GTOS), a program for observations, modeling, and analysis of terrestrial ecosystems to support sustainable development, is leading the effort to expand land observations for climate applications. Current networks monitor River Discharge (GTN-R), Glaciers (GTN-G), Hydrology (GTN-H), Lake Level/Area (GTN-L), and Permafrost (GTN-P). In addition, Global Observation of Forest and Land Cover Dynamics (GOFC-GOLD) provides ongoing space-based and *in situ* observations of forests and other vegetation cover, and Coastal-GTOS (C-GTOS) focuses on global and regional change in coastal areas.

While the conventional observing networks provide critical climate measurements at a number of points around the globe, and observe some ECVs currently unobservable from space at required accuracies, (*e.g.*, surface air temperature), they have limitations when it comes to observing global climate change. For the most part, the atmospheric observations are limited to the land areas of the Earth and are highly concentrated in the major population centers of the developed countries. Ocean areas – 70% of the globe – are largely under-sampled in terms of the atmospheric measurements. And there are also large gaps in the coverage of surface and sub-surface ocean measurements. *In situ* terrestrial observation networks also have large gaps. Constructing a reliable picture of global climate change from an observing system that has such large voids is an impossible task.

Satellites, and complimentary *in situ* networks, provide the global coverage needed to observe and document world-wide climate change. A single radiometer on a polar orbiting satellite observes the entire Earth on a daily basis. Instruments on geostationary satellites monitor the diurnal cycle of the disk of Earth below them. Together the polar and geostationary environmental satellites maintain a constant watch on the entire globe. However, as noted above, in many cases *in situ* measurements are needed to validate satellite observations.

In the satellite-based Earth observations community, Research to Operations (R2O) has been historically used to describe the transfer of organizational responsibility (and usually funding responsibility) for a particular sensor from a research agency to an operational agency. The

climate community is finding the concept, or at least implementation of the concept, to be lacking. Climate record processing requires dedicated expert understanding of new and legacy climate sensors, as well as sustained support activities of both research and operational agencies. Research Agencies have invested in the creation of consistent time series satellite data sets over decades. They also have made significant investments in calibration laboratories, airborne sensors, processing facilities, and ground networks that support calibration and validation activities for satellite programs. These contributions to climate science will be a vital element of a collaborative climate observation and processing architecture as operational climate services emerge in national agencies.

2. The Global Climate Observing System Implementation Plan 2010 (GCOS-138)

2.1 Introduction

This section summarizes the background and purpose of the 2010 Update of the GCOS IP and presents an overview of its recommendations. The plan proposes implementation Actions that are both currently technically and economically feasible for systematic observation on global scales and have a high impact on UNFCCC and the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) requirements for climate change detection, attribution, prediction, impact assessment, and adaptation.

2.2 Background

The GCOS Steering Committee and Secretariat, in consultation with the GCOS sponsors WMO, IOC/UNESCO, UNEP and ICSU, the sponsors of other contributing observing systems, and a wide cross-section of climate and observing system experts prepared the GCOS IP-10¹⁰, to respond to a request by Parties to the UNFCCC at the 30th session of the UNFCCC Subsidiary Body on Scientific and Technological Advice (SBSTA) in June 2009 (cf. Appendix 1 of the full Plan), and in accord with the general guidance provided by the UNFCCC Conference of the Parties (COP) 9 in its request for the IP-04 (Decision 11/CP.9).

This 2010 edition of the *Implementation Plan for the Global Observing System for Climate in Support of the UNFCCC* (GCOS IP-10) replaces a similarly titled Plan (GCOS IP-04) which was published in 2004. Its purpose is to provide an updated set of Actions required to implement and maintain a comprehensive global observing system for climate that will address the commitments of the Parties under Articles 4 and 5 of the UNFCCC and support their needs for climate observations in fulfillment of the objectives of the Convention. This revised Plan updates the Actions in the IP-04, taking account of recent progress in science and technology, the increased focus on adaptation, enhanced efforts to optimize mitigation measures, and the need for improved prediction and projection of climate change. It focuses on the timeframe 2010-2015.

2.3 Purpose

The GCOS Implementation Plan 2010, if fully implemented by the Parties, both individually and collectively, will provide those global observations of the Essential Climate Variables and their associated products to assist the Parties in meeting their responsibilities under Articles 4 and 5 of the UNFCCC. In addition, although the Plan does *not* include changing needs for limited duration observations in research studies, it will provide most of the essential observations

¹⁰ Implementation Plan for the Global Observing System for Climate in Support of the UNFCCC (2010 Update) (GCOS-138)

required by the World Climate Research Programme (WCRP) and IPCC. Specifically the proposed system would provide information to:

- Characterize the state of the global climate system and its variability;
- Monitor the forcing of the climate system, including both natural and anthropogenic contributions;
- Support the attribution of the causes of climate change;
- Support the prediction of global climate change;
- Enable projection of global climate change information down to regional and local scales; and
- Ensure the availability of information important in impact assessment and adaptation, and for the assessment of risk and vulnerability, including the characterization of extreme events.

2.4 Strategic Approach

As part of its strategic approach, GCOS IP-10 lists the following criteria for including items for implementation:

- Clearly significant and citable benefits toward meeting the needs stemming from Articles 4 and 5 of the UNFCCC for specific climate observations in support of impact assessment, prediction and attribution of climate change, and the amelioration of, and adaptation to, projected future changes;
- Feasibility of an observation, as determined by the current availability of an observation or by knowledge of how to make an observation with acceptable accuracy, stability, and resolution in both space and time;
- Ability to specify a tractable set of implementing Actions (where “tractable” implies that the nature of the Action can be clearly articulated, that the technology and systems exist to take the Action, and that an Agent for Implementation well-positioned to either take the Action or to ensure that it is taken can be specified); and
- Cost effectiveness – the proposed Action is economically justified.

2.5 Overview of Recommendations

GCOS IP-10 expresses its recommendations in terms of a list of general needs followed by specifications of detailed climate actions to meet the requirements of a trustworthy Global Climate Observing System. GCOS IP-10 covers in-situ as well as satellite observations; a Satellite Supplement to GCOS IP-10, expanding on the requirements for satellite observations and data products, is summarized in the next section of this Response. The climate Actions are organized around the Essential Climate Variables (ECVs) in each of the climate system domains (Atmospheric, Oceanic, and Terrestrial) (see Table 1). It is these variables for which international exchange is required for both current and historical observations. In addition, GCOS IP-10 includes a list of overarching/cross-cutting actions that pertain to all of the ECVs. For each ECV, one or more climate actions are specified for implementation.

Table 1: Essential Climate Variables that are both currently feasible for global implementation and have a high impact on UNFCCC requirements

Domain	Essential Climate Variables
Atmospheric (over land, sea and ice)	<p>Surface: Air temperature, Wind speed and direction, Water vapour, Pressure, Precipitation, Surface radiation budget.</p> <p>Upper-air: Temperature, Wind speed and direction, Water vapour, Cloud properties, Earth radiation budget (including solar irradiance).</p> <p>Composition: Carbon dioxide, Methane, and other long-lived greenhouse gases, Ozone and Aerosol, supported by their precursors</p>
Oceanic	<p>Surface: Sea-surface temperature, Sea-surface salinity, Sea level, Sea state, Sea ice, Surface current, Ocean colour, Carbon dioxide partial pressure, Ocean acidity, Phytoplankton.</p> <p>Sub-surface: Temperature, Salinity, Current, Nutrients, Carbon dioxide partial pressure, Ocean acidity, Oxygen, Tracers.</p>
Terrestrial	River discharge, Water use, Groundwater, Lakes, Snow cover, Glaciers and ice caps, Ice sheets, Permafrost, Albedo, Land cover (including vegetation type), Fraction of absorbed photosynthetically active radiation (FAPAR), Leaf area index (LAI), Above-ground biomass, Soil carbon, Fire disturbance, Soil moisture.

For each ECV, GCOS IP-10 presents: the required climate **Action**, **Who** would be responsible for implementing the Action, the **Time Frame** for carrying out the Action, a **Performance Indicator** to measure performance on the Action, and estimated **Annual Cost Implications** for implementing the Action. For example, for the ECV precipitation, one of the actions listed is:

ECV – Precipitation

Action A8

<p>Action: Ensure continuity of satellite precipitation products.</p> <p>Who: Space agencies.</p> <p>Time-Frame: Continuous.</p> <p>Performance Indicator: Long-term homogeneous satellite-based global precipitation products.</p> <p>Annual Cost Implications: 10-30M US\$ (for generation of climate products, assuming missions funded for other operational purposes) (Mainly by Annex-I Parties).</p>

In addition, the importance of the ECVs to climate knowledge is explained and the status of current observing systems for implementing the actions is discussed.

GCOS IP-10 lists a total of 138 climate implementation actions for *in situ* observations, satellite observations, and cross-cutting applications. The next section of this response summarizes the Satellite supplement to GCOS IP-10, which expands on the requirements for implementing the satellite related actions.

3. Satellite Supplement to the GCOS Implementation Plan

3.1 Introduction

The GCOS Steering Committee has also prepared a special Satellite Supplement¹¹. This section summarizes the purpose of the Satellite Supplement and presents an overview of its requirements for satellite observations and data products.

3.2 Purpose

GCOS IP-10 recognizes the importance of deriving products and data records of physical variables from the measurements made by satellites. The Satellite Supplement adds details to the GCOS IP-10 related to the generation of these products and the associated datasets. It is intended mainly to assist Parties that support Earth observation from space to respond to the requirements of the GCOS IP-10. It also has relevance to all Parties that access satellite data records and/or use derived products for climate applications. Furthermore, a wide range of Parties can contribute the *in situ* data needed for the calibration of satellite instruments, for the validation of satellite data and derived products, and for incorporation of satellite data into integrated products, such as those provided by reanalysis.

The Satellite Supplement provides additional technical detail to GCOS IP-10 related to satellite-based observations for each of the Essential Climate Variables (ECVs) in each of the climate system domains (Atmospheric, Oceanic, and Terrestrial) listed in Table 1. In particular, it details the specific satellite data records that should be sustained in accordance with the GCOS Guideline for Satellite-based Datasets and Products (Appendix 1), as well as other important supplemental satellite observations that are needed on occasion or at regular intervals.

Table 2: ECVs for which satellite observations make a significant contribution

Domain	Essential Climate Variables
Atmospheric (over land, sea and ice)	Surface wind speed and direction; precipitation; upper-air temperature; upper-air wind speed and direction; water vapour; cloud properties; Earth radiation budget (including solar irradiance); carbon dioxide; methane and other long-lived greenhouse gases; and ozone and aerosol properties, supported by their precursors.
Oceanic	Sea-surface temperature; sea-surface salinity; sea level; sea state; sea ice; ocean colour.
Terrestrial	Lakes; snow cover; glaciers and ice caps; ice sheets; albedo; land cover (including vegetation type); fraction of Absorbed Photosynthetically Active Radiation (FAPAR); Leaf Area Index (LAI); above-ground biomass; fire disturbance; soil moisture.

¹¹ Systematic Observation Requirements for Satellite-Based Data Products for Climate 2011 Update: Supplemental details to the satellite-based component of the “Implementation Plan for the Global Observing System for Climate in Support of the UNFCCC [2010 Update].”

3.3 Overview of Requirements

The Satellite Supplement lists the following general high priority critical issues to be addressed by both the space agencies and the other implementation agents:

- Continuity and improvement of key satellite and *in situ* networks;
- Generation of high-quality global datasets for the ECVs;
- Improvement of access to basic satellite datasets and high-quality global products;
- Enhancement of the participation of least-developed countries and small island developing states; and
- Strengthening of national and international infrastructures.

The specifications given in the Satellite Supplement directly address these priorities

Tables 3 through 5 provide an overview of the requirements for products and sustained satellite data records that are detailed in the Satellite Supplement. For each ECV, two types of information products are required: 1) Fundamental Climate Data Records (FCDRs), which represent the basic satellite observations (e.g., radiances, backscatter); and 2) Global Products requiring Satellite Observations, or Thematic Climate Data Records (TCDRs), which are the climate variables derived from the FCDRs. The last column in each table assigns a product number to each ECV along with its links to GCOS IP-10 Actions (in parentheses). In addition to individual ECV requirements, the Satellite Supplement also includes cross-cutting requirements that apply to all of the ECVs.

Table 3: Overview of Products – Atmosphere

ECV	Global Products requiring Satellite Observations	Fundamental Climate Data Records required for Product Generation (from past, current, and future missions)	Product Numbers (IP-10 Reference Actions)
Surface Wind Speed and Direction	Surface wind retrievals	Passive microwave radiances and radar backscatter	A.1 (A11)
Precipitation	Estimates of liquid and solid precipitation, derived from specific instruments and provided by composite products	Passive microwave radiances Geostationary VIS/NIR/IR radiances	A.2 (A6, A8, A9, A10)
Upper-air Temperature	Upper-air temperature retrievals Temperature of deep atmospheric layers	Passive microwave and IR radiances GNSS radio occultation bending angles	A.3.1 A.3.2 (A20, A21)
Upper-air Wind Speed and Direction	Upper-air wind retrievals	VIS/IR imager radiances Doppler wind lidar	A.4 (A11)
Water Vapour	Total column water vapour Tropospheric and lower-stratospheric profiles of water vapour Upper tropospheric humidity	Passive microwave radiances; UV/VIS imager radiances; IR and microwave radiances; Limb soundings	A.5.1 A.5.2 A.5.3 (A7, A21, A22, A26)
Cloud Properties	Cloud amount, top pressure and temperature, optical depth, water path and effective particle radius	VIS/IR imager radiances IR and microwave radiances, lidar	A.6.1 A.6.2 A.6.3 A.6.4 A.6.5 A.6.6 (A23, A24)
Earth Radiation Budget	Earth radiation budget (top-of-atmosphere and surface)	Broadband radiances Spectrally-resolved solar irradiances	A.7.1 A.7.2

	Total and spectrally-resolved solar irradiance	Geostationary multispectral imager radiances	(A14, A25)
Carbon Dioxide, Methane and other GHGs	Retrievals of greenhouse gases, such as CO ₂ and CH ₄ , of sufficient quality to estimate regional sources and sinks	NIR/IR radiances	A.8.1 (A26, A28, A29)
Ozone	Total column ozone Tropospheric ozone Ozone profiles from upper troposphere to mesosphere	UV/VIS and IR/microwave radiances, from nadir and limb sounding	A.9.1 A.9.2 A.9.3 (A26, A32)
Aerosol Properties	Aerosol optical depth Aerosol single scattering albedo Aerosol layer height Aerosol extinction profiles from the troposphere to at least 35km	UV/VIS/NIR/SWIR and TIR radiances UV/VIS/IR limb sounding (scatter, emission, occultation) Lidar profiling	A.10.1 A.10.2 A.10.3 A.10.4 (A33)
Precursors supporting the Ozone and Aerosol ECVs	Retrievals of precursors for aerosols and ozone such as NO ₂ , SO ₂ , HCHO and CO	UV/VIS/NIR/SWIR and TIR radiances UV/VIS/IR limb sounding (scatter, emission, occultation) Lidar profiling	A.11.1 (A26, A27, A34)

Table 4: Overview of Products – Oceans

ECV	Global Products requiring Satellite Observations	Fundamental Climate Data Records required for Product Generation (from past, current and future missions)	Product Numbers (IP-10 Reference Actions)
Sea-surface Temperature	Integrated sea-surface temperature analyses based on satellite and <i>in situ</i> data records	Single and multi-view IR and microwave imager radiances	O.1 (O4, O7, O8)
Sea-surface Salinity	Datasets for research on identification of changes in sea-surface salinity	Microwave radiances	O.2 (O12)
Sea Level	Sea level global mean and regional variability	Altimetry	O.3 (O10)
Sea State	Wave height, supported by other measures of sea state (wave direction, wavelength, time period)	Altimetry	O.4 (O16)
Sea Ice	Sea-ice concentration/extent/edge, supported by sea-ice thickness and sea-ice drift	Passive and active microwave and visible imager radiances, supported by Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) altimetry	O.5 (O18, O19, O20)
Ocean Colour	Ocean colour radiometry – water leaving radiance Oceanic chlorophyll-a concentration, derived from ocean colour radiometry	Multispectral VIS imager radiances	O.6.1, O.6.2 (O15, O23)

Table 5: Overview of Products – Terrestrial

ECV or supporting variables	Global Products requiring Satellite Observations	Fundamental Climate Data Records required for Product Generation (from past, current and future missions)	Product Numbers (IP-10 Reference Actions)
Lakes	Lake levels and areas of lakes in the Global Terrestrial Network for Lakes (GTN-L)	VIS/NIR imager radiances, and radar imager radiances Altimetry	T.1.1 T.1.2 (T8)
Snow Cover	Snow areal extent, supplemented by snow water equivalent	Moderate-resolution VIS/NIR/IR and passive microwave imager radiances	T.2 (T16)
Glaciers and Ice Caps	2D vector outlines of glaciers and ice caps (delineating glacier area), supplemented by digital elevation models for drainage divides and topographic parameters	High-resolution VIS/NIR/SWIR optical imager radiances, supplemented by microwave InSAR and along-track optical stereo imaging	T.3.1 T.3.2 (T17)
Ice Sheets	Ice-sheet elevation changes, supplemented by fields of ice velocity and ice-mass change	Radar and laser altimetry, supplemented by SAR, gravity	T.4 (T20)
Albedo	Reflectance anisotropy (BRDF), black-sky and white-sky albedo	Multispectral and multiangular imager radiances	T.5 (T3, T24, T25)
Land Cover	Moderate-resolution maps of land-cover type High-resolution maps of land-cover type, for the detection of land-cover change	Moderate-resolution multispectral VIS/NIR imager radiances High-resolution multispectral VIS/NIR imager radiances, supplemented by radar	T.6.1 T.6.2 (T26, T27, T28)
FAPAR	Maps of the Fraction of Absorbed Photosynthetically Active Radiation	VIS/NIR multispectral imager radiances	T.7 (T3, T31, T29)
LAI	Maps of Leaf Area Index	VIS/NIR multispectral imager radiances	T.8 (T3, T29, T30, T31)
Biomass	Regional and global above-ground forest biomass	Long-wavelength radar and lidar	T.9 (T32)
Fire Disturbance	Maps of burnt area, supplemented by active-fire maps and fire-radiative power	VIS/NIR/SWIR/TIR moderate-resolution multispectral imager radiances	T.10 (T35, T36, T37, T38, T39)
Soil Moisture	Research towards global near-surface soil-moisture map (up to 10cm soil depth)	Active and passive microwave	T.11 (T13, T14)
Land-surface Temperature	Land-surface temperature records to support generation of land ECVs	High-resolution IR radiances from geostationary and polar-orbiting satellites; Microwave radiances from polar-orbiting satellites	T.12 (T5, T13,

For each ECV, the Satellite Supplement explains the importance of the ECV for climate knowledge and available observing systems, and then provides requirements for horizontal, vertical, and temporal resolutions, accuracy, and stability. In addition, information is presented on the following: benefits of meeting the requirements, rationale for the requirements, the requirements for satellite instruments and satellite datasets, calibration, validation and data archiving needs, adequacy/inadequacy of current datasets, immediate actions, partnerships and international coordination, links to the GCOS Implementation Plan, and other applications.

4. Approach to Preparation of the CEOS Response to GCOS IP-10

4.1 Introduction

This section reviews the CEOS response to the previous GCOS implementation plan (GCOS IP-04), points out the key differences in approach between the present response and the previous one, and then describes the process that was used to create this response to GCOS IP-10.

4.2 Review of the CEOS Response to GCOS IP-04

In 2006, CEOS prepared a response¹² to the GCOS IP-04 requirements for satellite observations and data. CEOS evaluated the adequacy of the current observations system to meet these requirements, and developed an action plan to address inadequacies. The CEOS report identified 59 actions that covered key aspects of climate-related observations of the atmosphere, ocean and land. The report emphasized the importance of satellite measurements of the highest reliability to provide the long-term records needed to monitor climate change. In 2007, CEOS Members initiated work in close coordination with GCOS and the Group on Earth Observations (GEO), and with other relevant fora, such as the Coordination Group for Meteorological Satellites (CGMS) and the WMO, to implement the climate actions. To this end, CEOS assembled international teams, representing all concerned CEOS Agencies to implement the Climate Action Plan.

The SBSTA invited CEOS to report on progress made in its efforts at its 33rd session in November-December 2010. CEOS prepared and submitted its progress report¹³ in October 2010. It contained inputs from CEOS climate action teams and other stakeholders on the current status of the 59 CEOS Climate Actions. The report reviewed key accomplishments and described future plans. In addition, progress on forest carbon, terrestrial validation, and early warning for disasters related to climate change was provided. The report also summarized additional satellite-based climate observation and data record activities by individual space agencies and other international coordination bodies such as the WCRP, WMO, and CGMS.

One of the key activities in the CEOS Climate Action Plan in support of GCOS IP-04 and the space component of the Global Earth Observation System of Systems (GEOSS) is the development of virtual, space-based Constellations to provide critical information on changes in land cover, precipitation, atmospheric composition, global sea level, ocean surface vector wind,

¹² Satellite Observation of the Climate System-the Committee on Earth Observation Satellites (CEOS) Response to the Global Climate Observing System (GCOS) Implementation Plan

¹³ 2010 Progress Report: Coordinated Response from Parties that Support Space Agencies Involved in Global Observations to the Needs Expressed in the Global Climate Observing System (GCOS) Implementation Plan of 2004

ocean colour, and sea surface temperature. A CEOS Virtual Constellation is a set of space and ground segment capabilities operating together in a coordinated manner, in effect a virtual system that overlaps in coverage in order to meet a combined and common set of Earth Observation requirements. The individual satellites and ground segments can belong to a single or to multiple owners. The Constellation concept builds upon or serves to refocus already existing projects and activities. In particular, it offers opportunities to share experience in the development of algorithms, standardize data products and formats, exchange information regarding the calibration and validation of measurements, facilitate timely exchange of and access to data products from existing and planned missions, and facilitate planning of new missions – ranging from coordinating orbits to optimizing observational coverage to sharing implementation of mission components.

4.3 The CEOS Response: GCOS IP-10 vs. GCOS IP-04

While the current response is similar to that for GCOS IP-04 in that it also uses input from the GCOS Satellite Supplement and reinforces the needs called out by the supplement, it is also more specific in a number of ways. This CEOS response gives more *actionable* climate actions and assigns a high level of effort to each action. It identifies the specific responsible lead CEOS Agency (rather than stating CEOS Agencies in general) as well as the names of team leads and members for each action. And, in particular, it details the quality metrics for each ECV. These metrics for the satellite-based data sets include requirements for accuracy, stability, and spatial and temporal resolutions. They include both the target requirements established by the GCOS and the metrics expected to be achieved in each action plan. The plans also include timetables, and for some climate actions, additional activities not called out by GCOS but that may be considered important by CEOS.

4.4 The Process

The central idea was to develop a CEOS action execution plan for each of the 47 satellite-related Actions identified in GCOS IP-10. To start the process, the CEOS Climate Societal Benefit Area (SBA) Coordinator, in consultation with senior community professionals, identified leads for the atmosphere, ocean and land domains. The domain leads were tasked to designate Subject Matter Experts (SMEs) for each CEOS action and to select the community feedback group(s) that would vet the CEOS climate action plans. The domain leads were also responsible for ensuring that each action was actionable with a high level of effort identified.

In developing their plans, the domain leads and SMEs consulted with the expert community for each action, the authors of the CEOS response to the 2004 GCOS IP, the four CEOS Working Groups (Calibration and Validation [WGCV], Information Systems and Services [WGISS], Climate [WGClimate], Capacity Building and Data Democracy [WGCapD]), and seven CEOS Virtual Constellations (Atmospheric Composition [ACC-VC], Land Surface Imaging [LSI-VC], Ocean Colour Radiometry [OCR-VC], Ocean Surface Topography [OST-VC], Ocean Surface Vector Wind [OSVW-VC], Precipitation [PC-VC], and Sea Surface Temperature [SST-VC]).

The CEOS Climate SBA Coordinator and the domain leads coordinated with other stakeholders: WMO, Sustained Co-Ordinated Processing of Environmental Satellite Data for Climate Monitoring (SCOPE-CM), Global Space-based Inter-Calibration System (GSICS), WCRP, and CGMS to address the GCOS actions that do not have a clear association with an existing CEOS Constellation or Working Group.

This CEOS response builds on CEOS activities initiated in response to the GCOS IP-04, and takes advantage of international working groups, coordination bodies, and ongoing relevant international efforts, such as those of the WCRP and Global Observation for Forest and Land Cover Dynamics (GOFC-GOLD), for example, to review and vet the action execution plans.

The basic building block is a generic template for each GCOS/CEOS action. The domain leads and SMEs compiled the inputs for these templates. Since they contain the same type of information, they are readily comparable and their execution will be easy to track. After a number of iterations, the template below was adopted for developing the CEOS response to the requirements for the satellite-related actions of GCOS IP-10.

Template: The CEOS Response to the GCOS Implementation Plan & Satellite Supplement (Describing 2011-2015 CEOS Activities)	
Throughout the completion of this template, please bear in mind the GCOS IP-10 (2010 update)/SS content associated with this action in its entirety. These templates will compile to form a comprehensive, coordinated CEOS response to addressing the satellite Earth observation needs discussed thoroughly in the GCOS Implementation Plan and Satellite Supplement (IP/SS).	
Action: Who: Time-Frame: Performance Indicator: Annual Cost Implications:	Input for each action taken directly from GCOS IP-10
CEOS Entities: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CEOS Agency Leads: • CEOS Agency Contributors: • CEOS Coordination Mechanisms: Team: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Leads: • Members: International Coordination Bodies: Relevant existing CEOS actions: CEOS Deliverable(s) as related to this GCOS Action – All current and planned CEOS activities, outcomes, and deliverables that address the needs identified in the GCOS IP-10/SS for this action. Describe each one, including a brief recap of the significance of the deliverables' role in climate observations (it is not necessary to restate the content of the GCOS IP-10/SS). Elaborate or add any relevant content as necessary. Please also discuss the needs that CEOS is not currently planning to address, but that CEOS agrees are important. Include satellites/instruments, products/programs, coordination, etc., making sure to fully address the content of GCOS IP-10/SS sections such as "Requirements for satellite instruments and satellite datasets" and "Immediate action, partnerships, and international coordination", etc. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Specific Deliverable #1: • Specific Deliverable #2 • Specific Deliverable #3 	

<p>Accuracy – Target and planned accuracy capabilities, if applicable, specific to each of the deliverables mentioned above. Target accuracies are taken for the GCOS IP-10 Satellite Supplement. Planned accuracies are those planned or implementation in the Action plan.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For deliverable 1 • For deliverable 2 • For deliverable 3 <p>Stability – Target and planned stability capabilities, if applicable, specific to each of the deliverables mentioned above. Target stabilities are taken for the GCOS IP-10 Satellite Supplement. Planned stabilities are those planned or implementation in the Action plan.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For deliverable 1 • For deliverable 2 • For deliverable 3 <p>Horizontal resolution – Target and planned horizontal resolution capabilities, if applicable, specific to each of the deliverables mentioned above. Target horizontal resolutions are taken for the GCOS IP-10 Satellite Supplement. Planned horizontal resolutions are those planned or implementation in the Action plan.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For deliverable 1 • For deliverable 2 • For deliverable 3 <p>Vertical resolution – Target and planned vertical resolution capabilities, if applicable, specific to each of the deliverables mentioned above. Target vertical resolutions are taken for the GCOS IP-10 Satellite Supplement. Planned vertical resolutions are those planned or implementation in the Action plan.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For deliverable 1 • For deliverable 2 • For deliverable 3 <p>Data & Science Requirements – Discuss and respond to the data/science requirements mentioned in the GCOS IP-10/SS as related to this GCOS action and the relevant CEOS deliverables. What does CEOS need in terms of data and science help, in order to accomplish these deliverables? Why are they needed and who can help?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For deliverable 1 • For deliverable 2 • For deliverable 3 <p>Planned activities/time frames to meet deliverables (2011 – 2015) – Include resources/websites where a reader might find more information on these activities, if possible.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For deliverable 1 • For deliverable 2 • For deliverable 3 <p>Are the above activities sufficient to accomplish the GCOS action? If not, what is missing? What additional activities in support of this GCOS action can be accomplished with additional funding? Discuss.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For deliverable 1 • For deliverable 2 • For deliverable 3

Supporting Material from GCOS IP-10:

The inputs received from the leading experts for each of the actions were compiled into a single response and iterated with the contributors to ensure accuracy and clarity. The consolidated draft response was reviewed by CEOS to ensure that it was consistent across the Atmosphere, Ocean, and Terrestrial domains. The draft report was then reviewed by the CEOS Working Group on Climate (WGClimate), and approved for presentation to the SBSTA in November 2012.

5. Planned CEOS Actions in Response to GCOS IP-10

5.1 Introduction

This section summarizes the Actions to be undertaken by CEOS Agencies and their partners in response to the 2010 GCOS Implementation Plan and its Satellite Supplement. All the Actions are tied to the ECVs and, for easy traceability, each CEOS Action bears the same number as its corresponding GCOS IP action. In addition, each Action includes the relevant 2011 Satellite Supplement climate product numbers (referred to as **SS: numbers**). The Actions listed here incorporate the key elements of the Action Templates submitted by the Domain Leads and Subject Matter Experts. These elements are: lead and contributing agencies; international coordination bodies; specific deliverables; quantitative metrics for accuracy, stability, horizontal resolution, and vertical resolution; and planned activities/time frames to meet deliverables (2011-2015). For each ECV, a short explanation of its importance is also presented.

The Satellite Supplement prescribes quantitative metrics for accuracy, stability, and resolution. Accuracy is the closeness of measured values to true values. Accuracy may be thought of as the systematic error of a climate variable with respect to a standard reference, such as the International Standard (SI). Stability is the change of accuracy with time. Stability may be thought of as the extent to which the accuracy remains constant with time. Stability is measured by the maximum excursion of the short-term average measured value of a variable under identical conditions over some time period, for example, a decade. The smaller the maximum excursion, the greater the stability of the data set.

The Action Plans include both target and planned values for the metrics. The target values are taken from Satellite Supplement to GCOS IP-10, which defines the term “target” as the resolutions, uncertainties and error variations below which there would be no significant additional value for current climate applications from further reductions. The planned values are those expected to be achieved through implementation of the action. Target and planned values are missing from some Climate Actions because they were not included in the Satellite Supplement or were not yet available from the Climate Action Teams.

The Action Templates are designed for space-based observing systems. For some actions – for example, actions centering on coordination activities or assistance in establishing ground-based networks or data services – the Action Templates are not applicable and are replaced by a textual description of the CEOS response.

5.2 Role of CEOS Working Group on Climate

To coordinate, further develop, and oversee implementation of the GCOS IP-related Actions, in 2010, CEOS formed a Working Group on Climate (WGClimate). This Group:

- Reviews and assesses generation of FCDRs and derived ECV products supported by CEOS Agencies;

- Reviews compliance of missions and products with the GCOS Climate Monitoring Principles;
- Identifies implementation teams for each product – ensures a coherent implementation plan exists for each and every satellite-based ECV product; and
- Works with the CEOS Virtual Constellations to ensure a coherent and consistent approach to the provision of climate records across their various topical areas.

5.3 The Atmosphere

5.3.1 Introduction

The GCOS IP-10 breaks down the climate system into three domains – atmosphere, oceans, and land – that interact with each other at their respective interfaces. The term “climate” can be defined as the mean and statistical properties of atmosphere near Earth’s surface. Global temperatures are largely governed by the overall radiative properties of the atmosphere, while regional climates are controlled by transport properties of the atmosphere together with land surface and ocean interactions. The atmosphere plays a unique role in the climate system largely due to the growth and decay of weather systems and changes-in-state of water between snow, rain, cloud and vapor. Winds move heat, moisture and chemical species around rapidly. Cloud and water vapour feedbacks are major factors in determining the sensitivity of the climate system to forcings, such as from rising levels of greenhouse gases and from aerosols. Because natural modes of variability, such as El Niño and the North Atlantic Oscillation introduce short term (years) climate variations, it is vital to determine and understand such processes as they can obscure climate change detection.

The atmospheric ECVs for which satellite observations make a significant contribution are listed in Table 2 of Section 3.

5.3.2 Precipitation

Importance of this ECV

Precipitation affects water supplies, natural vegetation, crops, and tourism. Its variations can lead to environmental hazards in the form of droughts, floods, snow accumulations, hail, and ice. It affects the daily activities of humankind throughout the world. It is a key component of the Earth’s hydrological cycle and, through its release of the latent heat of condensation as it forms, affects the thermal structure and the circulation of the atmosphere.

5.3.2.1 GCOS/CEOS Action A8; SS: A.2

Action: Ensure continuity of satellite precipitation products.

Who: Space agencies.

Time-Frame: Continuous.

Performance Indicator: Long-term homogeneous satellite-based global precipitation products.

Annual Cost Implications: 20-40M US\$(for generation of climate products, assuming missions funded for other operational purposes) (Mainly by Annex-I Parties).

CEOS Entities:

- **CEOS Agency Leads:** NASA, JAXA
- **CEOS Agency Contributors:** NOAA, CSA, CNES, ISRO, INPE, EUMETSAT, ESA
- **CEOS Coordination Mechanisms:** Precipitation Virtual Constellation (PC-VC)

International Coordination Bodies: TBD

Associated Organizations: TBD

Specific Deliverable #1:

- The delivery is an initial calibration reference standard for the Global Precipitation Measurement (GPM) mission. The GPM concept centers on the deployment of a “Core” satellite carrying an advanced radar/radiometer system to measure precipitation from space and serve as a reference standard to unify precipitation measurements from a constellation of research and operational satellites.
 - To ensure the continuity of this constellation approach, NASA/JAXA will continue the Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) that has both an imaging microwave radiometer, the TRMM Microwave Imager (TMI) and a Precipitation Radar (PR). This observatory is in a 35 deg. inclined orbit.
 - To extend and enhance the ability to intercalibrate constellation radiometers, NASA/JAXA will launch in 2014, the core observatory of the (GPM mission. This observatory will carry both an imaging microwave radiometer, GPM microwave imager (GMI) and a dual precipitation radar (DPR). This observatory will be in a 65 deg. inclined polar orbit.
 - JAXA is also contributing the Advanced Microwave Scanning Radiometer-2 (AMSR2) on the Global Change Observation Mission-Water (GCOM-W) to the CEOS PC-VC. Other agencies such as NOAA, EUMETSAT, CNES/ISRO will contribute microwave radiometers in both sun- and non-sun-synchronous orbits (these will be mostly microwave sounders except for Megha-Tropiques, and Special Sensor Microwave Imager/Sounder [SSM/I/S] radiometers). While these radiometers are launched and operated for their agencies own needs, they are contributed to the CEOS PC-VC (GPM era constellation) to be included for use in generating consistent precipitation products.
 - Radiometers in initial GPM-based PC constellation:
 - SSM/I/S F16, F17, F18, F19, F20 microwave imagers containing both window channels and high-frequency sounding channels. Data are observed by the U.S. DOD satellites and archived at NOAA.
 - Advanced Microwave Sounding Unit (AMSU)-A/Microwave Humidity Sounder (MHS) sounders for precipitation using mainly the scattering channels. Provided by both NOAA and EUMETSAT.
 - Advanced Technology Microwave Sounder (ATMS) microwave sounders on both Suomi National Polar-orbiting Partnership (Suomi NPP) and Joint Polar Satellite System (JPSS) which for precipitation use mainly the scattering channels. Provided by both NOAA and EUMETSAT.

- Microwave Analysis and Detection of Rain and Atmospheric Structures (MADRAS) microwave imager from the CNES/ISRO Megha-Tropiques tropical mission.
 - Sounder for Probing Vertical Profiles of Humidity (SAPHIR) microwave sounder from the CNES/ISRO Megha-Tropiques tropical mission. SAPHIR provides high-frequency sounding channels for precipitation measurements.
 - Precipitation Constellation Calibrating Observatory:
 - During the ad-hoc pre-GPM Precipitation Constellation (PC), the TRMM observatory provides the transfer standard for precipitation products for the PC. This was chosen because of the many match-up opportunities of the TRMM observatory and the polar-orbiting observatories in the constellation.
 - Beginning with the full PC that starts at the launch of the GPM core observatory in 2014, the GPM core observatory with its GMI and DPR will be the transfer standard used for creating consistent PC precipitation products. Once again the core observatory, like TRMM, provides many match-up opportunities with other observatories in the constellation.
- **PC characteristics for radiometers in the Constellation**
 - Each PC participating agency will provide a point of contact to the PC about its observatory, radiometer and its operation during the life of the mission.
 - Each PC participating agency will provide detailed information about the operation, geolocation and calibration of the radiometer that it is providing.
 - Each PC participating agency will completely characterize their radiometer and calibration and make such information available to other PC members as well as data users.
 - Each PC participating agency will ensure that incidence angle information is available for each pixel of each swath type for their instrument.
- **Characteristics of the PC transfer standard observatory**
 - Should contain well-calibrated radiometer with channels from 10 GHz through 183 GHz.
 - Should contain well-calibrated precipitation radar that represents the state of the art for characterizing rainfall.
 - Should be placed in a non-sun synchronous orbit to facilitate the number of match-up orbit crossovers between the reference observatory and other observatories in the constellation.
 - Both calibration and geo-location should be well characterized, tracked, published and the information publicly available.

Specific Deliverable #2:

- The deliverable is an instantaneous field of view level 1b calibrated, geolocated brightness temperature (T_b) product from each radiometer in the PC. The key to this delivery is the characterization of the inputs to the deliverable and the stability of the calibration and geolocation.

Specific Deliverable #3:

- The deliverable is a consistent PC instantaneous field of view inter-calibrated brightness temperature (T_c) product from each radiometer in the PC as established by applying the transfer standard established from the GPM core observatory.
- T_b products provided by contributors may be calibrated or geo-located according to the needs and requirements of the particular mission. To ensure consistency of PC brightness temperatures all brightness temperatures provided by contributors will be intercalibrated to meet the standards of this deliverable.

Specific Deliverable #4:

- The deliverable is a consistent PC precipitation product containing retrievals at instantaneous field of view based upon PC consistent inter-calibrated T_c . Also, to ensure consistency the retrieval will be based on a well-established Bayesian technique using a physically based *a priori* database constructed from the combined radiometer/radar measurement from the PC GPM core observatory. At latitudes for which the reference observatory measurements are not available, other physical measurements such as those from ground radars, cloud radars and other appropriate physical sources should be used before reverting to profiles generated from cloud resolving models.
- This precipitation retrieval will be performed for all radiometers in the PC. A similar retrieval based on a physically based *a priori* database will be made from imager and sounder radiometers. Appropriate retrievals will be made over ocean, land and coast.

Specific Deliverable #5:

- This deliverable provides a global monthly product containing PDF of precipitation intensity based on the instantaneous field of view (IFOV) products delivered in the previously listed deliverable #4.
- While this deliverable is not the end product of the ECV, it is the satellite component that appears most useful for further synthesis with other products.

Accuracy, stability, horizontal resolution, and vertical resolution

ECV: Precipitation	GCOS/CEOS Action A8				
	Property				
		Instantaneous FOV Tb	Instantaneous FOV inter- calibrated Tb	Precipitation rate (Instantaneous FOV)	Precipitation rate (Monthly)
Accuracy	Target	TBD	TBD	TBD	max(10% of daily totals; 0.1mm)
	Planned	TBD	0.3 K for each radiometer in the constellation with respect to the reference radiometer	TBD	TBD
Stability	Target	TBD	TBD	TBD	5% of daily totals (regional scale)
	Planned	1 K	TBD	TBD	TBD
Horizontal resolution (km)	Target	TBD	TBD	TBD	25
	Planned	5 (Precip. Radar)	25	25	100
Vertical resolution (km)	Target	TBD	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Planned	0.25 (Precip. Radar)	N/A	N/A	N/A

Planned activities/time frames to meet deliverables (2011 – 2015)

TBD

5.3.3 Surface Wind Speed and Direction

Importance of this ECV

Ocean surface wind is a major forcing mechanism for the Earth's oceans and an important climate variable in its own right. It drives ocean currents that transport heat horizontally and induces turbulent eddy, upwelling, and downwelling processes that transfer heat vertically in the oceans. It influences the transfer of heat, moisture, gases, and particles between the ocean and atmosphere. Winds are also the dominant destructive force in hurricanes through their direct effects and the high waves, storm surges, and coastal flooding they produce.

5.3.3.1 GCOS/CEOS Action A11; SS: A.1

Action: Ensure continuous generation of wind-related products from AM and PM satellite scatterometers or equivalent observations.

Who: Space agencies.

Time-Frame: Continuous.

Performance Indicator: Long-term satellite observations of surface winds every six hours.

Annual Cost Implications: 1-10M US\$ (Mainly by Annex-I Parties).

CEOS Entities:

- **CEOS Agency Leads:** NOAA, EUMETSAT, ISRO
- **CEOS Agency Contributors:** TBD
- **CEOS Coordination Mechanisms:** Ocean Surface Vector Wind Virtual Constellation (OSVW-VC)

International Coordination Bodies: TBD

Associated Organizations: TBD

Specific Deliverable

- The CEOS OSVW-VC will provide wind-related products from AM and PM satellite scatterometers or equivalent observations.

Accuracy, stability, horizontal resolution, and vertical resolution

ECV: Surface wind speed and direction	GCOS/CEOS Action A11	
	Property	
	Surface wind	
Accuracy	Target	0.5m/s and mean quadratic statistics to 10% of the locally prevailing mean wind speed, for speeds >20m/s
	Planned	TBD
Stability (/decade)	Target	0.1 m/s
	Planned	TBD
Horizontal resolution (km)	Target	10 km
	Planned	TBD

Planned activities/time frames to meet deliverables (2011 – 2015)

- Calibration and validation of each spaceborne observing system contributing to the OSVW-VC
- The definition of mutually agreed format(s) and inter-calibrated data product(s)
- Easy access to selected subsets of the resulting data products
- Harmonization of launches and orbits to optimize coverage in space and time
- Development and demonstration of systems capable of collecting improved observations

5.3.4 Upper-air Wind Speed and Direction; SS: A.4**Importance of this ECV**

Upper-air wind is a basic element of the climate system that influences many other variables. It is responsible for the transports of heat, moisture, and momentum in the atmosphere. By transporting heat from equatorial regions to polar areas, the wind field reduces the equator to pole temperature gradient that would result from the excess solar heating of low latitudes.

Satellite Supplement Product A.4

There is no specific action in GCOS IP-10, but the Satellite Supplement includes the following target requirements listed as Product A.4 Upper air wind retrievals

ECV: Upper air wind	GCOS/CEOS Action	
	Property	
	Upper air wind	
Accuracy	Target	20 m/s, 20 degrees
	Planned	TBD
Stability (/decade)	Target	0.5 m/s, 5 degrees
	Planned	TBD
Horizontal resolution (km)	Target	10
	Planned	TBD
Vertical resolution (km)	Target	0.5
	Planned	TBD

Upper air wind speed and direction are obtained primarily from geostationary satellites by tracking the motion of clouds or moisture features in visible and infrared images over time. This technique is also applied to polar orbiting satellites in the arctic regions where there are short revisit times. The WMO SCOPE-CM program includes a coordinated effort to reprocess geostationary winds. In the near future, ESA's Atmospheric Dynamics Mission Aeolus (ADM-Aeolus) – scheduled for launch in 2014 – will provide lidar wind profiles with radiosonde-like quality wind speed and direction data.

The complete CEOS response to this action is under development.

5.3.5 Climate Calibration Mission

Importance of this ECV

Current long-term climate data records are based mainly on the observations of the operational satellite systems. These satellites are designed primarily to provide measurements for short-term weather and environmental prediction. Instrument calibrations lack traceability to International Standards (SI) units, sensors degrade in orbit, and long term data sets must be stitched together from a series of overlapping satellite observations. A climate calibration mission would place in space a series of highly accurate benchmark instruments to measure with high spectral resolution the energy reflected and emitted by the Earth. These instruments would provide reliable long term records of climate forcings, responses, and feedbacks to monitor climate change. The benchmark instruments would also constitute a reference standard, or calibration observatory, in space to calibrate other environmental satellite sensors—for example, the sensors on operational weather satellites—that are not as well calibrated.

5.3.5.1 GCOS/CEOS Action A19; SS: N/A

Action: Implement and evaluate a satellite climate calibration mission, *e.g.*, CLARREO.

Who: Space agencies (*e.g.*, NOAA, NASA, etc).

Time-Frame: Ongoing.

Performance Indicator: Improved quality of satellite radiance data for climate monitoring.

Annual Cost Implications: 100-300M US\$ (Mainly by Annex-I Parties).

CEOS Entities:

- **CEOS Agency Leads:** NASA
- **CEOS Agency Contributors:** ESA, UKSA (supporting NPL)
- **CEOS Coordination Mechanisms:** TBD

International Coordination Bodies: WMO-Global Space-based Inter-Calibration System (GSICS), GEO, Quality Assurance for Earth Observations (QA4EO) initiative, International Bureau of Weights and Measures (BIPM)

Associated Organizations: TBD

Specific Deliverable #1:

- Highly accurate, global, SI-traceable on-orbit decadal change observations sensitive to the most critical, but least understood, climate forcings, responses, and feedbacks, including:
- Infrared (IR) spectra to infer temperature and water vapor feedbacks, cloud feedbacks, and decadal change of temperature profiles, water vapor profiles, clouds, and greenhouse gas radiative effects
- Reflected solar (RS) spectra to infer cloud feedbacks, snow/ice albedo feedbacks, and decadal change of clouds, radiative fluxes, aerosols, snow cover, sea ice, land use
- Global Navigation Satellite System Radio Occultation (GNSS-RO) observations to infer decadal change of temperature profiles

Specific Deliverable #2

- IR and RS spectra that are matched in time, space, and angle with data from broadband Earth radiation budget (*e.g.*, Clouds and Earth's Radiant Energy System [CERES]), operational IR sounders (*e.g.*, Cross-track Infrared Sounder [CrIS], Infrared Atmospheric Sounding Interferometer [IASI]), and low-Earth orbiting (LEO) and geostationary (GEO) imagers (*e.g.*, Visible/Infrared Imager/Radiometer Suite [VIIRS], Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer [AVHRR], Sentinel 2 and 3) for use as a reference intercalibration source. Implemented systems may also provide reference calibrations for some CEOS VCs and also support other non-space observing systems.

Accuracy, stability, horizontal resolution, and vertical resolution

- For deliverables #1 and #2:

ECV: Climate calibration mission	GCOS/CEOS Action A19			
		Property		
		IR	Reflected solar	Radio occultation
Accuracy	Target	0.1 K	0.3%	0.03%
	Planned	0.1	0.3	0.03
Stability (/decade)	Target	0.1 K		
	Planned	0.1		
Horizontal resolution	Target			
	Planned	25 – 100 km	0.5 km	Occultation
Vertical resolution	Target	N/A	N/A	?
	Planned	N/A	N/A	?

Planned activities/time frames to meet deliverables (2011 – 2015)

NASA conducted Pre-Phase A science and mission planning for the Climate Absolute Radiance and Refractivity Observatory (CLARREO) from 2008-2010. The mission successfully completed its Mission Concept Review (MCR) in November of 2010, and had planned to move into Phase A early in 2011. Following the release of the President's FY12 budget for NASA, the funding profile for CLARREO was reduced. The new budget guidance was for the CLARREO mission to enter an extended Pre-Phase A and to examine other ways to achieve some portion of the CLARREO science in the near term through alternative mission concepts, instruments of opportunity or aircraft; international collaboration, interagency collaboration, or other mission implementations. During 2011-2015, the CLARREO team will advance the science and seek to identify options for achieving the full CLARREO objectives in the future. No launch readiness date has been set for CLARREO.

Planning for independent measures in the RS (such as Traceable Radiometry Underpinning Terrestrial-and-Helio-Studies [TRUTHS]) and in the IR should occur early in this time frame in order to coordinate measurement strategies and operations.

The CLARREO team should coordinate planning for reference intercalibration with international groups such as the GSICS and the CEOS Working Group on Calibration and Validation (WGCV) and in particular consider uncertainties achievable and any operational infrastructure that might need to be established to enable implementation.

Planning for research-to-operations transition of these measurements should occur between NASA and NOAA to ensure continuity and other CEOS agencies as appropriate.

More information of the current activities can be found:

CLARREO: <http://clarreo.larc.nasa.gov/>

TRUTHS: <http://www.npl.co.uk/TRUTHS>

5.3.6 Upper Air Temperature

Importance of this ECV

Atmospheric temperature is the most widely tracked response variable in the climate system. Climate models predict that anthropogenically caused greenhouse warming would be amplified in the mid-to upper- troposphere but would change to cooling in the stratosphere. Thus, upper air temperatures are a key dataset for detection and attribution of tropospheric and stratospheric climate change.

5.3.6.1 GCOS/CEOS Action A20; SS: A.3.2

Action: Ensure the continued derivation of MSU-like radiance data, and establish FCDRs from the high-resolution IR sounders, following the GCMPs.

Who: Space agencies.

Time-Frame: Continuing.

Performance Indicator: Quality and quantity of data; availability of data and products.

Annual Cost Implications: 1-10M US\$ (for generation of datasets, assuming missions, including overlap and launch-on-failure policies, are funded for other operational purposes) (Mainly by Annex-I Parties).

Microwave Sounding Unit (MSU)-like radiance and high spectral resolution infrared radiance observations will be sustained into the future by satellite agencies. In particular, NOAA will provide microwave radiances from the ATMS instrument and high resolution IR radiances from CrIS. EUMETSAT will continue to fly AMSU and IASI. Both the NOAA and EUMETSAT programs will sustain these types of measurements well into the 2030's and beyond. Also, CMA plans to fly advanced microwave and infrared sounders on their future polar orbiting satellites. Future geostationary satellites from a number of operational satellite agencies will include high resolution sounders. Both advanced microwave and infrared sounders provide accurate temperature and water vapor profiles with vertical resolutions between 1 – 2 km from the infrared and 3-5 km from the microwave instruments. Infrared measurements from IASI, CrIS and AIRS have been shown to be very accurate with differences between instruments well within 0.1K, which meets FCDR requirements. This is in contrast to microwave observations which require intercalibration and considerably more effort to create FCDRs. Thus, this CEOS response is focused on efforts to create FCDRs from microwave radiance data.

CEOS Entities:

- **CEOS Agency Leads:** NOAA
- **CEOS Agency Contributors:** EUMETSAT, NASA, CMA
- **CEOS Coordination Mechanisms:** TBD

International Coordination Bodies: CGMS, GSICS

Associated Organizations: Remote Sensing Systems (RSS), University of Alabama at Huntsville (UAH), University of Washington (UW), National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR)

Specific Deliverable #1

The deliverables are the merged deep atmospheric layer temperatures from different MSU-like channel observations on consecutive satellites from 1979 to the future.

Specific Deliverable #2

The deliverables are inter-satellite calibrated radiance FCDR from AMSU-A, ATMS and Microwave Temperature Sounder (MWTS) temperature sounding channels using the Simultaneous Nadir Overpass (SNO) method.

Accuracy, stability, horizontal resolution, and vertical resolution

ECV: Upper air temperature	GCOS/CEOS Action A20	
	Property (monthly values)	
		Layer temperatures
Accuracy (K)	Target	0.2
	Planned	0.5
Stability (K/decade)	Target	0.02
	Planned	0.05
Horizontal resolution (km)	Target	100
	Planned	100
Vertical resolution (km)	Target	5
	Planned	5

Planned activities/time frames to meet deliverables (2011 – 2015)

For Deliverable #1

NOAA team:

- Develop AMSU-only layer temperature time series from 1998 to present for channels 4-14 in the time frame 2011-2012.
- Develop merged SSU/AMSU layer temperatures from 1978 to present for the middle to top stratosphere in the time frame 2011-2013.
- Extend the existing MSU/AMSU and the planned AMSU-only and SSU/AMSU time series to include ATMS observations when the ATMS data are accumulated for a few years. The time frame for this activity is 2014-2015.
- Develop temperatures of lower-troposphere from MSU/AMSU/ATMS observations from 1979 to present in the time frame of 2012-2013.
- Validate/compare layer temperature products against Radiosonde Observation (Upper Air Observation (RAOB), Global Positioning System Radio Occultation (GPSRO), Lidar, Atmospheric Radiation Measurement (ARM), Atmospheric Infrared Sounder (AIRS),

and reanalyses etc. as appropriate throughout the development of the temperature time series.

UAH team:

- Develop means to continue a backward-compatible product line (to 1979) that represents homogeneous time series of bulk tropospheric and stratospheric temperatures. This will include the full characterization of the diurnal cycle for the AMSU and follow-on microwave sensors. A key development here is the use of the non-drifting AMSU on AQUA to serve as an anchor relative to spacecraft that are drifting. Research on new challenges due to channel drifts, gaps, failures, etc.

RSS team:

- Develop AMSU-only layer temperature time series from 1998 to present from AMSU channels 10-14. These will be delivered in early 2012
- Develop weighted combination of AMSU channels 9-14 that match the vertical weighting functions for SSU channels 25 and 26. SSU channel 27 is impossible to match using AMSU measurements.
- Continue routine generation of MSU/AMSU products for temperature lower troposphere (TLT), temperature middle troposphere (TMT), temperature troposphere/stratosphere (TTS) and temperature lower stratosphere (TLS).
- Develop an “atmospheric only” lower tropospheric product from AMSU channels 3, 4, and 5, plus ancillary data to provide a second source of lower tropospheric temperatures that does not require the extrapolation procedure that is used to produce TLT.

Inter-Comparison/Reconciliation:

- In view of the large discrepancies in temperature trends among three teams (maximum of 0.1 K/Decade, which are much larger than the GCOS stability requirement of 0.02 K/decade), efforts will be made to understand and reconcile/reduce these discrepancies.

For Deliverable #2

- SNO inter-calibrated AMSU-A radiances onboard NOAA-15 through NOAA-19, Metop-A, and NASA Aqua will be delivered in 2013
- SNO inter-calibrated AMSU-A/ATMS radiances will be delivered in 2015. The NPP mission was launched in October 2011. AMSU/ATMS inter-calibration will begin in 2014 when ATMS observations are accumulated with sufficient length.

5.3.6.2 GCOS/CEOS Action A21; SS: A.3.1

Action: Ensure the continuity of the constellation of GNSS RO satellites.

Who: Space agencies.

Time-Frame: Ongoing; replacement for current COSMIC constellation needs to be approved urgently to avoid or minimize a data gap.

Performance Indicator: Volume of data available and percentage of data exchanged.

Annual Cost Implications: 10-30M US\$ (Mainly by Annex-I Parties).

CEOS Entities:

- **CEOS Agency Leads:** NOAA
- **CEOS Agency Contributors:** NASA, EUMETSAT
- **CEOS Coordination Mechanisms:** TBD

International Coordination Bodies: CGMS International Radio Occultation Working Group (IROWG)

Associated Organizations: TBD

Specific Deliverable(s)

- Continuity in GNSS Radio Occultation (RO) Atmospheric Profiles available for Near-Real-Time (NRT) use and Climate

Accuracy, stability, horizontal resolution, and vertical resolution

ECV: Upper air temperature	GCOS/CEOS Action A21		
	Property		
		Tropospheric temperature	Stratospheric temperature
Accuracy (K)	Target	0.5	0.5
	Planned	1	TBD
Stability (K/decade)	Target	0.05	0.05
	Planned	TBD	TBD
Horizontal resolution (km)	Target	25	TBD
	Planned	100s	100
Vertical resolution (km)	Target	1	2
	Planned	0.1 to 2	TBD

Key activities and time frames to meet deliverables (2011 – 2015)

- Build up a ground station network that assures data download from research satellites in NRT. Include low latitude stations for low inclination satellites.
- Support constellation of RO instruments; try to include RO instruments on all meteorological Low-Earth-Orbit satellites.
- Assure availability of GPS data in NRT (and extend this to Galileo / others once available).
- Build up RO processing / re-processing expertise for all missions at several centers worldwide to allow the generation of consistent data sets.

5.3.7 Cloud Properties**Importance of this ECV**

Inadequate knowledge about what will happen to cloud feedbacks due to global warming is one of the major causes of uncertainty in model predictions of global climate change. High clouds heat the Earth by downward emission of infrared radiation and low clouds cool the Earth through reflection of solar radiation. On average, the cooling effect of clouds is greater than their heating

effect. Even small changes in this balance have implications for the Earth's climate. The cloud ECV is actually a complex set of properties that need to be observed: Cloud amount, top pressure and temperature, optical depth, water path and effective particle radius.

5.3.7.1 GCOS/CEOS Action A23; SS: A.6. to A.6.6 (cloud amount, cloud top pressure, cloud top temperature, cloud optical depth, cloud water path, and cloud effective particle radius)

Action: Continue the climate data record of visible and infrared radiances, *e.g.*, from the International Satellite Cloud Climatology Project, and include additional data streams as they become available; pursue reprocessing as a continuous activity taking into account lessons learnt from preceding research.

Who: Space agencies, for processing.

Time-Frame: Continuous.

Performance Indicator: Long-term availability of global homogeneous data at high frequency.

Annual Cost Implications: 10-30M US\$ (for generation of datasets and products) (Mainly by Annex-I Parties).

CEOS Entities:

- **CEOS Agency Leads:** NOAA
- **CEOS Agency Contributors:** EUMETSAT, NASA
- **CEOS Coordination Mechanisms:** TBD

International Coordination Bodies: Global Energy and Water Cycle Experiment (GEWEX) Data and Assessments Panel (GDAP), SCOPE-CM

Associated Organizations: TBD

Specific Deliverable(s)

- Reduced resolution, calibrated solar reflectances and infrared radiances, global ancillary products (atmospheric temperature-humidity, snow-sea ice cover), global cloud products at three space-time resolutions, documentation (including scientific basis) and quality assessments.

Accuracy, stability, horizontal resolution, and vertical resolution

ECV: Cloud Properties	GCOS/CEOS Action A23			
		Property		
		Amount	Mass	Vertical Extent
Accuracy	Target	0.01-0.05	25%	1.0 km
	Planned	7 %	10	0.5
Stability (%/decade)	Target	0.003-0.03	5	2
	Planned	1	1	1
Horizontal resolution (km)	Target	50	50	50
	Planned	10	10	10
Vertical resolution (km)	Target	TBD	TBD	TBD
	Planned	1.0	1.0	1.0

Key activities and time frames to meet deliverables (2011 – 2015)

- Revision of International Satellite Cloud Climatology Project (ISCCP) calibration and cloud retrieval based on latest research (January 2013)
- Complete re-processing of ISCCP at higher spatial resolution (March 2013)
- Complete re-processing of NOAA (Pathfinder Atmospheres-Extended [PATMOS-x]) and EUMETSAT (Satellite Application Facility on Climate Monitoring [CM-SAF]) AVHRR data products and thorough comparisons
- Generation of definitive NASA Earth Observing System (EOS) A-train cloud records (2002-2015)
- Identify sources of information that can improve performance of AVHRR-based cloud products in polar-regions

5.3.7.2 GCOS/CEOS Action A24; SS: A.6.1toA.6.6 (cloud amount, cloud top pressure, cloud top temperature, cloud optical depth, cloud water path, and cloud effective particle radius)

Action: Research to improve observations of the three-dimensional spatial and temporal distribution of cloud properties.

Who: Parties' national research and space agencies, in cooperation with the WCRP.

Time-Frame: Continuous.

Performance Indicator: New cloud products.

Annual Cost Implications: 30-100M US\$ (Mainly by Annex-I Parties).

CEOS Entities:

- **CEOS Agency Leads:** NASA
- **CEOS Agency Contributors:** NOAA, EUMETSAT, ESA, DLR
- **CEOS Coordination Mechanisms:** TBD

International Coordination Bodies: GDAP, International Radiation Commission (IRC)

Associated Organizations: TBD

Description of the Deliverable(s): A multi-year extra-polar cloud climate dataset that takes advantage of the recent and coming advances in the temporal and spatial capabilities of geostationary imagers (Geostationary Operational Environmental Satellite-R [GOES-R], Meteosat Third Generation [MTG]). The new cloud datasets will provide sub-hourly temporal variation of cloud properties with spatial resolutions less than 4km. The availability of additional spectral resolution will allow for products for multiple cloud layers. The current geostationary data will not provide continuous extra-polar coverage but this will be possible with the coming of GOES-R and other geostationary sensors that scan the full-disk with sub-hourly frequency.

Accuracy, stability, horizontal resolution, and vertical resolution

ECV: Cloud Properties	GCOS/CEOS Action A24			
		Property		
		Amount	Mass	Vertical Extent
Accuracy	Target	0.01-0.05	20%	1 km
	Planned	5%	10%	0.5
Stability (/decade)	Target	0.003-0.03	2%	2
	Planned	2%	2%	2
Horizontal resolution (km)	Target	5	4	4
	Planned	2	2	2
Vertical resolution	Target	2 layers	2 layers	2 layers
	Planned	1 km	1 km	1 km

Key activities and time frames to meet deliverables (2011 – 2015)

- Develop through GSICS the mechanism to calibrate all channels from the current and planned geostationary imagers.
- The research outlined here will be facilitated if geostationary data from all sensors were remapped to fixed projections as is done from Meteosat Second Generation (MSG)/Spinning Enhanced Visible and Infrared Imager (SEVIRI) and will be done for MTG and GOES-R. The use of a constant-projection will enable cloud object tracking between images and other complex temporal approaches.
- Lagrangian techniques for the study of cloud and aerosol interaction needed to be developed using methods that are applicable to large geostationary datasets.

5.3.8 Earth Radiation Budget

Importance of this ECV

The energy entering, reflected, absorbed, and emitted by the Earth system are the components of the Earth's radiation budget. Based on the physics principle of conservation of energy, this radiation budget represents the accounting of the balance between incoming radiation, which is almost entirely solar radiation, and outgoing radiation, which is partly reflected solar radiation and partly radiation emitted from the Earth system, including the atmosphere. A budget that's out

of balance can cause the temperature of the atmosphere to increase or decrease and eventually affect our climate.

5.3.8.1 GCOS/CEOS Action A25; SS: A.7.2 (solar irradiance) and A.7.1 (Earth radiation budget)

Action: Ensure continuation of Earth Radiation Budget observations, with at least one dedicated satellite mission operating at any one time.
Who: Space agencies.
Time-Frame: Ongoing.
Performance Indicator: Long-term data availability at archives.
Annual Cost Implications: 30-100M US\$ (Mainly by Annex-I Parties).

CEOS Entities:

- **CEOS Agency Leads:** NASA, NOAA
- **CEOS Agency Contributors:** EUMETSAT, ESA
- **CEOS Coordination Mechanisms:** TBD

International Coordination Bodies: GDAP, Global Energy Balance Working Group of the IRC, CGMS, GSICS

Associated Organizations: IRC, ITT Geospatial Systems (IGS), University of Maryland, College Park (UMCP)

Specific Deliverable #1:

- Solar Irradiance

Specific Deliverable #2

- All-sky and Clear-sky Short Wave (SW), Long Wave (LW), and Net Top of the Atmosphere (TOA) Fluxes

Specific Deliverable #3

- All-sky and Clear-sky SW, LW, and Net SFC Fluxes

Accuracy, stability, horizontal resolution, and vertical resolution

For Deliverable #1:

ECV: Earth radiation budget	GCOS/CEOS Action A25		
	Property		
		Total solar irradiance	Spectral solar irradiance
Accuracy	Target	1 W/m ²	0.3 %
	Planned	1 W/m ²	0.3 %
Stability (/decade)	Target	0.3 W/m ²	0.1 %
	Planned	0.3 W/m ²	0.1 %

For Deliverable #2:

ECV: Earth radiation budget	GCOS/CEOS Action A25						
	Property						
		All-sky SW TOA Flux (Monthly)			All-Sky LW TOA flux (Monthly)		
	Global	Zonal	Regional	Global	Zonal	Regional	
Accuracy (Wm^{-2})	Target	1	1	1	1	1	1
	Planned	1	2	5	1	2	5
Stability ($(Wm^{-2}/decade)$)	Target	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
	Planned	0.3	0.5	0.5	0.2	0.3	0.5
Horizontal resolution (km) (20-30 km footprint)	Target	N/A	TBD	100 km	TBD	TBD	TBD
	Planned	1° regional; 1° zonal; Global					

ECV: Earth radiation budget	GCOS/CEOS Action A25						
	Property						
		All-Sky Net TOA Flux (Monthly)			Clear-sky SW TOA Flux (Monthly)		
	Global	Zonal	Regional	Global	Zonal	Regional	
Accuracy (Wm^{-2})	Target	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD
	Planned	1.5	3	7	1	2	5
Stability ($(Wm^{-2}/decade)$)	Target	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD
	Planned	0.4	0.6	0.7	0.3	0.5	0.5
Horizontal resolution (20-30 km footprint)	Target	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD
	Planned	1° regional; 1° zonal; Global					

ECV: Earth radiation budget	GCOS/CEOS Action A25						
	Property						
		Clear-sky LW TOA Flux (Monthly)			Clear-sky Net TOA Flux (Monthly)		
	Global	Zonal	Regional	Global	Zonal	Regional	
Accuracy (Wm^{-2})	Target	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD
	Planned	1	2	5	1.5	3	7
Stability ($Wm^{-2}/decade)$)	Target	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD
	Planned	0.2	0.3	0.5	0.4	0.6	0.7
Horizontal resolution (20-30 km footprint)	Target	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD
	Planned	1° regional; 1° zonal; Global					

For Deliverable #3:

ECV: Earth radiation budget	GCOS/CEOS Action A25						
	Property						
		Surface radiation budget (Monthly 3-hr, regional mean)					
	All-sky SW SFC Flux	All-Sky LW SFC flux	All-Sky Net SFC Flux	Clear-sky SW SFC Flux	Clear-sky LW SFC Flux	Clear-sky Net SFC Flux	
Accuracy (Wm^{-2})	Target	1	1	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD
	Planned	10	10	15	10	10	15
Stability (Wm^{-2} /decade)	Target	0.3	0.3	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD
	Planned	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.4
Horizontal resolution (20-30 km footprint)	Target	100 km	100 km	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD
	Planned	1° regional; 1° zonal; Global					

Planned activities/time frames to meet deliverables (2011 – 2015)

- CERES FM1-4 are currently flying aboard Terra and Aqua
- CERES FM5 is currently flying on NPP.
- CERES FM6 will fly on JPSS-1 in the 2016 timeframe
- Geostationary Earth Radiation Budget (GERB) is currently flying on Meteosat-8, -9, -10, and -11.
- Scanner for Radiation Budget (ScaRaB) is currently flying on Megha-Tropiques.
- Broadband Radiometer (BBR) is scheduled to fly on EarthCARE in 2014.

5.3.9 Atmospheric Composition

Importance of this ECV

This ECV focuses on the chemistry of the stratosphere; the Climate Action associated with it concentrates on limb scanning observations.

Although a minor gas, ozone, mainly concentrated in a layer in the stratosphere, is vital for life on Earth: it shields humans, flora, and fauna from the harmful ultraviolet light from the Sun. Intense UV radiation in the upper atmosphere produces ozone (O_3). The radiation breaks typical oxygen molecules (O_2) into free oxygen atoms (O). A free oxygen atom (O) can then join with an oxygen molecule (O_2) to form a molecule of ozone (O_3). Chemical reactions involving gases such as chlorine, bromine, nitrogen, and hydrogen destroy ozone. The ozone depletion over Antarctica results from the combined actions of very cold conditions, the return of sunlight in the Antarctic spring, and ozone depleting chemicals, which mostly come from human-produced compounds, in particular chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs). As a result of the phasing-out of the harmful CFCs, the ozone layer is now recovering and continuing observations are needed to monitor this recovery.

In the stratosphere, water vapor is a source gas for OH which is chemically active in the ozone budget. Changes in stratospheric water vapor also influence the greenhouse effect.

5.3.9.1 GCOS/CEOS Action A26; SS: A.9.3 (ozone), A.5.2 (water vapour), A.8.1 (CO₂ and CH₄)

Action: Establish long-term limb-scanning satellite measurement of profiles of water vapour, ozone and other important species from the UT/LS up to 50 km.

Who: Space agencies, in conjunction with WMO GAW.

Time-Frame: Ongoing, with urgency in initial planning to minimize data gap.

Performance Indicator: Continuity of UT/LS and upper stratospheric data records.

Annual Cost Implications: 100-300M US\$ (including mission costs) (Mainly by Annex-I Parties).

CEOS Entities:

- **CEOS Agency Leads:** CSA, ESA, NASA, NOAA
- **CEOS Agency Contributors:** JAXA/NIES/NICT
- **CEOS Coordination Mechanisms:** Atmospheric Composition VC

International Coordination Bodies: TBD

Associated Organizations: TBD

Specific Deliverable #1:

- Assess past limb sounding ozone and other trace gas measurements, together with near-term planned space-based missions to determine their suitability for use in fused data sets. The data generated need to be available to users in a user-aimed fashion.

Relevant species measured using limb sounding methods.

<u>Species</u>	<u>Wavelength Range</u>	
O ₃	UV/VIS/IR/MW	
H ₂ O	NIR/TIR	
N ₂ O	(TBD)	
NO	(TBD) NO ₂	UV
BrO	UV	
ClO (LS)	MW and TIR	
OCIO (LS)	UV	
PSCs	UV/Vis + TIR	
Aerosols	UV/Vis	
(H)CFCs	TIR	
ClO	(TBD)	
HCl	TIR	
HOCl	MW	
OH/HO ₂	MW	
ClONO ₂	TIR	
HNO ₃	TIR	

Specific Deliverable #2

Maximize use of existing sensors and develop a collaborative framework to advocate and facilitate near-term calibration/validation activities and other coordinated science team planning for near-term space-based missions with limb sounding capability (e.g., to include, but not limited to, Stratospheric Aerosol and Gas Experiment (SAGE) III-ISS and Sentinel 5-Precursor) to maximize scientific output.

Accuracy, stability, horizontal resolution, and vertical resolution

ECV: Water vapor, ozone, and other important species	GCOS/CEOS Action A26								
		Property							
		O ₃		H ₂ O		CH ₄		NO _x -species	
		5-25 km	25-50 km	5-25 km	25-50 km	5-25 km	25-50 km	5-25 km	25-50 km
Accuracy (%)	Target	10	5-20	5	TBD	10 ppb	5	TBD	TBD
	Planned	5	3	5	5	8	5	10	5
Stability (%/7yr)	Target	1	1	0.3	TBD	2 ppb	0.3	TBD	TBD
	Planned	1	1	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD
Horizontal resolution (km)	Target	100-200	100-200	25	TBD	5-10	100-200	TBD	TBD
	Planned	100	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD
Vertical resolution (km)	Target	1-2	3	TBD	TBD	5	2	TBD	TBD
	Planned	0.5	2	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD

Planned activities/time frames to meet deliverables (2011 – 2015)

- Launch SAGE III-ISS and Sentinel 5-Precursor as currently planned.
- Agencies need to create plans and allocate funding for additional limb sensors to fly from 2015 to 2025. See, e.g., National Research Council (NRC) Decadal Survey (http://www.nap.edu/catalog.php?record_id=11820).
- Development of climate data records (CDRs) for U.S. sensors needs to be merged with efforts using records from other sensors, e.g., Optical Spectrograph and Infrared Imaging System [OSIRIS], Global Ozone Monitoring by Occultations of Stars [GOMOS], Scanning Imaging Absorption SpectroMeter for Atmospheric Cartography [SCIAMACHY]. These records are of sufficient length to begin this work (see, also, issues in next section with respect to agency resources).
- Work is needed to determine how well UV/Vis Limb Scatter can continue occultation ozone and aerosol records. This activity will progress during the recently-launched Suomi NPP mission and the forthcoming SAGE III-ISS mission.

5.3.9.2 GCOS/CEOS Action A27; A.11.1

Action: Establish a network of ground stations (MAXDOAS, lidar, FTIR) capable of validating satellite remote sensing of the troposphere.

Who: Space agencies, working with existing networks and environmental protection agencies.

Time-Frame: Urgent.

Performance Indicator: Availability of comprehensive validation reports and near real-time monitoring based on the data from the network.

Annual Cost Implications: 10-30M US\$ (30% in non-Annex-I Parties).

ESA's European Space Research Institute (ESRIN) has been supporting since 2008 the instrumental intercalibration and algorithm evolution of Dobson/Brewer, Differential Optical Absorption Spectroscopy (DOAS) and EarliNet lidar systems for the purpose of having access to fully characterized ground based dataset for the validation of satellite-derived atmospheric composition measurements. This activity will be extended by ESA to address upcoming satellite air quality needs by establishing a dedicated calibrated ground based measurement network of spectrometers, as well as focus on the improvement of DOAS-based profile retrievals of trace gases (*i.e.*, MaxDOAS).

5.3.10 Carbon Dioxide and Methane, and other GHGs

Importance of this ECV

Carbon dioxide, injected into the atmosphere by the burning of fossil fuels, is the major anthropogenic greenhouse gas. Methane, the second most important anthropogenic greenhouse gas, is introduced into the atmosphere by using natural gas, animal husbandry (enteric fermentation in livestock and manure management), rice cultivation, biomass burning, and waste management. Understanding the sources and sinks for CO₂ and CH₄ is crucial. One of the challenges is to distinguish between natural and anthropogenic sources, for which accurate global measurements are required.

5.3.10.1 GCOS/CEOS Action A28; SS A.8.1

Action: Maintain and enhance the WMO GAW Global Atmospheric CO₂ and CH₄ Monitoring Networks as major contributions to the GCOS Comprehensive Networks for CO₂ and CH₄.

Who: Parties' national services, research agencies, and space agencies, under the guidance of WMO GAW and its Scientific Advisory Group for Greenhouse Gases, in cooperation with the AOPC.

Time-Frame: Ongoing.

Performance Indicator: Dataflow to archive and analyses centres.

Annual Cost Implications: 10-30M US\$ (50% in non-Annex-I Parties).

The complete CEOS response to this action is under development.

5.3.10.2 GCOS/CEOS Action A29; SS A.8.1

Action: Assess the value of the data provided by current space-based measurements of CO₂ and CH₄, and develop and implement proposals for follow-on missions accordingly.

Who: Parties' research institutions and space agencies.

Time-Frame: Urgent, to minimise data gap following GOSAT.

Performance Indicator: Assessment and proposal documents; approval of consequent missions.

Annual Cost Implications: 1-10M US\$ initially, increasing with implementation (10% in non-Annex-I Parties).

CEOS Entities:

- **CEOS Agency Leads:** CSA, ESA, NASA, NOAA
- **CEOS Agency Contributors:** JAXA, CNES
- **CEOS Coordination Mechanisms:** CEOS Carbon Task Force

International Coordination Bodies: GEO

Associated Organizations: TBD

Specific Deliverable(s):

- Several releases of validated time-series of SCIAMACHY, Greenhouse gases Observing Satellite (GOSAT/IBUKI), and Orbiting Carbon Observatory-2 (OCO-2) (after launch in 2014) CH₄ and CO₂ Level 2 and Level 3 data over instrument lifetimes with clear error characterization.
- These data should enable the derivation of regional sources and sinks of greenhouse gases.

Accuracy Requirements:

Requirements for regional CO ₂ and CH ₄ source/sink determination using SCIAMACHY/ENVISAT and TANSO/GOSAT/IBUKI					
Parameter	Req. type	Random error (“Precision”)		Systematic error (“Accuracy”)	Stability
		Single obs.	1000 ² km ² monthly		
XCO ₂	G	< 1 ppm	< 0.3 ppm	< 0.2 ppm (absolute)	As systematic error but per year
	B	< 3 ppm	< 1.0 ppm	< 0.3 ppm (relative ^{§)})	As systematic error but per year
	T	< 8 ppm	< 1.3 ppm	< 0.5 ppm (relative ^{#)})	As systematic error but per year
XCH ₄	G	< 9 ppb	< 3 ppb	< 1 ppb (absolute)	As systematic error but per year
	B	< 17 ppb	< 5 ppb	< 5 ppb (relative ^{§)})	As systematic error but per year
	T	< 34 ppb	< 11 ppb	< 10 ppb (relative ^{#)})	As systematic error but per year

Abbreviations: G=Goal, B=Breakthrough, T=Threshold requirement.

^{§)} Required systematic error after bias correction, where only the application of a constant offset / scaling factor independent of time and location is permitted for bias correction.

^{#)} Required systematic error after bias correction, where bias correction is not limited to the application of a constant offset / scaling factor.

XCO₂ and XCH₄ random (“precision”) and systematic (“accuracy”) retrieval error requirements for measurements over land.

Threshold requirement: The **threshold** is the minimum requirement to be met to ensure that data are useful.

Goal requirement: The **goal** is an ideal requirement above which further improvements are not necessary.

Breakthrough requirement: The **breakthrough** is an intermediate level between “threshold” and “goal“, which, if achieved, would result in a significant improvement for the targeted application. The breakthrough level may be considered as an optimum, from a cost-benefit point of view when planning or designing observing systems.

Key activities and time frames to meet deliverables (2011 – 2015)

- Parallel algorithm improvement and application to SCIAMACHY and GOSAT/IBUKI Level 1 data
- Algorithms/Level 2 data intercomparison
- Algorithms selection for dataset generation
- Algorithms geophysical validation

- Greenhouse gas (GHG) dataset evaluation by models
- Data Reprocessing
- Documentation (algorithm, error characterization, product format)

5.3.11 Ozone

Importance of this ECV

The importance of stratospheric ozone is discussed in Section 5.3.9. In the troposphere, high levels of ozone act as a pollutant as well as a greenhouse gas. Increasing tropospheric ozone concentrations result from photochemical processes involving nitrogen dioxides injected into the atmosphere by industrial emissions and automobile exhausts.

5.3.11.1 GCOS/CEOS Action 32; SS: A.9.1 (total column ozone), A.9.2 (tropospheric ozone), and A.9.3 (ozone profiles)

Action: Continue production of satellite ozone data records (column, tropospheric ozone and ozone profiles) suitable for studies of interannual variability and trend analysis. Reconcile residual differences between ozone datasets produced by different satellite systems.

Who: Space agencies.

Time-Frame: Ongoing.

Performance Indicator: Statistics on availability and quality of data.

Annual Cost Implications: 10-30M US\$ (Mainly by Annex-I Parties).

CEOS Entities:

- **CEOS Agency Leads:** TBD
- **CEOS Agency Contributors:** ESA, EUMETSAT, NASA, NOAA, CSA, CNES, DLR
- **CEOS Coordination Mechanisms:** Atmospheric Composition Virtual Constellation

International Coordination Bodies: International Ozone Commission (IO3C), WCRP Stratospheric Processes and their Role in Climate (SPARC), WMO

Associated Organizations: TBD

Specific Deliverable(s): Series of Instrument Specific Ozone Data Sets (total columns, profiles) with clear error characterization

Accuracy, stability, horizontal resolution, and vertical resolution

ECV: Ozone	GCOS/CEOS Action A32			
		Property (Total O ₃)		
		Tropics	Mid-latitudes	Polar Regions
Accuracy	Target	max(2%; 5DU)	max(2%; 5DU)	max(2%; 5DU)
	Planned	2% (7 DU)	2% (7 DU)	2% (7 DU)
Stability (%/decade)	Target	1	1	1
	Planned	3	3	3
Horizontal resolution (km)	Target	20-50	20-50	20-50
	Planned	100	50-100	50-100
Vertical resolution	N/A			

ECV: Ozone	GCOS/CEOS Action A32			
		Property (nadir based profiles)		
		Profile O ₃ , Troposphere	Profile O ₃ , UT/LS	Profile O ₃ , Middle atmosphere
Accuracy (%)	Target	10-15	10	
	Planned	10	8	8
Stability (%/decade)	Target	1	1	1
	Planned	3	3	3
Horizontal resolution (km)	Target	20-50	100-200	20
	Planned	200	50-100	50-100
Vertical resolution (km)	Target	5	3	3
	Planned	Tropospheric column	6	10

ECV: Ozone	GCOS/CEOS Action A32		
		Property (limb-based profiles)	
		Profile O ₃ , Lower stratosphere	Profile O ₃ , Middle stratosphere
Accuracy (%)	Target	10	5-20
	Planned	8	8
Stability (%/decade)	Target	1	1
	Planned	3	3
Horizontal resolution (km)	Target	100-200	100-200
	Planned	300	300
Vertical resolution (km)	Target	1-2	3
	Planned	3	5

Planned activities/time frames to meet deliverables (2011 – 2015)

For Deliverables 1 and 2

- Suomi NPP launch (Ozone Mapping and Profiler Suite [OMPS]) – October 2011

- EOS-AURA operations as long as instruments are functional (up to 2020)
- Decommissioning of ERS-2 in 2011
- Envisat operations to 2012 (mission terminated in 2012)
- Metop-A operations to at least 2012
- GOSAT/IBUKI operations to at least 2014
- Odin operations (with ESA support) to at least 2012
- Atmospheric Chemistry Experiment-Fourier Transform Spectrometer (ACE-FTS) operations to at least 2012
- Metop-B launch – September 2012
- Launch of new satellites – *e.g.*, Sentinel 5 precursor 2014, SAGE III-ISS 2014

5.3.12 Aerosol Properties

Importance of this ECV

The IPCC has identified anthropogenic aerosols as the most uncertain climate forcing constituent. Aerosols influence the global radiation balance directly by scattering and absorbing radiation and indirectly through their effects on clouds. Some aerosol types scatter sunlight back to space, cooling the Earth; other types absorb solar or infrared radiation, warming the Earth. Sulphate, fossil fuel organic carbon, fossil fuel black carbon, biomass burning and mineral dust aerosols all have an important anthropogenic component and exert a significant direct radiative forcing. Key parameters for determining the direct radiative forcing are the aerosol optical properties (aerosol optical depth, the single scattering albedo, aerosol layer height, and aerosol extinction profile), which vary as a function of wavelength and relative humidity, and the atmospheric loading and geographic distribution of the aerosols in the horizontal and vertical, which change as a function of time. The indirect effect is the mechanism by which aerosols modify the microphysical and hence the radiative properties, amount and lifetime of clouds. The key factor is the effectiveness of an aerosol particle to act as a cloud condensation nucleus. Overall, both the direct and indirect aerosol forcings are negative, counteracting greenhouse gas forcing. The indirect is larger, but more uncertain.

Naturally occurring intense volcanic eruptions inject huge amounts of small particles into the stratosphere where they spread globally and remain for a year or more. They act as veil on the Earth reflecting sunlight back to space, which leads to reduced surface temperatures.

5.3.12.1 GCOS/CEOS Action A33; A.10.1 to A.10.4 (aerosol optical depth, aerosol single scattering albedo, aerosol layer height, and aerosol extinction profiles)

Action: Develop and implement a coordinated strategy to monitor and analyse the distribution of aerosols and aerosol properties. The strategy should address the definition of a GCOS baseline network or networks for *in situ* measurements, assess the needs and capabilities for operational and research satellite missions for the next two decades, and propose arrangements for coordinated mission planning.

Who: Parties' national services, research agencies and space agencies, with guidance from AOPC and in cooperation with WMO GAW and AERONET.

Time-Frame: Ongoing, with definition of baseline *in situ* components and satellite strategy by 2011.

Performance Indicator: Designation of GCOS baseline network(s). Strategy document, followed by implementation of strategy.

Annual Cost Implications: 10-30M US\$ (20% in non-Annex-I Parties).

CEOS is working with the WCRP's GEWEX Data and Assessments Panel (GDAP) to develop a strategy for coordinating the aerosol community in a program to monitor and analyze the distribution of aerosol properties. GEWEX has recently completed a project – Global Aerosol Climatology Project (GACP) – to analyze satellite radiance measurements and field observations in order to infer the global distribution of aerosols, their properties, and their seasonal and interannual variations. A major outcome of this research effort was a 23-year global aerosol climatology compiled from channel-1 and -2 AVHRR data and supplemented by data from other satellites, field observations, and chemical-transport modeling.

The strategy will include the use of data from both operational and research missions. NASA's Glory research mission was to be a remote-sensing Earth-orbiting observatory designed to achieve two primary mission objectives. One was to collect data on the physical and chemical properties as well as the spatial and temporal distributions of aerosols. The other was to continue collection of total solar irradiance data for the long-term climate record. The mission ended March 4, 2011, when the spacecraft failed to reach orbit, due to a malfunctioning launch vehicle, following its launch from Vandenberg Air Force Base in California. However, NASA plans to launch an aerosol mission in the near future, and it will be one of the key satellite missions in the coordinated strategy for aerosols since it will measure the physical and chemical properties of aerosols as well as their spatial and temporal distributions.

5.3.12.2 GCOS/CEOS Action A34; SS: A.11.1

Action: Ensure continuity of products based on space-based measurement of the precursors (NO₂, SO₂, HCHO and CO in particular) of ozone and aerosols and derive consistent emission databases, seeking to improve temporal and spatial resolution.

Who: Space agencies, in collaboration with national environmental agencies and meteorological services.

Time-Frame: Requirement has to be taken into account now in mission planning, to avoid a gap in the 2020 timeframe.

Performance Indicator: Availability of the necessary measurements, appropriate plans for future missions, and derived emission data bases.

Annual Cost Implications: 10-30M US\$ (10% in non-Annex-I Parties).

CEOS Entities:

- **CEOS Agency Leads:** CSA, ESA, NOAA
- **CEOS Agency Contributors:** CMA, CNES, DLR, JAXA/NIES/NICT, NASA
- **CEOS Coordination Mechanisms:** TBD

International Coordination Bodies: GAW

Associated Organizations: KNMI, University of Bremen, Netherlands Institute for Space Research (SRON), Global Emissions Inventory Activity (GEIA), Community Initiative for Emissions Research and Applications (CIERA) and Atmospheric Composition Change the European Network (ACCENT)

Specific Deliverable #1:

- Maintain and continue generation of data records of tropospheric trace gases and aerosol information as retrieved from satellite measurements with clear error characterization.

Accuracy, stability, horizontal resolution, and vertical resolution

ECV: Aerosol Properties	GCOS/CEOS Action A34						
	Property (Tropospheric column)						
		O ₃	Aerosol optical depth	NO ₂	SO ₂	HCHO	CO
Accuracy	Target		Max (0.03; 10%)	max(20%; 0.03 DU)	max(30%; 0.04 DU)	max(30%; 0.04 DU)	20%
	Planned	25%	0.05	10-20%	20%	20%	25%
Stability (/decade)	Target		0.01	2%	5%	5%	2%
	Planned	1-3%	0.01	1%	1%	1%	2-3%
Horizontal resolution (km)	Target	10-15	5-10	5-10	5-10	5-10	5-10
	Planned	10-15	10-15	10-15	10-15	10-15	10-15
Vertical resolution	Target	Tropospheric column					
	Planned						

Planned activities/time frames to meet deliverables (2011 – 2015) –

- EOS-AURA operations as long as instruments are functional (up to 2020)
- Decommissioning of ERS-2 in 2011
- Envisat operations to 2012 (mission terminated in 2012)
- Metop-A operations to at least 2012
- Odin operations (with ESA support) to at least 2012
- ACE-FTS operations to at least 2012
- Launch of new satellites – e.g., Metop-B 2012, Sentinel 5 precursor 2014, SAGE III-ISS 2014

5.4 The Oceans

5.4.1 Introduction

Because of their high heat capacity, the oceans are often referred to as the ‘fly wheel’ of the climate system. The heat absorbed results in only small temperature changes. Heat absorbed at the ocean-atmosphere interface is slowly distributed to the deep ocean by mixing processes. Thus, the oceans act a heat reservoir. This characteristic buffers the climate system from change. The oceans also absorb a significant amount of the CO₂ emitted into the atmosphere. They influence the atmosphere – and vice versa – through transfers of heat, moisture, radiation, gases such as CO₂, and momentum at their interface. While the entire atmosphere is accessible for space, only the surface of the ocean is observable from satellites. Fortunately, one of the key climate variables affecting humankind – sea level – can be measured from satellites.

The ocean domain ECVs for which satellites make a major contribution are listed in Table 2 of Section 3.

5.4.2 Oceanic Domain – Surface: General

5.4.2.1 GCOS/CEOS Action 04; SS O.1

Action: Ensure coordination of contributions to CEOS Virtual Constellations for each ocean surface ECV, in relation to *in situ* ocean observing systems.

Who: Space agencies, in consultation with CEOS Virtual Constellation teams, JCOMM, and GCOS.

Time-Frame: Continuous.

Performance Indicators: Annually updated charts on adequacy of commitments to space-based ocean observing system from CEOS.

Annual Cost Implications: <1M US\$ (Mainly by Annex-I Parties and implementation cost covered in Actions below).

The complete CEOS response to this action is under development.

5.4.3 Sea Surface Temperature

Importance of this ECV

Sea surface temperature is a critical variable for the coupled atmosphere-ocean system. It controls the transfer of heat, water vapor, and CO₂ between the ocean and atmosphere. It influences weather – for example, the formation and development of tropical storms – and climate variations – for example, the El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO) phenomena. It affects marine biodiversity and habitat properties (*e.g.*, coral-reef bleaching). Accurate knowledge of global sea surface temperature (SST) distribution and temporal variation at finer spatial resolution is needed as a key input to forecasting and prediction systems to constrain the modelled upper-ocean circulation and thermal structure at daily, seasonal, decadal and climatic timescales, for the exchange of energy between the ocean and atmosphere in coupled ocean-

atmosphere models and as boundary conditions for ocean forecasting models. Well-defined and error quantified measurements of SST are also required for climate time series (in the form of climate data records) that can be analysed to reveal the role of the ocean in short and long term climate variability and to validate climate model predictions.

5.4.3.1 GCOS/CEOS Action O7; SS: O.1

Action: Continue the provision of best possible SST fields based on a continuous coverage-mix of polar orbiting IR and geostationary IR measurements, combined with passive microwave coverage, and appropriate linkage with the comprehensive *in situ* networks noted in O8.

Who: Space agencies, coordinated through CEOS, CGMS, and WMO Space Programme.

Time-Frame: Continuing.

Performance Indicator: Agreement of plans for maintaining a CEOS Virtual Constellation for SST.

Annual Cost Implications: 1-10M US\$ (for generation of datasets) (Mainly by Annex-I Parties).

CEOS Entities:

- **CEOS Agency Leads:** Sea Surface Temperature Virtual Constellation
- **CEOS Agency Contributors:** CMA, CNES, DLR, JAXA/NIES/NICT, NASA
- **CEOS Coordination Mechanisms:** TBD

International Coordination Bodies: Group for High Resolution Sea Surface Temperature (GHR SST)

Associated Organizations: TBD

Specific Deliverable(s):

To meet GCOS SST requirements integrated analysis products are needed that take advantage of the strengths of each data stream (IR, PM and *in situ*) that make best use of our understanding of the limitations of each data stream, and that adjust for variations in the uncertainty from region to region. Meeting the GCOS global SST requirements is achievable through enhanced global deployment of existing technology and the improved calibration of satellite sensors, better validation of derived products and further advancement of blending methodologies capitalizing on the synergy benefit of different SST observations.

Accuracy, stability, horizontal resolution, and vertical resolution

ECV: Sea-surface temperature	GCOS/CEOS Action O7						
	Property						
		IR SST	Microwave SST	<i>In situ</i> SST	IR FCDR	Microwave FCDR	SST Analyses (Daily)
Accuracy (K)	Target	0.1	0.1	0.1	TBD	TBD	TBD
	Planned	0.16	0.42	0.23	TBD	TBD	0.2-0.5
Stability (K/decade)	Target	0.03	0.03	0.03	TBD	TBD	TBD
	Planned	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD
Horizontal resolution (km)	Target	10	10	10	1	10	10
	Planned	25	25	point	TBD	TBD	25-100

Note: The inaugural meeting of the CEOS Sea Surface Temperature Virtual Constellation (SST-VC) met in Tokyo in May 2012.

Key activities and time frames to meet deliverables (2011 – 2015)

Adequacy/inadequacy of current holdings

SST data holdings are extensive and widely used, however further reprocessing is required to address known problems such as orbit and sensor calibration drifts, identification of clouds, estimation of uncertainties, and aerosol contamination.

Immediate action, partnerships and international coordination

1. Satellite SST data providers should take steps to make their L1b data available for use in the SST reprocessing and re-analysis community.
2. A concerted and immediate effort should be made to ensure the sustained continuity of passive microwave SST using a ~6.9 GHz channel. Steps should be taken to ensure that better accuracy and high spatial resolution are key design goals for future passive microwave satellite radiometers.
3. Maintain and enhance coverage of high frequency observations sufficient to resolve diurnal variability, provided at present by geostationary instruments and improve mechanisms for geostationary SST data exchange.
4. A concerted effort is required to develop a framework to provide robust uncertainty and bias estimates for *in situ* SST data sets that are used for satellite validation and L2 SST algorithm calibration.
5. Full, documented uncertainty information, presented using GCOS terminology and methods is required for all SST products.
6. Cloud screening of IR data is remains a significant challenge, despite nearly 30 years of activity, and failure to detect sub-pixel clouds remains the source of substantial uncertainty in IR satellite data sets. Further development of cloud clearing approaches is urgently required to improve the quality of IR SST FCDR.
7. The performance of IR satellite SST atmospheric correction algorithms in aerosol rich atmospheres must be improved (link to GCOS IP-10 Action A33)

8. More effort must be given to the definition and implementation of ice masking procedures and techniques in Polar Regions for satellite SST observations (link to GCOS IP-10 Action 019)
9. The performance of IR satellite SST atmospheric correction algorithms in polar atmospheres must be improved.
10. Steps should be taken to improve the treatment of side-lobe, ice and rain contamination of passive microwave measurements in the coastal zones.
11. Continue reprocessing of satellite data for providing a homogeneous global SST climate data record, in particular for all passive microwave data sets, geostationary and polar orbiting IR data sets (AVHRR data from 1981 to present requires reprocessing). A systematic framework in which satellite SST data sets can be regularly re-processed and uncertainty estimates provided is required (GCOS IP-10: Action C11). The system should foresee multiple re-processing of L0 (engineering) data through to L2 (geophysical) products to produce the best FCDR for each satellite sensor.
12. Sustain and augment the Argo profiling drifter network with better capability to resolve diurnal thermal stratification in the surface ocean. Argo profiling floats should be equipped with a capability to make detailed SST vertical profile measurements in the top 10 m of the ocean. (link to GCOS IP-10 Action O26)
13. Observing system experiments (OSE), sampling studies and, error analyses (such as the Potential Satellite Bias Error [PSBE]) should be an integral part in the design, development and operation of an integrated SST observing system suited to both near real time operations and climate data record production.
14. Continuing support is needed for efforts such as the GCOS SST/Sea Ice Working Group and the international Group for High-Resolution SST (GHRSSST) Project (and associated CEOS SST Virtual Constellation that is now emerging – link to GCOS IP-10 Action O4) which attempts to make optimum use of satellite and *in situ* observations at the highest feasible space and time resolution whilst continuing to support efforts to improve the absolute accuracy of satellite SST measurements, and improving our understanding of the characteristics of the uncertainties.

5.4.4 Sea Level

Importance of this ECV

Global sea level rise directly threatens coastal infrastructure through increased erosion, more frequent storm-surge flooding, and loss of habitat through wetlands inundation. It is particularly important to all low-lying land regions, including many small-island states. Horizontal gradients in sea level are indicators of ocean circulation. Globally sea level is driven by thermal expansion or contraction and through melting of glaciers and ice. Observations of sea level change can be used to infer the contribution from melting glaciers and ice sheets, if the effects of ocean expansion due to increasing heat content can be accounted for independently, for example from *in situ* ocean profile (Argo) observations.

5.4.4.1 GCOS/CEOS Action O10; SS: O.3

Action: Ensure continuous coverage from one higher-precision, medium-inclination altimeter (the “Reference Mission” and two medium-precision, higher-inclination altimeters (“Complementary Missions”).

Who: Space agencies, with coordination through the CEOS Constellation for Ocean Surface Topography, CGMS, and the WMO Space Programme.

Time-Frame: Continuous.

Performance Indicator: Satellites operating, and provision of data to analysis centres.

Annual Cost Implications: 30-100M US\$ (Mainly by Annex-I Parties).

CEOS Entities:

- **CEOS Agency Leads:** NASA, EUMETSAT
- **CEOS Agency Contributors:** NOAA, CNES, ISRO, CNSA, ESA
- **CEOS Coordination Mechanisms:** Ocean Surface Topography Virtual Constellation

International Coordination Bodies: TBD

Associated Organizations: SOA, NRL

Specific Deliverable(s): Ocean surface topography field that integrates data from all available satellite altimeters to produce a climate record of global sea level.

Accuracy, stability, horizontal resolution, and vertical resolution

- **Accuracy requirements:** Requirements for this and the following three sections have been drawn from the following community-consensus document: *The Next 15 Years of Satellite Altimetry: Ocean Surface Topography User Requirements Document*, prepared by P. Escudier & J.-L. Fellous, CLS.DOS/NT/09.092, 46 pp, November 30, 2009. These requirements are for the “Reference Mission”.

ECV: Sea level	GCOS/CEOS Action O10	
	Property	
	Sea level	
Accuracy (cm)	Target	2-4 mm (global mean); 1 cm over a grid mesh Regional: 1 cm (over grid mesh of 50-100 km)
	Planned	3.4
Stability (mm/yr)	Target	<0.3 (global mean) <1 (for grid mesh of 50 -100 km)
	Planned	1.0
Horizontal resolution (km)	Target	50 global, 25 regional
	Planned	100
Vertical resolution (mm)	Target	0.1
	Planned	0.1

Key activities and time frames to meet deliverables (2011 – 2015)

- Launch of HY-2A in July 2011
- Launch of SARAL/AltiKa in 2012
- Launch of Jason-3 in 2014
- Launch of Sentinel-3A in 2013

5.4.5 Sea Surface Salinity

Importance of this ECV

Sea surface salinity (SSS) together with sea temperature determines the density of seawater; cold and salty water being denser than warm and fresh water. In some regions (*e.g.*, the Arctic), cold and salty water fosters the formation of deep water, which is the process that triggers the so-called thermohaline circulation. This "conveyor belt"-like circulation is an important component of the Earth's heat redistribution, and is crucial in regulating weather and climate.

5.4.5.1 GCOS/CEOS Action O12; SS: O.2

Action: Research programmes should investigate the feasibility of utilizing satellite data to help resolve global fields of sea surface salinity.

Who: Space agencies, in collaboration with the ocean research community.

Time-Frame: Feasibility studies complete by 2014.

Performance Indicator: Reports in literature and to OOPC.

Annual Cost Implications: 1-10M US\$ (Mainly by Annex-I Parties).

ECV: Sea surface salinity	GCOS/CEOS Action O12	
	Property	
	Sea surface salinity	
Accuracy (cm)	Target	0.05 psu
	Planned	TBD
Stability (mm/yr)	Target	0.05 psu
	Planned	TBD
Horizontal resolution (km)	Target	100
	Planned	TBD
	Planned	TBD

ESA's Soil Moisture and Ocean Salinity (SMOS) mission, launched in 2009, was the first satellite with an instrument to measure sea surface salinity (SSS). SMOS was followed by the launch of the joint CONAE/NASA Aquarius/SAC-D in 2011. CEOS will work with the NASA and ESA science teams and the U.S. Climate Variability and Predictability Research Program (CLIVAR) Salinity Working Group to organize research programs involving the ocean research community to investigate the feasibility of utilizing satellite data to help resolve global fields of sea surface salinity. Products from the research satellite missions SMOS and Aquarius/SAC-D will enable development and demonstration of sea-surface salinity measurements from space.

One of the goals of the research is the design of an integrated sea surface salinity observing and analysis system that represents a balance of *in situ* and satellite instruments.

The complete CEOS response to this action is under development.

5.4.6 Ocean Colour

Importance of this ECV

Ocean biology and the carbon cycle are linked. Remote sensing measurements of ocean colour (*i.e.*, the detection of phytoplankton pigments) provide the only global-scale observations of the biology and productivity of the ocean's surface layer. Phytoplankton are microscopic plants that live in the ocean, and like terrestrial plants, they contain the pigment chlorophyll, which gives them their greenish colour. Different shades of ocean colour reveal the presence of differing concentrations of sediments, organic materials and phytoplankton.

Ocean biology is important not only for understanding ocean productivity and biogeochemical cycling, but also because of its impact on oceanic CO₂ and the flux of carbon from the surface to the deep ocean. Over time, organic carbon settles in the deep ocean, a process referred to as the 'biological pump'. CO₂ system measurements, integrated with routine ocean colour and ecological/biogeochemical observations, are critical for understanding the interactions between oceanic physics, biology, chemistry and climate.

5.4.6.1 GCOS/CEOS Action O15; SS: O.6.1

Action: Implement continuity of ocean colour radiance datasets through the plan for an Ocean Colour Radiometry Virtual Constellation.

Who: CEOS space agencies, in consultation with IOCCG and GEO.

Time-Frame: Continuing.

Performance Indicator: Global coverage with consistent sensors operating according to the GCMPs; flow of data into agreed archives.

Annual Cost Implications: 30-100M US\$ (10% in non-Annex-I Parties).

Specific Deliverable(s):

The FCDR for ocean color is the time series of calibrated top-of-atmosphere (TOA) radiances which are then corrected for the atmospheric contribution to the signal, to obtain the water-leaving radiance suite from which data products such as chlorophyll-*a* concentration are derived. The most important ocean color ECV products are the normalized water leaving radiances and chlorophyll-*a* concentration. Other products are in development such as colored, dissolved organic matter and particulate backscatter (used to estimate total suspended material). Ocean color radiances (OCR) products are the only measurements related to biological and biogeochemical processes in the ocean that can be routinely obtained at ocean basin and global ocean scales. These products are used to assess ocean ecosystem health and productivity, to understand the role of the oceans in the global carbon cycle, to manage living marine resources, and to quantify the impacts of climate variability and change.

Accuracy, stability, horizontal resolution, and vertical resolution

Accuracy: 5% for water leaving radiances (for the blue and green wavelengths) and 30% for chlorophyll in the concentration range 0.01-10 mg m⁻³ in Case 1 waters. Planned, next generation, OCR sensors (*e.g.*, Pre-Aerosol, Clouds, and ocean Ecosystem [PACE] and Aerosol, Clouds, and ocean Ecosystem [ACE]) aim at achieving improved accuracy (*i.e.* < than 5% for water leaving radiance and 20% for chlorophyll “a” concentration). The OCR ECV time-series will undoubtedly benefit from this additional capability.

ECV: Ocean colour	GCOS/CEOS Action O15		
	Property		
		Water leaving radiance	Chlorophyll
Accuracy (%)	Target	5 (blue/green wavelengths)	30
	Planned	5	30
Stability (%/decade)	Target	0.5	3
	Planned	TBD	TBD
Horizontal resolution (km)	Target	4	30
	Planned	4	4

Key activities and time frames to meet deliverables (2011 – 2015)

- Provide, through the Ocean Colour Radiometry Virtual Constellation (OCR-VC), long time series of calibrated OCR at key wavelength bands from measurements obtained from multiple satellites. OCR-VC activities will include calibration, validation, merging of satellite and *in situ* data, product generation, as well as development and demonstrations of new and improved applications. Examples and prototypes of programs the OCR-VC will require to meet its objectives include the Sensor Intercomparison for Marine Biological and Interdisciplinary Ocean Studies (SIMBIOS) (NASA), GlobColour (ESA), ChloroGIN (Partnership for Observation of the Global Oceans [POGO]-GEO-Global Ocean Observing System [GOOS]) and Societal Applications in Fisheries and Aquaculture using Remotely-Sensed Imagery (SAFARI) (CSA/GEO) projects.
- Define and implement an international initiative to establish an integrated network for sensor inter-comparison and uncertainty assessment for Ocean Colour Radiometry.
- Consolidate and assess a global OCR ECV based on cross-calibrated OCR FCDR from multiple satellites which should be merged to provide an ECV product of water-leaving radiances beginning with the visible spectrum.

5.4.7 Sea Ice

Importance of this ECV

Sea ice is a feedback variable in climate change. With global warming, ice, which reflects solar radiation, melts and is replaced by open ocean, which absorbs sunlight, thus amplifying the initial warming. The presence of ice affects the heat and moisture fluxes between the ocean and atmosphere. Sea ice also affects the movement of ocean waters. When sea ice forms, most of the salt is pushed into the ocean water below the ice. The higher salinity results in a higher sea water

density. The cold, dense, polar water sinks and moves along the ocean bottom toward the equator, while warm water from mid-depth to the surface travels from the equator toward the poles. Variations in sea ice extent can modify this oceanic global "conveyor-belt" circulation, with profound effects on climate. As a climate impact variable, sea ice extent constrains marine transportation in high latitude regions.

5.4.7.1 GCOS/CEOS Action O19; SS: O.5

Action: Ensure sustained satellite-based (microwave, SAR, visible and IR) sea-ice products.
Who: Parties' national services, research programmes and space agencies, coordinated through the WMO Space Programme and Global Cryosphere Watch, CGMS, and CEOS; National services for *in situ* systems, coordinated through WCRP CliC and JCOMM.
Time-Frame: Continuing.
Performance Indicator: Sea-ice data in International Data Centres.
Annual Cost Implications: 1-10M US\$ (Mainly by Annex-I Parties).

ECV: Sea ice	GCOS/CEOS Action O19				
	Property				
		SI concentration	SI extent/edge	SI thickness	SI drift
Accuracy	Target	5% ice area fraction	5 km	0.1 m	1 km/day
	Planned	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD
Stability (/decade)	Target	5%	TBD	TBD	TBD
	Planned	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD
Horizontal resolution (km)	Target	10-15	1-5	25	5
	Planned	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD

The complete CEOS response to this action is under development.

5.4.8 Oceanic Domain – Sub-surface: General

5.4.8.1 GCOS/CEOS Action O28; SS: N/A

Action: Develop projects designed to assemble the *in situ* and satellite data into a composite reference reanalysis dataset, and to sustain projects to assimilate the data into models in ocean reanalysis projects.
Who: Parties' national ocean research programmes and space supported by WCRP.
Time-Frame: Continuous.
Performance Indicator: Project for data assembly launched, availability and scientific use of ocean reanalysis products.
Annual Cost Implications: 1-10M US\$ (10% in non-Annex-I Parties).

CEOS will work collaboratively with the WCRP towards the development of ocean climate reanalyses, including all appropriate historical data assimilated into ocean models, to create climate variability and trend analyses, and to support seasonal-interannual to decadal climate prediction. Furthermore, the CEOS Virtual Constellation for Sea Surface Temperature (SST-VC), which serves

as the formal link between the Group for High-Resolution Sea Surface Temperature (GHRSSST) and the broader CEOS community, will support efforts to develop analyses and reliable datasets and products of climate variability and trends.

The complete CEOS response to this action is under development.

5.4.9 Oceanic Domain – Scientific and Technological Challenges: Global-scale Observation Capabilities

5.4.9.1 GCOS/CEOS Action O41; SS N/A

Action: Promote and facilitate research and development (new improved technologies in particular), in support of the global ocean observing system for climate.

Who: Parties' national ocean research programmes and space agencies, in cooperation with GOOS, GCOS, and WCRP.

Time-Frame: Continuing.

Performance Indicator: More cost-effective and efficient methods and networks; strong research efforts related to the observing system; number of additional ECVs feasible for sustained observation; improved utility of ocean climate products.

Annual Cost Implications: 30-100M US\$ (10% in non-Annex-I Parties).

The complete CEOS response to this action is under development.

5.5 The Land

5.5.1 Introduction

Compared to the oceans, the land has a relatively low heat capacity, resulting in large diurnal and seasonal variations of surface temperature. Land interacts with the atmosphere through transfers of heat, moisture, radiation, greenhouse gases, and momentum at their common interface. These interactions can lead to significant climate feedbacks, *e.g.*, the snow-albedo feedback mechanism, in the short term, and land cover change-biogeophysical and biogeochemical feedbacks in the longer term. Ice sheets covering the land, with their high heat capacities, have response times of hundreds of years or more. The biosphere plays a key role in carbon cycling, evapotranspiration, and land surface albedo. And, human and natural disturbances of the biosphere – fires, droughts, and land clearing – can have important climatic effects.

The land domain ECVs for which satellite observations make a significant contribution are listed in Table 2 of Section 3.

5.5.2 Monitoring of Terrestrial Biodiversity and Habitats at Key Ecosystem Sites

5.5.2.1 GCOS/CEOS Action T5; SS: T.12

Action: Develop an experimental evaporation product from existing networks and satellite observations.
Who: Parties, national services, research groups through GTN-H, the Integrated Global Water Cycle Observations (IGWCO) partners, TOPC, GEWEX Land Flux Panel and WCRP CliC.
Time frame: 2013-2015.
Performance indicator: Availability of a validated global satellite product of total evaporation.
Annual Cost Implications: 1-10M US\$ (10% in non-Annex-I Parties).

CEOS Entities:

- **CEOS Agency Leads:** TBD
- **CEOS Agency Contributors:** NASA, NOAA, ESA
- **CEOS Coordination Mechanisms:** TBD

International Coordination Bodies: GTN-H, the Integrated Global Water Cycle Observations (IGWCO) partners, WCRP partners Terrestrial Observations Panel for Climate (TOPC), and core projects, GEWEX Land/Atmosphere System Study Panel (GLASS) and Climate and Cryosphere (CliC)

Associated Organizations: TBD

Specific Deliverable(s):

- Ongoing and recently launched satellite missions will provide the data needed to develop an evaporation product that combines *in situ* and satellite observations. Accurate satellite observations of total-column water vapor will facilitate the establishment of reliable links between humidity changes and changes in precipitation and evaporation.
- SMOS and Aquarius/SAC-D measure soil moisture and sea surface salinities, key variables related to evaporation from land and ocean.
- Satellite observations of lake level and area provide information on lake water volume, which is an integrator of a number of variables including evaporation.

5.5.3 Lakes

Importance of this ECV

The world's 150 largest lakes contain 95% of the water in all the world's lakes. Most of these large lakes are hydrologically open. The volume of water in lakes reflects both atmospheric (precipitation, evaporation-energy) and hydrological conditions (surface-water recharge, discharge and ground-water tables)... Observing lake freeze-up and break-up dates is an important indicator for climate change in boreal and polar regions.

5.5.3.1 GCOS/CEOS Action T8; SS: T.1.1 and T.1.2

Action: Submit weekly/monthly lake level/area data to the International Data Centre; submit weekly/monthly altimeter-derived lake levels by space agencies to HYDROLARE.

Who: National Hydrological Services through WMO CHy, and other institutions and agencies providing and holding data; space agencies; HYDROLARE.

Time-Frame: 90% coverage of available data from GTN-L by 2012.

Performance Indicator: Completeness of database.

Annual Cost Implications: 1-10M US\$ (40% in non-Annex-I Parties).

CEOS Entities:

- **CEOS Agency Leads:** CNES
- **CEOS Agency Contributors:** NASA, NOAA, ESA, ISRO, EUMETSAT
- **CEOS Coordination Mechanisms:** TBD

International Coordination Bodies: TBD

Associated Organizations: TBD

Specific Deliverable(s):

- Standardized long-term and near-real time surface water height variations from the historical and current suite of satellite radar altimeters. Data should include target location (central latitude/longitude), type (natural or man-made impoundment such as open/closed/ephemeral lake and reservoir), time of measurement, average height, height error, reference frame, mean radar backscatter coefficient and/or freeze/thaw indicator, correction matrix. The matrix should describe which altimetric range and height corrections have been applied, and their assumed errors.
- Standardized long-term and near real time lake surface extent derived from satellite imaging instruments.

Accuracy, stability, horizontal resolution, and vertical resolution

ECV: Lakes	GCOS/CEOS Action T8		
	Property	Lake level	Lake area
Accuracy	Target	50 cm	5%
	Planned	10 cm	5%
Stability (%/decade)	Target	10 cm	5
	Planned	TBD	TBD
Horizontal resolution (km)	Target	N/A	0.25
	Planned	TBD	TBD

Key activities and time frames to meet deliverables (2011 – 2015)

- Require a high resolution map showing location of world's lakes.
- Require international consensus and cooperation's on formation and implementation of any global database.
- Requires formation of dedicated team to ingest, assemble and deliver lake level products. Near real time applications will require system automation with some manual oversight.

5.5.3.2 GCOS/CEOS Action T10; SS: N/A

Action: Submit weekly surface and sub-surface water temperature, date of freeze-up and date of break-up of lakes in GTN-L to HYDROLARE.

Who: National Hydrological Services and other institutions and agencies holding and providing data; space agencies.

Time-frame: Continuous.

Performance Indicator: Completeness of database

Annual Cost Implications: <1M US\$ (40% in non-Annex-I Parties).

CEOS Entities:

- **CEOS Agency Leads:** NASA, ESA
- **CEOS Agency Contributors:** NOAA
- **CEOS Coordination Mechanisms:** TBD

International Coordination Bodies: Global Lake Temperature Collaboration (GLTC), GHRSSST

Associated Organizations: TBD

Specific Deliverable(s):

- Weekly surface water temperatures for 500 largest inland water bodies
- from a model that assimilates satellite observations
- Weekly sub-surface water temperatures for 500 largest inland water bodies
- Dates of freeze-up and break up for large inland water bodies in appropriate climate zones

Accuracy, stability, horizontal resolution, and vertical resolution

ECV: Lakes	GCOS/CEOS Action T10			
	Property			
		Surface temperature (weekly)	Assimilation model sub-surface temperature (weekly)	Date of freeze-up
Accuracy	Target	0.1 K	0.2 K	hr
	Planned	0.2 K	2 K	day
Stability (K/decade)	Target	0.05 K/decade	0.05 K/decade	TBD
	Planned	0.1 K/decade	0.1 K/decade	TBD
Horizontal resolution (km)	Target	0.1	1	Whole body
	Planned	1	Whole body	Whole body
Vertical resolution (m)	Target	N/A	1	N/A
	Planned	N/A	1	N/A

Key activities and time frames to meet deliverables (2011 – 2015)

- Automated generation of the surface temperature of the largest 500 inland water bodies from all available satellites with 1 km resolution (AVHRR, Along Track Scanning Radiometer [ATSR], Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer [MODIS], VIIRS). Each sensor would provide a set of discrete temperatures
- Single temperature product generated from all products generated weekly
- Single temperature product generated from all other products and models (this would provide temperatures on cloudy days. Retrievals would be daily).

5.5.4 Soil Moisture

Importance of this ECV

Soil moisture is an important variable in land-atmosphere feedbacks because of its major effect on the partitioning of incoming radiation into latent and sensible heat and on the allocation of precipitation into runoff, subsurface flow, and infiltration. Soil moisture is intimately involved in the feedback between climate and vegetation, since local climate and vegetation both influence soil moisture through evapotranspiration, while soil moisture and climate determine the type of vegetation in a region. Soil moisture estimates can also assist gas flux estimates in permafrost regions. As a climate impact variable, soil moisture affects agricultural and natural vegetation productivity, the likelihood of flash floods, the management of agricultural and city water, and the spread of vector-borne diseases such as Dengue fever and malaria.

5.5.4.1 GCOS/CEOS Action T13; SS: T.11

Action: Develop a record of validated globally-gridded near-surface soil moisture from satellites.
Who: Parties' national services and research programmes, through GEWEX and TOPC in collaboration with space agencies.
Time frame: 2014.
Performance indicator Availability of globally validated soil moisture products from the early satellites until now.
Annual Cost Implications: 1-10M US\$ (10% in non-Annex-I Parties).

CEOS Entities:

- **CEOS Agency Leads:** ESA
- **CEOS Agency Contributors:** EUMETSAT, NASA
- **CEOS Coordination Mechanisms:** TBD

International Coordination Bodies: International Soil Moisture Working Group (ISMWG), GEWEX, TOPC, WCRP Data and Assimilation Committee (WDAC)

Associated Organizations: TBD

Specific Deliverable(s):

- 30+ years surface soil moisture data record derived from active (European Remote Sensing Satellite-2 [ERS-2] scatterometer, Metop Advanced Scatterometer [ASCAT]) and passive (Scanning Multichannel Microwave Radiometer [SMMR], TMI, Advanced Microwave Scanning Radiometer – EOS [AMSR-E], Windsat, SSM/I) microwave observations. Unit will be in volumetric soil moisture (m^3m^{-3}) and alternatively in degree of saturation (%). ESA projects Water Cycle Observation Multi-mission Strategy (WACMOS) (<http://wacmos.itc.nl/>) and ESA's Climate Change Initiative (CCI - the soil moisture project) recently began in December 2011.

Accuracy, stability, horizontal resolution, and vertical resolution

ECV: Soil moisture	GCOS/CEOS Action T13	
	Property	
	Soil moisture	
Accuracy (m^3m^{-3})	Target	0.04
	Planned	0.08, Variable, dependent on land cover
Stability (m^3m^{-3} per year)	Target	0.01
	Planned	0.01, Variable, dependent on land cover
Horizontal resolution (km)	Target	50
	Planned	100 km, Variable over time

Key activities and time frames to meet deliverables (2011 – 2015)

- Completion of the ESA project WACMOS (early-mid 2012)
- Climate Change Initiative (CCI) Soil Moisture project (12/2011-11/2014)

5.5.4.2 GCOS/CEOS Action T14; SS: T.11

Action: Develop Global Terrestrial Network for Soil Moisture (GTN-SM).

Who: Parties' national services and research programmes, through IGWCO, GEWEX and TOPC in collaboration with space agencies.

Time frame: 2014.

Performance indicator: Fully functional GTN-SM with a set of *in situ* observations (possibly collocated with reference network, cf. T3), with standard measurement protocol and data quality and archiving procedures.

Annual Cost Implications: 1-10M US\$ (40% in non-Annex-I Parties).

CEOS Entities:

- **CEOS Agency Leads:** ESA
- **CEOS Agency Contributors:** TBD
- **CEOS Coordination Mechanisms:** TBD

International Coordination Bodies: GEWEX, ISMWG

Associated Organizations: TBD

Specific Deliverable(s):

- International Soil Moisture Network (ISMN) at <http://www.ipf.tuwien.ac.at/insitu/>

Accuracy, stability, horizontal resolution, and vertical resolution

ECV: Soil moisture	GCOS/CEOS Action T14	
	Property	
	Soil moisture	
Accuracy ($m^3 m^{-3}$)	Target	0.04
	Planned	TBD
Stability ($m^3 m^{-3}$ per year)	Target	0.01
	Planned	TBD
Horizontal resolution (km)	Target	50
	Planned	TBD
Vertical resolution	Target	TBD
	Planned	Sensors at depths of: 5, 10,20, and 50 cm

Key activities and time frames to meet deliverables (2011 – 2015)

- ISMN has been set up at <http://www.ipf.tuwien.ac.at/insitu/> and runs very successfully

- Initial data quality and archiving procedures have been set up
- Standard measurement protocol still needs to be developed and agreed upon

5.5.5 Snow Cover

Importance of this ECV

Snow cover is a sensitive indicator of climate change and plays a key role in the climate system. The high albedo of snow (0.8 to 0.9 for fresh snow) compared to snow free surfaces (0.1 to 0.3) leads to an important feedback mechanism. Increasing surface temperatures cause snow to melt, leading to decreased reflection of solar radiation, enhanced heating of the surface, and further amplification of the surface warming. The strength of the feedback depends on the difference between the snow albedo, which is influenced by the depth and age of the snow cover, vegetation height, the amount of incoming solar radiation and cloud cover, and the background albedo, *i.e.*, the albedo of the snow-free surface. Snow cover governs freezing and thawing of the ground and affects soil moisture and runoff. Snow cover has major impacts on water resources, agricultural output, natural vegetation growth, business activity, transportation, and tourism. Snow depth and snow-water equivalent also affect permafrost thermal state, soil temperatures and other characteristics of the ground.

5.5.5.1 GCOS/CEOS Action T16; SS: T.2

Action: Obtain integrated analyses of snow cover over both hemispheres.

Who: Space agencies and research agencies in cooperation with WMO GCW and CLiC, with advice from TOPC, AOPC and IACS.

Time-Frame: Continuous.

Performance Indicator: Availability of snow-cover products for both hemispheres.

Annual Cost Implications: 1-10M US\$ (Mainly by Annex-I Parties).

CEOS Entities:

- **CEOS Agency Leads:** NASA, NOAA
- **CEOS Agency Contributors:** ESA, EUMETSAT
- **CEOS Coordination Mechanisms:** TBD

International Coordination Bodies: WCRP CLiC

Associated Organizations: GlobSnow

Specific Deliverable(s):

- MODIS snow and ice global daily products have been available since 24 February 2000 and are free to download.
- Rutgers Global Snow Lab provides weekly or daily snow maps over Northern Hemisphere lands from 1966 to the present. These are generated from NOAA analyses and are free.

- Daily to multiple-day maps of snow cover (extent, depth and or snow water equivalent) are also generated by several teams using multi-channel microwave data to support operational programs.

Accuracy, stability, horizontal resolution, and vertical resolution

ECV: Snow cover	GCOS/CEOS Action T16		
	Property		
		Snow area	Snow water equivalent
Accuracy	Target	5%	10 mm
	Planned	5%	TBD
Stability(/decade)	Target	4%	10 mm
	Planned	5%	TBD
Horizontal resolution (km)	Target	1 100 m in complex terrain	1
	Planned	TBD	TBD

Key activities and time frames to meet deliverables (2011 – 2015)

- Finalize Air force Weather Agency (AFWA)-NASA Snow Algorithm (ANSA) blended snow product if funding permits and make the data available to all users.
- Study the consistency between the MODIS and VIIRS snow-cover data products and establish error bars.
- Ongoing enhancement of Ice Mapping System (IMS) at the U.S. National Snow and Ice Data Center (NSIDC)
- Further integration of satellite (visible and microwave) and surface information

5.5.6 Ice Sheets

Importance of this ECV

Because the major ice sheets have a huge heat capacity and mainly transfer heat by conduction, changes in ice volume generally occur on long time scales – millennia. Snow and ice-albedo feedbacks enhance any initial ice melting due to a forced warming, such as anthropogenic greenhouse gases. As land-based ice melts it alters sea level: ice-volume changes have been one of the primary controls on sea-level change during the past 34 M/yr. Recent research indicates that more rapid changes in ice-sheet mass have contributed to relatively abrupt – decadal to centennial time scales – changes in climate and sea level in the past.

5.5.6.1 GCOS/CEOS Action T20; SS: T.4

Action: Ensure continuity of laser, altimetry, and gravity satellite missions adequate to monitor ice masses over decadal timeframes.

Who: Space agencies, in cooperation with WCRP CliC and TOPC.

Time-Frame: New sensors to be launched: 10-30 years.

Performance Indicator: Appropriate follow-on missions agreed.

Annual Cost Implications: 30-100M US\$ (Mainly by Annex-I Parties).

ECV: Ice sheets	GCOS/CEOS Action T20			
	Property			
		Surface elevation change	Ice velocity	Mass change
Accuracy	Target	0.1 m/yr	10 m/yr	10 km ³ /yr
	Planned	TBD	TBD	TBD
Stability (decade)	Target	0.1 m/yr	10 m/yr	10 km ³ /yr
	Planned	TBD	TBD	TBD
Horizontal resolution (km)	Target	0.1	1	50
	Planned	TBD	TBD	TBD

CEOS, through its WGClimate, will cooperate with WCRP CliC and TOPC, to ensure continuity of laser, altimetry, and gravity satellite missions adequate to monitor ice masses over decadal timeframes. Current missions include NASA’s Gravity Recovery and Climate Experiment (GRACE), launched in 2002, and ESA’s CryoSat-2, carrying a precise radar altimeter, and launched in 2010. Also, the RADARSAT-1 and -2 satellites, launched by Canada in 1995 and 2007, are still operating; the TerraSAR-X and TanDEM-X satellites, launched by Germany in 2007 and 2010 are still operating; and the Cosmo-Skymed four-satellite constellation launched between 2007 and 2010 is still currently in operation. Planned missions include the Ice, Cloud, and land Elevation Satellite-2 (ICESat-2), scheduled for launch in early 2016. ICESat-2 is the 2nd-generation of the laser altimeter ICESat mission (January 13, 2003 to August 14, 2010). The Gravity Recovery and Climate Experiment (GRACE) follow-on mission is tentatively scheduled for launch as early as 2017. NASA also operates the IceBridge aircraft to compensate for the gap between ICESat and ICESat-2.

The complete CEOS response to this action is under development.

5.5.7 Permafrost

Importance of this ECV

Permafrost, or perennially frozen ground, is a critical component of the cryosphere. Permafrost regions occupy approximately 24% of the terrestrial surface of the Northern Hemisphere. The thickness of permafrost ranges from a few meters to many hundreds of meters, depending on the local climate. The presence or absence of permafrost and its stability depends on ground-surface temperature. Permafrost soils are extremely rich in organic carbon. It is estimated that they trap about twice the total amount of carbon currently in the atmosphere. When the soil remains frozen, the carbon is largely inert, but when the permafrost thaws, the decomposition of organic matter through microbial activity increases sharply, releasing large amounts of carbon into the atmosphere as CO₂ and methane, which amplify any greenhouse effects. As the ground melts, it becomes less stable, undermining the structures of buildings, roads, pipelines, airports, and other industrial facilities, and causing them to collapse.

5.5.7.1 GCOS/CEOS Action T23; SS: T.12

Action: Implement operational mapping of seasonal soil freeze/thaw through an international initiative for monitoring seasonally-frozen ground in non-permafrost regions.

Who: Parties, space agencies, national services, and NSIDC, with guidance from International Permafrost Association, the IGOS Cryosphere Theme team, and WMO GCW.

Time-Frame: Complete by 2015.

Performance Indicator: Number and quality of mapping products published.

Annual Cost Implications: 1-10M US\$ (10% in non-Annex-I Parties).

CEOS Entities:

- **CEOS Agency Leads:** NASA
- **CEOS Agency Contributors:** ESA, CSA, JAXA
- **CEOS Coordination Mechanisms:** TBD

International Coordination Bodies: International Permafrost Association, WMO Global Cryosphere Watch (GCW)

Associated Organizations: TBD

Specific Deliverable(s):

The deliverable is for operational mapping and monitoring of soil freeze/thaw state dynamics over the global cryosphere, where seasonally frozen temperatures are a major constraint to landscape water mobility and ecosystem processes. This activity would involve satellite active and passive microwave remote sensing retrievals of landscape freeze/thaw status in context with *in situ* station network measurements of air, vegetation and soil temperature profiles; these observations would be combined within a model data assimilation framework for estimating soil freeze/thaw status with robust characterization of classification accuracy and prediction uncertainty. Appropriate data sources for model assimilation-based predictions of soil freeze/thaw processes, include; 1) satellite multi-frequency and H/V polarization active and passive microwave remote sensing records (*e.g.*, NASA Soil Moisture Active-Passive [SMAP], ESA SMOS, JAXA GCOM-W AMSR, U.S. Defense Meteorological Satellite Program [DMSP] SSM/I sensors); 2) satellite thermal IR based land surface “skin” temperatures (*e.g.*, NASA MODIS, Advanced Spaceborne Thermal Emission and Reflection Radiometer [ASTER], Suomi NPP and JPSS VIIRS sensors); 3) global model reanalysis data (*e.g.*, Global Modeling and Assimilation Office [GMAO], Modern-Era Retrospective Analysis for Research and Applications [MERRA], NCAR National Centers for Environmental Prediction-2 [NCEP2], ERA-Interim); 4) *in situ* measurement network observations of surface meteorology and soil properties (*e.g.*, WMO weather stations, FLUXNET tower sites, Circumpolar Active Layer Monitoring [CALM] network sites); 5) other synergistic ancillary datasets including land cover classification, vegetation canopy leaf area, biomass and optical depth, snow cover, soil texture and terrain data.

Accuracy, stability, horizontal resolution, and vertical resolution

ECV: Permafrost	GCOS/CEOS Action T23	
	Property	
	Freeze/thaw state	
Accuracy (mean spatial classification, %)	Target	80 (daily)
	Planned	80 (daily)
	Threshold	70 (3 days)
Stability (%/decade)	Target	3
	Planned	3
	Threshold	5
Horizontal resolution (km)	Target	1
	Planned	3
	Threshold	25
Vertical resolution (cm)	Target	0-100
	Planned	10
	Threshold	5

Key activities and time frames to meet deliverables (2011 – 2015)

Several objectives and milestones can be accomplished to meet near-term product and science deliverables with minimal cost by leveraging existing datasets and other ongoing programs to maximize potential effectiveness and benefit. These activities have high probability for success in developing a comprehensive soil freeze/thaw product with robust accuracy and well quantified uncertainty to meet the above science objectives.

Global satellite freeze-thaw records are now available from SMMR, SSM/I and AMSR-E that provide relatively well documented accuracy at moderate (25-km) spatial scales and daily (AM/PM) temporal fidelity; these records are available through existing public data archives (*e.g.*, NSIDC) and can be exploited immediately together in context with available measurement networks and land models (*e.g.*, GEOS-5) for production of soil freeze/thaw estimates with sufficient accuracy to meet science requirements for global monitoring and regional climate change assessment. Soil freeze/thaw products can also be developed by establishing empirical relationships between the existing satellite records and currently available *in situ* soil temperature measurement networks; these empirical models are relatively efficient for smaller regions (*e.g.*, over individual monitoring sites and sub-regions), but less robust for continuous regional to global scale predictions of soil freeze/thaw dynamics.

Overlapping satellite active and passive microwave records should be evaluated, integrated and exploited for the production of cross-calibrated brightness temperature and backscatter retrievals and enhanced freeze/thaw records, including finer spatial scales and better resolution of individual landscape elements. These data can then be used with available *in situ* measurements and ancillary data using data assimilation techniques for production of contiguous soil freeze/thaw maps with well quantified accuracy and uncertainty. The accuracy of these products should be sufficient to meet most of the above science requirements though product accuracy is

expected to be lower in spatially heterogeneous or higher biomass areas where the satellite retrievals are degraded.

New dedicated satellite operational freeze/thaw products will become available following the planned launch of NASA's SMAP satellite in 2014; these products include level 3 freeze/thaw and level 4 model assimilation based soil moisture and temperature profiles. These products will provide enhanced L-band sensitivity to soil processes and relatively fine (~1km) spatial resolution. Other synergistic satellite microwave retrievals from AMSR2 will become available after the initial functional verification and calibration of the instrument are concluded following the successful May 2012 launch of GCOM-W1/Shizuku. These new retrievals and products can be effectively integrated and exploited for production of higher accuracy soil freeze/thaw products through the methods and activities described above. Dedicated calibration and monitoring sites should also be designated, developed, and sustained that are specifically designed to support soil freeze/thaw product calibration and validation. These sites should be representative of the major biomes and climate regimes within the global cryosphere. The operation and support of these sites can be leveraged with suitable satellite mission activities, including SMOS, AMSR2 and SMAP, to coordinate with various agencies and programs to identify, design and support site observations and field campaigns for sensor and product cal/val activities.

5.5.8 Albedo

Importance of this ECV

The albedo of the Earth's surface is the fraction of solar radiation it reflects and thus controls the heating of the Earth's continents (and oceans). Albedo is a feedback variable affecting the climate and a sensitive indicator of environmental change. Albedo varies in space and time as a result of both natural processes (*e.g.*, surface and vegetation types, snowcover, vegetation density and health, and fires) and human activities (*e.g.*, forestry, agriculture, and fires). Climate change alters snow and land cover, which affects surface albedos, leading to feedbacks that amplify or diminish the original change.

5.5.8.1 GCOS/CEOS Action T24; SS: T.5

Action: Obtain, archive, and make available *in situ* calibration/validation measurements and collocated albedo products from all space agencies generating such products; promote benchmarking activities to assess the quality and reliability of albedo products.

Who: Space agencies in cooperation with CEOS WGCV.

Time-Frame: Full benchmarking/intercomparison by 2012.

Performance Indicator: Publication of inter-comparison/validation reports.

Annual Cost Implications: 1-10M US\$ (20% in non-Annex-I Parties).

CEOS Entities:

- **CEOS Agency Leads:** ESA, NASA
- **CEOS Agency Contributors:** NOAA, EUMETSAT

- **CEOS Coordination Mechanisms:** CEOS WGCV CalVal Portal, CEOS WGCV Land Product Validation (LPV) Subgroup

International Coordination Bodies: ISMWG, GEWEX, TOPC, WDAC

Associated Organizations: TBD

Data Contributions:

Satellite-inferred land surface albedo products:

AVHRR, CERES, GEOLAND, GLOBALBEDO, LANDSAT, Meteosat First Generation (MFG), Multiangle Imaging SpectroRadiometer (MISR), MODIS, MSG, POLDER, VIIRS

In situ network data:

Aerosol Robotic Network (AERONET), AMERIFLUX, Baseline Surface Radiation Network (BSRN), CARBOEUROPE, FLUXNET, GAW (Global Atmosphere Watch), ILTER, NEON.

Specific Deliverable(s):

Deliverable 1: Land surface albedo validation protocol

Deliverable 1 is a protocol for satellite albedo validation and intercomparison. It addresses definitions, operational products, description of *in situ* data, strategy for validation (with *in situ* data) and intercomparison (between satellite products) of global products (spatial, temporal, spectral considerations), as well as output metrics to describe data quality.

Existing operational satellite products differ in data input, processing algorithm, and output quantity, with major differences in geometrical configuration (view-sun angle geometry), spatial, temporal, and spectral resolution.

Deliverable 1, the albedo validation protocol, will address definitions of albedo quantities, operational satellite and *in situ* albedo data. Based on the product description, the protocol specifies physical quantities and spatial, temporal and spectral resolutions recommended for validation and intercomparison.

Direct validation of satellite data requires *in situ* measurements. The Baseline Surface Radiation Network (BSRN) data set typifies tower-based albedometer measurements of high radiometric quality. However tower-based measurements cover a footprint that is considerably smaller than the spatial point spread function of a satellite pixel. The protocol therefore addresses a methodology to test the area covered by *in situ* observations for its representativeness at the satellite pixel resolution, as well as temporal selection and averaging of *in situ* data.

The development of a surface albedo validation and intercomparison protocol is planned as activity of the surface radiation focus area of CEOS WGCV LPV, led by G. Schaepman-Strub, C. Schaaf and M. Roman. A draft was compiled in early 2012 and distributed to the LPV surface

radiation focus group and all members listed above. A finalized land surface albedo validation protocol is expected by fourth quarter calendar year 2012.

Deliverable 2: Validation and intercomparison algorithm

Based on Deliverable 1 (albedo validation protocol), the OLIVE tool of the CEOS CalVal portal is programmed to comply with the defined statistical design (accuracy measures, temporal and spatial resolution) and format of archived BSRN (Deliverable 2) and satellite data (Deliverable 3). Narrow to broadband conversion is implemented within On-line Interactive Validation Exercise (OLIVE) for sensors restricted to narrowband products.

Deliverable 3: Satellite albedo products

Selection of products: broadband and spectral black-sky (Directional-Hemispherical Reflectance [DHR]) and white-sky albedo (BiHemispherical Reflectance [BHR]), quality flags (Bidirectional Reflectance Distribution Function [BRDF] inversion, backup retrieval, gap-filled etc.), and period of validation. Re-projection of satellite data is performed within Oak Ridge National Laboratory Distributed Active Archive Center (ORNL DAAC).

Deliverable 4: Archived *in situ* network data

Deliverable 4 contains existing *in situ* measurements (Table 1) required for T24 processed to comply with requirements for validation of satellite-inferred albedo products.

Highest priority is given to the Baseline Surface Radiation Network (BSRN) data set as a ‘golden standard’ due to its high radiometric quality. Additional network data as listed above will be considered depending on the data quality and site representativeness.

The representativeness of each BSRN site for the spatial resolution of different satellite products will be tested. The representativeness test, based on variograms for leaf-on and leaf-off conditions, was performed for MODIS albedo product resolution (500m), but needs adaptation for the spatial resolutions of all albedo products participating in the validation.

Temporal resolution and coverage of *in situ* data (e.g., BSRN, AERONET, FLUXNET) are defined and metadata (e.g., cloud cover) generated. Metadata is required as selection criteria for specific atmospheric conditions, such as clear-sky or 100% cloud cover when validating corresponding satellite albedo quantities. A selection of *in situ* network sites and corresponding albedo data and metadata are archived in appropriate temporal resolution and coverage within the CEOS CalVal portal (<http://calvalportal.ceos.org>) or ORNL DAAC.

T24-Deliverable 5: Satellite product intercomparison results

Based on T24 Deliverables 3 and 4, the intercomparison is performed for all operational satellite albedo products, and results on product differences and biases provided and published in peer-reviewed literature.

T24-Deliverable 6: Satellite products validated against *in situ* data

Based on T24 Deliverables 2-4, product validation is performed for all operational albedo products, and results on data accuracy and stability provided and published in peer-reviewed literature.

Accuracy, stability, horizontal resolution, and vertical resolution

ECV: Albedo	GCOS/CEOS Action T24	
	Property	
	Albedo	
Accuracy (%)	Target	max(5%; 0.0025)
	Planned	5
Stability (%/decade)	Target	max(1%; 0.0001)
	Planned	1
Horizontal resolution (km)	Target	1
	Planned	1

Key activities and time frames to meet deliverables (2011 – 2015)

- 10.2012: Deliverable 1: Land surface albedo validation protocol
- 03.2013: Deliverable 2: Validation and intercomparison algorithm
- 09.2013: Deliverable 3: Satellite albedo products
- 09.2013: Deliverable 4: Archived *in situ* network data
- 03.2014: Deliverable 5: Satellite product intercomparison results
- 06.2014: Deliverable 6: Satellite products validated against *in situ* data
- 12.2014: Intercomparison and validation results published in peer-reviewed literature

5.5.8.2 GCOS/CEOS Action T25; SS: T.5

Action: Implement globally coordinated and linked data processing to retrieve land surface albedo from a range of sensors on a daily and global basis using both archived and current Earth Observation systems.

Who: Space agencies, through the CGMS and WMO Space Programme.

Time-Frame: Reprocess archived data by 2012, then generate continuously.

Performance Indicator: Completeness of archive.

Annual Cost Implications: 1-10M US\$ (Mainly by Annex-I Parties)

CEOS, through its WGClimate, and working with the CGMS and WMO Space Programme, will coordinate a global effort to construct land surface albedo datasets from archived and current satellite observations. The WMO Space Programme's SCOPE-CM has already established a project on land surface albedo:

Surface albedo, clouds and aerosols from geostationary satellite Imagers (Geo).

- Collaborators: EUMETSAT, JMA, and NOAA

SCOPE-CM will build on this start with the goal of adding polar orbiting data and developing an integrated LEO-GEO land surface albedo dataset.

Accuracy, stability, horizontal resolution, and vertical resolution

ECV: Albedo	GCOS/CEOS Action T24	
	Property	
	Albedo	
Accuracy (%)	Target	max(5%; 0.0025)
	Planned	TBD
Stability (%/decade)	Target	max(1%; 0.0001)
	Planned	TBD
Horizontal resolution (km)	Target	1
	Planned	TBD

The complete CEOS response to this action is under development.

5.5.9 Land Cover

Importance of this ECV

Land cover describes the distribution of natural and agricultural vegetation types, and the human use of the land for living space and infrastructure. Land cover affects the services provided to human society (*e.g.*, food, fibre, shelter, etc.). Natural vegetation distributions are governed by climatic factors – primarily sunshine, rainfall, and temperature – and changes in vegetation provide a way to monitor climate change. But land cover also influences the climate. Key links between changes in land cover and climate include the exchange of greenhouse gases (such as water vapor, carbon dioxide, methane, and nitrous oxide) between the land surface and the atmosphere, the radiation (both solar – through surface albedo changes – and long-wave – through emissivity changes) balance of the land surface, the exchange of sensible heat between the land surface and the atmosphere, and the roughness of the land surface and its uptake of momentum from the atmosphere. Because of these strong links, changes in land use and land cover can be important contributors to climate change and variability. Human activities such as deforestation and slash/burn agricultural practices modify land cover and introduce an additional anthropogenic forcing to the climate system.

5.5.9.1 GCOS/CEOS Action T27; SS: T.6.1 (Moderate-resolution maps of land-cover type) and T.6.2 (High-resolution maps of land-cover type)

Action: Generate annual products documenting global land-cover characteristics and dynamics at resolutions between 250 m and 1 km, according to internationally-agreed standards and accompanied by statistical descriptions of their accuracy.

Who: Parties' national services, research institutes and space agencies in collaboration with GLCN and GOCF-GOLD research partners and the GEO Forest Carbon Tracking task team.

Time-Frame: By 2011, then continuously.

Performance Indicator: Dataset availability.

Annual Cost Implications: 1-10M US\$ (20% in non-Annex-I Parties).

CEOS Entities:

- **CEOS Agency Leads:** NASA
- **CEOS Agency Contributors:** ESA, NOAA
- **CEOS Coordination Mechanisms:** TBD

International Coordination Bodies: GOCF-GOLD

Associated Organizations: TBD

Description of the Deliverable(s): Global land cover type maps, produced on a five year cycle, displaying general categories of land cover are required on a five-year cycle to depict the covers and probable land uses across the globe. In addition, fractional land cover components (*e.g.*, trees, herbaceous cover, barren surfaces, water) produced on an annual basis to provide a means to target areas experiencing land cover change and land degradation or improvement.

Accuracy, stability, horizontal resolution, and vertical resolution

ECV: Land cover	GCOS/CEOS Action T27		
	Property		
		Land cover type (per class and overall)	Land cover fraction (per pixel)
Accuracy (%)	Target	15 (moderate resolution) 5 (high resolution)	5
	Planned	80	10
Stability (%/decade)	Target	15 (moderate resolution) 5 (high resolution)	5
	Planned	10	10
Horizontal resolution	Target	250 m(moderate resolution) 10-30 m (high resolution)	0.5 input pixels
	Planned	1	1

Key activities and time frames to meet deliverables (2011 – 2015)

- Continuation of MODIS and Medium Resolution Imaging Spectrometer (MERIS) (GlobCover) initiatives (ongoing)
- Implementation of VIIRS Earth cover products (2012)
- Identify strengths and weaknesses between different global land cover products (ongoing)

5.5.9.2 GCOS/CEOS Action T28; SS: T.12

Action: Generate maps documenting global land cover based on continuous 10-30 m land surface imagery every 5 years, according to internationally-agreed standards and accompanied by statistical descriptions of their accuracy.

Who: Space agencies, in cooperation with GCOS, GTOS, GOF-C-GOLD, GLCN, and other members of CEOS.

Time-Frame: First by 2012, then continuously.

Performance Indicator: Availability of operational plans, funding mechanisms, eventually maps.

Annual Cost Implications: 10-30M US\$ (20% in non-Annex-I Parties).

CEOS Entities:

- **CEOS Agency Leads:** USGS
- **CEOS Agency Contributors:** NASA
- **CEOS Coordination Mechanisms:** TBD

International Coordination Bodies: GOF-C-GOLD

Associated Organizations: China National Geomatics Center for China

Specific Deliverable(s):

Quantitative land cover components, land cover types: Two forms of land cover are required.

- First, quantitative measurements of the per pixel fraction of major land cover elements (*e.g.*, trees, herbaceous vegetation, barren surfaces, water) are needed on a 1- to 2-year cycle to identify land cover change over time. The fractional coverage measurements represent the continuum of land cover conditions and are more sensitive to detection of both gradual and abrupt change.
- Second, land cover type maps displaying categories of land cover are required on a 5-year cycle to depict the covers and probably land uses across the globe, and to provide context for understanding fractional land cover.

Accuracy, stability, horizontal resolution, and vertical resolution

ECV: Land cover	GCOS/CEOS Action T28		
	Property		
		Land cover type (per class and overall)	Land cover fraction (per pixel)
Accuracy (%)	Target	85	5
	Planned	75	8
	Threshold	75	10
Stability (%/decade)	Target	5	5
	Planned	5	5
	Threshold	10	10
Horizontal resolution (m)	Target	30	30
	Planned	30	30
	Threshold	30	30

Key activities and time frames to meet deliverables (2011 – 2015)

- Peer review of product strategy (mid-2012)
- Develop land cover fraction 2010 continental prototype (mid-2012)
- Completion of a global land cover validation data set (late-2013)
- Completion of validated global land cover fractions for 2000-2010 (mid-2013)
- Completion of validated global land cover types for 2010 (early 2014)
- Transition into operational annual monitoring (2013-2014)
- Improve global 30m imagery data set coverage (ongoing)

5.5.10 Fraction of Absorbed Photosynthetically Active Radiation (fAPAR)

Importance of this ECV

The fAPAR is a non-dimensional value that measures the fraction of the incoming solar radiation at the top of the vegetation canopy that contributes to plants' photosynthetic activity, and thus indicates the presence and productivity of live green vegetation. fAPAR varies in space and time due to differences between species and ecosystems, weather and climate processes, and human activities. It is a key variable in the carbon cycle and thus in the assessment of greenhouse gas forcing, providing information on CO₂ uptake. Spatially-detailed descriptions of fAPAR provide information about the strength and location of terrestrial carbon sinks.

5.5.10.1 GCOS/CEOS Action T29; SS: T.7

Action: Establish a calibration/validation network of *in situ* reference sites for FAPAR and LAI
Who: Parties' national and regional research centres, in cooperation with space agencies coordinated by CEOS WGCV, GCOS and GTOS.
Time-Frame: Network operational by 2012.
Performance Indicator: Percentage of sites reporting.
Annual Cost Implications: 1-10M US\$ (40% in non-Annex-I Parties).

CEOS Entities:

- **CEOS Agency Leads:** ESA
- **CEOS Agency Contributors:** NASA, CSA, INPE, CSIRO
- **CEOS Coordination Mechanisms:** CEOS WGCV Land Product Validation Subgroup

International Coordination Bodies: European Environment Agency (EEA) and North American Carbon Programme for *in situ* networks

Associated Organizations: TBD

Specific Deliverable(s):

- Ongoing database of *in situ* measurements of LAI and fAPAR.
- Annual update of global reference database traceable to *in situ* measurements.

Accuracy, stability, horizontal resolution, and vertical resolution

ECV: Fraction of Absorbed Photosynthetically Active Radiation (FAPAR)	GCOS/CEOS Action T29	
	Property	
	Fraction of Absorbed Photosynthetically Active Radiation	
Accuracy (%)*	Target	max(10%; 0.05)
	Planned	20
Stability (%)*	Target	max(3%; 0.02)
	Planned	10
Horizontal resolution (km)*	Target	0.25
	Planned	0.25

***Accuracy requirements**

This corresponds to the accuracy of the reference LAI estimate over a 1km x 1km mapping unit. The target reflects the typical precision in destructive sampling approaches that themselves have very high (<5%) accuracy levels. The planned approach reflects the inherent limits currently in using indirect gap fraction or transmission approaches for LAI estimation due to theoretical uncertainty in corrections for clumping and typical uncertainties in corrections for woody matter. The threshold level is rather high but reflects current worst cases error budgets for *in situ* indirect estimates and can still be used with the CEOS LAI and fAPAR validation protocols as long as errors between reference sites are not systematic.

***Stability requirements**

This stability indicates the precision of repeat reference estimates at a site rather than the long term stability of the sites. Target precision corresponds to typical levels of actual variability due to vegetation dynamics and sampling conditions. Planned precision corresponds to reported precision of current indirect measurement instruments. Threshold precision corresponds to approximately the difference in LAI or fAPAR between successive monthly site visits assuming a six-month growing season and annual vegetation.

***Horizontal resolution requirements**

This corresponds to the spatial resolution of reference data provided to users from this system. The target involves local image maps supported by field data, the planned will allow validation of advanced moderate resolution products, and the threshold will allow validation of current and planned global products.

Key activities and time frames to meet deliverables (2011 – 2015)

- Intercomparison study of FLUXNET, Validation of Global Moderate-Resolution LAI Products (VALERI) and Canadian Centre for Remote Sensing (CCRS) protocols over synthetic and *in situ* sites. (2012)
- Produce initial database of *in situ* measurements with local uncertainty estimates (2012)
- Establish systematic updates from contributing networks (2012)
- Produce and make available global reference (2012)
- Identify locations of critical new *in situ* sites (2011-2012)
- Establish new critical *in situ* sites per year (2013-2020)

5.5.11 Leaf Area Index (LAI)

Importance of this ECV

The LAI of a plant canopy measures of the amount of live green leaf material present. It is defined as one half the total green leaf area per unit ground surface area. It partly controls important mass and energy exchange processes such as radiation and rain interception, as well as photosynthesis and respiration, which couple vegetation to the climate system. Many climate models rely on it to parameterize the vegetation cover, or its interactions with the atmosphere. For instance, evapotranspiration and carbon fluxes between the biosphere and the atmosphere are routinely expressed in terms of the LAI of the canopy. It is affected by and influences climate and is thus a response as well as feedback variable.

5.5.11.1 GCOS/CEOS Action T30; SS: T.7

Action: Evaluate the various LAI satellite products and benchmark them against *in situ* measurements to arrive at an agreed operational product.

Who: Parties' national and regional research centres, in cooperation with space agencies and CEOS WGCV, TOPC, and GTOS.

Time-Frame: Benchmark by 2012.

Performance Indicator: Agreement on operational product.

Annual Cost Implications: 1-10M US\$ (10% in non-Annex-I Parties).

CEOS Entities:

- **CEOS Agency Leads:** ESA (hosting the CEOS CAL/VAL portal and OLIVE validation platform)
- **CEOS Agency Contributors:** NASA, CSA
- **CEOS Coordination Mechanisms:** CEOS WGCV Land Product Validation Subgroup

International Coordination Bodies: GTOS

Associated Organizations: TBD

Specific Deliverable(s):

- System capable of updating and disseminating performance estimates of LAI products on an individual and community basis.
- Community consensus assessment of product performance (publication) at a minimum of 5-year intervals.
- Annual updates of product performance (bulletins) in consultation with specific users or producers.

Key activities and time frames to meet deliverables (2011 – 2015)

- Implementing an on-line validation system. (2011)
- Testing system with current global products and reference data (2012)
- Linking on-line system to distributed reference data and product nodes (2012)
- Producing a community consensus validation document (2012 and every 5 years thereafter)
- Issuing annual bulletins on behalf of CEOS/GTOS on product accuracy (2012 onwards)

5.5.11.2 GCOS/CEOS Action T31; SS: T.7 (fAPAR) and T.8 (LAI)

Action: Operationalize the generation of FAPAR and LAI products as gridded global products at spatial resolution of 2 km or better over time periods as long as possible.

Who: Space agencies, coordinated through CEOS WGCV, with advice from GCOS and GTOS.

Time-Frame: 2012.

Performance Indicator: One or more countries or operational data providers accept the charge of generating, maintaining, and distributing global FAPAR products.

Annual Cost Implications: 10-30M US\$ (10% in non-Annex-I Parties).

CEOS Entities:

- **CEOS Agency Leads:** TBD
- **CEOS Agency Contributors:** ESA, NASA, NOAA, EUMETSAT, JAXA, CSA
- **CEOS Coordination Mechanisms:** TBD

International Coordination Bodies: GTOS

Associated Organizations: TBD

Specific Deliverable(s):

- Specification of an algorithmic approach which produces consistent LAI and fAPAR products from the different contributing sensors. This includes re-processing of existing archives of the satellite observations and specification for future systems (*e.g.*, Sentinel 3, VIIRS). The system shall produce the LAI/fAPAR estimates complete with specification of

the uncertainty following methods defined in T29 and T30. The products shall be consistent and coherent with reference to LAI, fAPAR, albedo and phenology.

Accuracy, stability, horizontal resolution, and vertical resolution

ECV: Leaf area index (LAI) (SS: T.8) and Fraction of Absorbed Photosynthetically Active Radiation (FAPAR)	GCOS/CEOS Action T31		
		Property	
		LAI	FAPAR
Accuracy	Target	Max(20%; 0.5)	max(10%; 0.05)
	Planned	TBD	TBD
Stability (/decade)	Target	max(10%; 0.25)	max(3%; 0.02)
	Planned	TBD	TBD
Horizontal resolution (km)	Target	0.25	0.25
	Planned	TBD	TBD

Key activities and time frames to meet deliverables (2011 – 2015)

- Agree on responsibility for operational processing between existing operational organizations (*e.g.*, EUMETSAT, NOAA, CSA) and research and development agencies (NASA, ESA, CCRS) - 2011
- Establish an algorithm intercomparison activity following the Radiation Transfer Model Intercomparison (RAMI) concept for models incorporating uncertainty derivations - 2012
- Develop a community-agreed specification of uncertainty that is traceable to the FCDR - 2012
- Implement agreed protocols for calibration and intercalibration - 2011
- Reprocess all contributing archives using agreed ancillary datasets (land-water masks, parameters for atmospheric correction et cetera) – 2012-15
- Establish a network for processing and reprocessing (grid or cloud concepts) and ensure archiving and open access to existing datasets are in place for an operational scheme - 2015
- Develop operational processors for the new sensor systems (*e.g.*, Sentinel 3 and VIIRS) that are compatible with the existing agreed algorithms following reconciliation – 2015

5.5.12 Above-ground Biomass

Importance of this ECV

Above-ground biomass (AGB) is defined as total mass of living plant material per unit area in forests and woodlands. Vegetation biomass is a global store of carbon comparable in size to atmospheric carbon. AGB is an important fraction of the carbon stored in the terrestrial domain, and its dynamics play two major roles in the climate system:

- Photosynthesis withdraws CO₂ from the atmosphere and stores it as biomass, which then provides a source of soil carbon through plant detritus and mortality, with associated respiration.
- The amount of CO₂, CH₄, CO and aerosols emitted by fires depends on the quantity of biomass consumed.

Human activities such as deforestation and slash/burn agricultural practices contribute 6–17% of global anthropogenic CO₂ emissions to the atmosphere. Large uncertainties in emission estimates arise from inadequate data on the carbon density of forests and the regional rates of deforestation.

5.5.12.1 GCOS/CEOS Action T32; SS: N/A

Action: Develop demonstration datasets of above ground biomass across all biomes.

Who: Parties, space agencies, national institutes, research organizations, FAO in association with GTOS, TOPC, and the GOFC-GOLD Biomass Working Group.

Time frame: 2012.

Performance Indicator: Availability of global gridded estimates of above ground biomass and associated carbon content.

Annual Cost Implications: 1-10M US\$ (20% in non-Annex-I Parties).

The complete CEOS response to this action is under development.

5.5.13 Soil Carbon

Importance of this ECV

Soils represent the largest terrestrial carbon pool. On seasonal to decadal time scales, carbon sinks may be explained by changes in above-ground biomass, but on longer time scales soil carbon stocks become more relevant. Globally, the largest soil carbon stocks are primarily located in wetlands and peatlands, most of which are found on permafrost and in the Tropics.

This soil carbon is vulnerable to variations in the hydrological cycle as well as to modifications of permafrost dynamics (in the boreal zone) due to climate change. Changes in soil organic carbon are largely influenced by anthropogenic activities, particularly through the conversion of natural ecosystems to agricultural land.

5.5.13.1 GCOS/CEOS Action T34; SS: N/A

Action: Develop globally gridded estimates of terrestrial carbon flux from *in situ* observations and satellite products and assimilation/inversions models.

Who: Reanalysis centres and research organisations, in association with national institutes, space agencies, and FAO/GTOS (TCO and TOPC).

Time Frame: 2014-2019.

Performance indicator: Availability of data assimilation systems and global time series of maps of various terrestrial components of carbon exchange (e.g., Gross Primary Production (GPP), Net Ecosystem Production (NEP), and Net Biome Production (NBP)).

Annual Cost Implications: 10-30M US\$ (Mainly by Annex-I Parties).

The CEOS Carbon Task Force (CTF) will be responsible for carrying out this action. CEOS established the CTF to coordinate the response from space agencies to the *GEO Carbon Strategy Report*. The CTF will:

- Take into account information requirements of both the UNFCCC and IPCC and consider how future satellite missions will support them;
- Also take account of, and be consistent with, the GCOS and GEO Implementation Plans; and
- Help definition of next generation missions for individual agencies.

The CTF is developing a comprehensive action plan for observations related to the carbon cycle that will include atmospheric, oceanic, and land observations. The oceanic and land observations will include many of the ECVs covered in this document: ocean colour, surface wind, temperature and salinity, and land biomass and leaf area index. The atmospheric component requires satellite instruments with greenhouse gas measuring capabilities. Current satellites with such capabilities include SCIAMACHY and GOSAT/IBUKI. Planned satellite missions include: OCO-2, at the end of 2012, SENTINEL 5P, OCO-3, CARBONSAT, GOSAT-2, and a German/French Climate mission in 2014, MicroCarb in 2016, SENTINEL 5 in 2018, and JEM-DIAL in 2020.

5.5.14 Fire Disturbance

Importance of this ECV

The emissions of greenhouse gases and aerosols resulting from fires are important climate forcing factors. Fires also have a large influence on the storage and flux of carbon in the biosphere and atmosphere and can cause long-term changes in land cover, affecting surface-atmosphere transfer of radiation, heat, and water vapor. Fires can occur naturally, set off by lightning strikes, for example, or due to human activities, slash and burn agricultural practices, for instance.

5.5.14.1 GCOS/CEOS Action T35; SS: T.10

Action: Reanalyse the historical fire disturbance satellite data (1982 to present).

Who: Space agencies, working with research groups coordinated by GOFC-GOLD.

Time-Frame: By 2012.

Performance Indicator: Establishment of a consistent dataset, including the globally available 1 km AVHRR data record.

Annual Cost Implications: 1-10M US\$ (Mainly by Annex-I Parties).

The complete CEOS response to this action is under development.

5.5.14.2 GCOS/CEOS Action T36; SS: T.10

Action: Continue generation of consistent burnt area, active fire, and FRP products from low orbit satellites, including version intercomparisons to allow un-biased, long-term record development.

Who: Space agencies, in collaboration with GOFC-GOLD.

Time-Frame: Continuous.

Performance Indicator: Availability of data.

Annual Cost Implications: 1-10M US\$ (Mainly by Annex-I Parties).

The complete CEOS response to this action is under development.

5.5.14.3 GCOS/CEOS Action T37; SS: T.10

Action: Develop and apply validation protocol to fire disturbance data.

Who: Space agencies and research organizations.

Time-Frame: By 2012.

Performance Indicator: Publication of accuracy statistics.

Annual Cost Implications: 1-10M US\$ (Mainly by Annex-I Parties)

CEOS Entities:

- **CEOS Agency Leads:** ESA
- **CEOS Agency Contributors:** NASA
- **CEOS Coordination Mechanisms:** TBD

International Coordination Bodies: GOFC-GOLD Fire Implementation Team

Associated Organizations: TBD

Specific Deliverable #1:

The deliverable is a community-accepted validation protocol for burned area. The validation protocol comprises a description of the methodology used to generate validation datasets (Part 1), a description of the global sampling framework to achieve unbiased representation of fire activity over space and time (Part 2), and standards for reporting the accuracy of global burned area products from coarse resolution data.

Specific Deliverable #2

This deliverable is a database of validation data that follows the protocol described above and is available to the scientific community.

5.5.14.4 GCOS/CEOS Action T39; SS: T10

Action: Develop set of active fire and FRP products from the global suite of operational geostationary satellites.

Who: Through operators of geostationary systems, via CGMS, GSICS, and GOFC-GOLD

Time-Frame: Continuous

Performance Indicator: Availability of products

Annual Cost Implications: 1-10M US\$ (Mainly by Annex-I Parties)

CEOS Entities:

- **CEOS Agency Leads:** NOAA
- **CEOS Agency Contributors:** EUMETSAT
- **CEOS Coordination Mechanisms:** TBD

International Coordination Bodies: GOFC-GOLD Fire Implementation Team, CGMS, GSICS

Associated Organizations: TBD

Specific Deliverable(s):

The deliverable is standardized long-term active fire and Fire Radiative Power (FRP), (Watts or J/s) products from the global suite of operational geostationary satellites.

The active fire product provides information on the location of pixels containing fire activity and associated metadata. Detailed metadata is crucial for the proper interpretation of active fire products especially given the significant differences in the fire monitoring capabilities of the global geostationary satellite systems. Metadata should include specifics such as: an indication of the fire pixel confidence level; satellite and processing coverage regions; algorithm block-out zones associated with viewing geometry, solar reflection contamination, and specific biomes; data and algorithm anomalies and limitations; instrument saturation; an opaque cloud mask; atmospheric attenuation information; and geo-location characterization uncertainties.

FRP is the time derivative of the fire radiative energy, which is proportional to the biomass consumed by the fire. Multiple FRP observations can in principle provide estimates of total fire emissions (CO₂, particles less than 2.5 micrometers in diameter (PM 2.5), etc.) through estimating time-integrated Fire Radiated Energy (FRE).

Fire is a global phenomenon with large variability in both time and space. It is an important ecosystem disturbance factor and contributes to atmospheric emissions on multiple time scales. Active fires have a strong diurnal component and geostationary monitoring is essential for providing a more complete view of regional, diurnal, seasonal, and interannual variability in fire activity. Detection of active fires is also required by some burned area product algorithms. Active fire information can serve as part of the validation process for burned area products and diurnal information on emissions is vital for modeling applications. In recent years modelers have shown interest in utilizing fire radiative energy/power to characterize emissions. One may assert that the total FRE of a fire is directly related to mass consumed by the heat of combustion, which can then be related to PM 2.5, CO₂ and other emissions.

Due to the disparity and inadequacy in regional and national fire reporting protocols, satellite remote sensing represents the most suitable and cost effective method for consistent, long-term regional and global scale monitoring. Over the past 10 years the use of geostationary satellites for both diurnal fire detection and characterization has grown appreciably with applications in hazards monitoring, fire weather forecasting, climate change research, emissions monitoring, aerosol and trace gas transport modeling, air quality, and land-use and land-cover change detection. Current (GOES-E/-W/-South America, Meteosat-8/-9, Multi-Functional Transport Satellite (MTSAT)-1R/-2, FY-2C/2D) and future (Indian INSAT-3D, Russian GOMS Elektro L MSU-GS, Korean COMS) operational geostationary platforms will enable nearly global

geostationary fire monitoring with significant improvements in capabilities over the next 5-7 years (*e.g.*, GOES-R, MTG).

The development of the Global Geostationary Fire Monitoring Network is coordinated through the Global Observation of Forest and Landcover Dynamics (GOFC-GOLD) Fire Mapping and Monitoring Implementation Team (IT). The GOFC-GOLD Fire IT organized a meeting in 2011 in Stresa, Italy, where the status of the network was discussed. A follow-up workshop is scheduled for 2013 in Germany.

Accuracy, stability, horizontal resolution, and vertical resolution

ECV: Fire disturbance	GCOS/CEOS Action T39				
	Property				
		Burnt area	Active fires	Fire radiative power (polar satellites)	Fire radiative power (geostationary satellites)
Accuracy	Target	15% (error of omission and commission), compared to 30m observations	5% error of commission 30% error of omission compared to 30m spatial resolution detections (based on per-fire comparisons)		
	Planned				
Stability (%/decade)	Target	15% (error of omission and commission), compared to 30m observations	N/A		
	Planned				
Horizontal resolution (km)	Target	0.25	1	1	1
	Planned				

Planned activities/time frames to meet deliverables (2011 – 2015)

- NOAA’s National Environmental Satellite, Data, and Information Service (NESDIS) currently provides Wildfire Automated Biomass Burning Algorithm (WF_ABBA) active fire locations and FRP in various formats for GOES-E/-W, Meteosat-9, and MTSAT-1. WF_ABBA fire masks and metadata have not yet been released as part of the NESDIS operational fire product, although they are available from University of Wisconsin-Madison Cooperative Institute for Meteorological Satellite Studies (CIMSS). The time frame for operational release is currently unknown.
- EUMETSAT is implementing a global geostationary fire product.

- Provided the data are accessible in near real-time and well-calibrated/navigated, the WF_ABBA will be adapted to Communication, Ocean, and Meteorological Satellite (COMS), Geostationary Orbit Meteorological Satellite (GOMS) Elektro L MSU-GS over the next 2 years. Funding is being sought for the operational implementation of the extended product by NOAA/NESDIS.
- UW-Madison CIMSS has delivered the initial GOES-R Advanced Baseline Imager (ABI) fire algorithm and will continue to evaluate and update it.

5.6 Cross-cutting Actions

While most of the GCOS IP-10 requirements for satellite-based products are framed in terms of actions for space agencies that are specific to one of the atmospheric, oceanic, or terrestrial domains, the GCOS IP-10 also lists several generic, all-embracing actions requiring the participation of all CEOS Agencies in their implementation.

5.6.1 Continuity of Satellite Systems and Data Products

5.6.1.1 GCOS/CEOS Action C8: SS: N/A

Action: Ensure continuity and over-lap of key satellite sensors; recording and archiving of all satellite metadata; maintaining appropriate data formats for all archived data; providing data service systems that ensure accessibility; undertaking reprocessing of all data relevant to climate for inclusion in integrated climate analyses and reanalyses, undertaking sustained generation of satellite-based ECV products.

Who: Space agencies and satellite data reprocessing centres.

Time-Frame: Continuing, of high priority.

Performance Indicator: Continuity and consistency of data

CEOS, WMO and CGMS support the coordination of civil space-borne observations of the Earth. The coordination groups will continue to serve as a focal point for international coordination of space-related Earth observation activities, mission planning, and in the development of compatible data products, formats, services, applications and policies.

5.6.2 Distributed Data Services

5.6.2.1 GCOS/CEOS Action C21; SS: N/A

Action: Implement modern distributed data services, drawing on the experiences of the WIS as it develops, with emphasis on building capacity in developing countries and countries with economies in transition, both to enable these countries to benefit from the large volumes of data available world-wide and to enable these countries to more readily provide their data to the rest of the world.

Who: Parties' national services and space agencies for implementation in general, and Parties through their support of multinational and bilateral technical cooperation programmes, and the GCOS Cooperation Mechanism.

Time-Frame: Continuing, with particular focus on the 2011-2014 time period.

Performance Indicator: Volumes of data transmitted and received by countries and agencies.

Annual Cost Implications: 30-100M US\$ (90% in non-Annex-I Parties).

CEOS, through its Working Group on Information Systems and Services (WGISS), will coordinate space agency contributions to implementing modern distributed data services. The WGISS Technology Exploration Interest Group and CEOS WGISS Integrated Catalog (CWIC) project will work with the Parties national services and space agencies to coordinate the implementation of full information processing chain from the initial ingestion of satellite data into archives through to the incorporation of derived information into end-user applications.

Summary

In 2006, CEOS responded to the first Implementation Plan for the Global Climate Observing System (GCOS IP-04) by preparing a climate action plan that led to coordinated programs and the development of Virtual Constellations of satellites to meet the requirements for space observations set forth in the Plan. The present document continues the tight cooperation between CEOS and GCOS by responding to the updated requirements for space observations detailed in the GCOS IP-10 and its Satellite Supplement.

The current response represents a significant step forward in defining a program to carry out the space-based contributions to the GCOS IP-10. It represents a blueprint comprised of detailed plans for all of the ECVs which can be assessed by space-based instruments. For the actions specified for each ECV in GCOS IP-10 and its Satellite Supplement, an unprecedented effort was made to develop a roadmap that included the lead and cooperating agencies responsible for carrying out the Action, specific deliverables, and activities planned for implementation over the next five years. It was prepared by the scientific and technical experts who, with the teams they have assembled, will be responsible for leading the implementation of the Action Plans.

Going beyond GCOS IP-04, the GCOS IP-10 and its Satellite Supplement have made a special effort to establish target quantitative metrics for each ECV's accuracy, stability, and spatial resolutions; this CEOS response includes these target metrics and the metrics that are planned to be achieved for each ECV. The specification of metrics places the entire enterprise on a much firmer foundation.

Achieving the metrics laid out in this response represents a significant challenge to the CEOS community and will require a degree of coordination and collaboration never achieved before. The continued development and implementation of the CEOS Virtual Constellations and Working Groups is vital to success. Close collaboration between CEOS, the GCOS program, WCRP satellite observational and data programs, and national climate programs is also vital.

CEOS will continue to develop, update, coordinate, and monitor the implementation of the action plans in this response. The Working Group on Climate will play a key role in these activities. Achievement of the goals outlined in these plans will provide the nations of the world with the data on climate change that are needed to make astute decisions on prevention, mitigation, and adaptation strategies.

Appendix 1 GCOS Guideline for Satellite-based Datasets and Products

Summary of GCOS Guideline for Satellite-based Datasets and Products

1. Full description of all steps taken in the generation of FCDRs and ECV products, including algorithms used, specific FCDRs used, and characteristics and outcomes of validation activities
2. Application of appropriate calibration/validation activities.
3. Statement of expected accuracy, stability and resolution (time, space) of the product, including, where possible, a comparison with the GCOS requirements.
4. Assessment of long-term stability and homogeneity of the product.
5. Information on the scientific review process related to FCDR/product construction (including algorithm selection), FCDR/product quality and applications.
6. Global coverage of FCDRs and products where possible.
7. Version management of FCDRs and products, particularly in connection with improved algorithms and reprocessing.
8. Arrangements for access to the FCDRs, products and all documentation.
9. Timeliness of data release to the user community to enable monitoring activities.
10. Facility for user feedback.
11. Application of a quantitative maturity index if possible.
12. Publication of a summary (a webpage or a peer-reviewed article) documenting point by point the extent to which this guideline has been followed

Effective monitoring systems for climate should adhere to the following principles¹⁴:

1. The impact of new systems or changes to existing systems should be assessed prior to implementation.
2. A suitable period of overlap for new and old observing systems is required.
3. The details and history of local conditions, instruments, operating procedures, data processing algorithms and other factors pertinent to interpreting data (i.e., metadata) should be documented and treated with the same care as the data themselves.
4. The quality and homogeneity of data should be regularly assessed as a part of routine operations.
5. Consideration of the needs for environmental and climate-monitoring products and assessments, such as IPCC assessments, should be integrated into national, regional and global observing priorities.
6. Operation of historically-uninterrupted stations and observing systems should be maintained.

¹⁴ The ten basic principles (in paraphrased form) were adopted by the Conference of the Parties (COP) to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) through decision 5/CP.5 at COP-5 in November 1999. This complete set of principles was adopted by the Congress of the World Meteorological Organization (WMO) through Resolution 9 (Cg-XIV) in May 2003; agreed by the Committee on Earth Observation Satellites (CEOS) at its 17th Plenary in November 2003; and adopted by COP through decision 11/CP.9 at COP-9 in December 2003.

7. High priority for additional observations should be focused on data-poor regions, poorly observed parameters, regions sensitive to change, and key measurements with inadequate temporal resolution.
8. Long-term requirements, including appropriate sampling frequencies, should be specified to network designers, operators and instrument engineers at the outset of system design and implementation.
9. The conversion of research observing systems to long-term operations in a carefully-planned manner should be promoted.
10. Data management systems that facilitate access, use and interpretation of data and products should be included as essential elements of climate monitoring systems.

Furthermore, operators of satellite systems for monitoring climate need to:

- (a) Take steps to make radiance calibration, calibration-monitoring and satellite-to-satellite cross-calibration of the full operational constellation a part of the operational satellite system; and*
- (b) Take steps to sample the Earth system in such a way that climate-relevant (diurnal, seasonal, and long-term interannual) changes can be resolved.*

Thus satellite systems for climate monitoring should adhere to the following specific principles:

11. Constant sampling within the diurnal cycle (minimizing the effects of orbital decay and orbit drift) should be maintained.
12. A suitable period of overlap for new and old satellite systems should be ensured for a period adequate to determine inter-satellite biases and maintain the homogeneity and consistency of time-series observations.
13. Continuity of satellite measurements (i.e. elimination of gaps in the long-term record) through appropriate launch and orbital strategies should be ensured.
14. Rigorous pre-launch instrument characterization and calibration, including radiance confirmation against an international radiance scale provided by a national metrology institute, should be ensured.
15. On-board calibration adequate for climate system observations should be ensured and associated instrument characteristics monitored.
16. Operational production of priority climate products should be sustained and peer-reviewed new products should be introduced as appropriate.
17. Data systems needed to facilitate user access to climate products, metadata and raw data, including key data for delayed-mode analysis, should be established and maintained.
18. Use of functioning baseline instruments that meet the calibration and stability requirements stated above should be maintained for as long as possible, even when these exist on decommissioned satellites.
19. Complementary *in situ* baseline observations for satellite measurements should be maintained through appropriate activities and cooperation.
20. Random errors and time-dependent biases in satellite observations and derived products should be identified

Appendix 2 Climate Actions for Space-based Observations

List of Actions and 'Agents for Implementation' for which the 'Agents' are Space Agencies, CGMS or CEOS (Total: 47)

<p>Action C8 [IP-04 C10]</p> <p>Action: Ensure continuity and overlap of key satellite sensors; recording and archiving of all satellite metadata; maintaining appropriate data formats for all archived data; providing data service systems that ensure accessibility; undertaking reprocessing of all data relevant to climate for inclusion in integrated climate analyses and reanalyses; undertaking sustained generation of satellite-based ECV products.</p> <p>Who: Space agencies and satellite data reprocessing centres.</p> <p>Time-Frame: Continuing, of high priority.</p> <p>Performance Indicator: Continuity and consistency of data records.</p> <p>Annual Cost Implications: Covered in the domains.</p>
<p>Action C21</p> <p>Action: Implement modern distributed data services, drawing on the experiences of the WIS as it develops, with emphasis on building capacity in developing countries and countries with economies in transition -- both to enable these countries to benefit from the large volumes of data available world-wide and to enable them to more readily provide their data to the rest of the world.</p> <p>Who: Parties' national services and space agencies for implementation in general, and Parties through their support of multinational and bilateral technical cooperation programmes, and the GCOS Cooperation Mechanism.</p> <p>Time-Frame: Continuing, with particular focus on the 2011-2014 time period.</p> <p>Performance Indicator: Volumes of data transmitted and received by countries and agencies.</p> <p>Annual Cost Implications: 30-100M US\$ (90% in non-Annex-I Parties).</p>
<p>Action A8</p> <p>Action: Ensure continuity of satellite precipitation products.</p> <p>Who: Space agencies.</p> <p>Time-Frame: Continuous.</p> <p>Performance Indicator: Long-term homogeneous satellite-based global precipitation products.</p> <p>Annual Cost Implications: 10-30M US\$ (for generation of climate products, assuming missions are funded for other operational purposes) (Mainly by Annex-I Parties).</p>
<p>Action A11³⁶ [IP-04 A11]</p> <p>Action: Ensure continuous generation of wind-related products from AM and PM satellite scatterometers or equivalent observations.</p> <p>Who: Space agencies.</p> <p>Time-Frame: Continuous.</p> <p>Performance Indicator: Long-term satellite observations of surface winds every six hours.</p> <p>Annual Cost Implications: 1-10M US\$ (Mainly by Annex-I Parties).</p>
<p>Action A19</p> <p>Action: Implement and evaluate a satellite climate calibration mission, e.g., CLARREO.</p> <p>Who: Space agencies (e.g., NOAA, NASA, etc).</p> <p>Time-Frame: Ongoing.</p> <p>Performance Indicator: Improved quality of satellite radiance data for climate monitoring.</p> <p>Annual Cost Implications: 100-300M US\$ (Mainly by Annex-I Parties).</p>
<p>Action A20 [A19 IP-04]</p> <p>Action: Ensure the continued derivation of MSU-like radiance data and establish FCDRs from the high-resolution IR sounders, following the GCMPs.</p> <p>Who: Space agencies.</p> <p>Time-Frame: Continuing.</p> <p>Performance Indicator: Quality and quantity of data; availability of data and products.</p> <p>Annual Cost Implications: 1-10M US\$ (for generation of datasets, assuming missions -- including overlap and launch-on-failure policies -- are funded for other operational purposes) (Mainly by Annex-I Parties).</p>

<p>Action A21 [A20 IP-04]</p> <p>Action: Ensure the continuity of the constellation of GNSS RO satellites.</p> <p>Who: Space agencies.</p> <p>Time-Frame: Ongoing; replacement for current COSMIC constellation needs to be approved urgently to avoid or minimize a data gap.</p> <p>Performance Indicator: Volume of data available and percentage of data exchanged.</p> <p>Annual Cost Implications: 10-30M US\$ (Mainly by Annex-I Parties).</p>
<p>Action A23 [IP-04 A22]</p> <p>Action: Continue the climate data record of visible and infrared radiances, for example from the International Satellite Cloud Climatology Project, and include additional data streams as they become available; pursue reprocessing as a continuous activity, taking into account lessons learnt from preceding research.</p> <p>Who: Space agencies, for processing.</p> <p>Time-Frame: Continuous.</p> <p>Performance Indicator: Long-term availability of global homogeneous data at high frequency.</p> <p>Annual Cost Implications: 10-30M US\$ (for generation of datasets and products) (Mainly by Annex-I Parties).</p>
<p>Action A24 [IP-04 A23]</p> <p>Action: Research to improve observations of the three-dimensional spatial and temporal distribution of cloud properties.</p> <p>Who: Parties' national research and space agencies, in cooperation with the WCRP.</p> <p>Time-Frame: Continuous.</p> <p>Performance Indicator: New cloud products.</p> <p>Annual Cost Implications: 30-100M US\$ (Mainly by Annex-I Parties).</p>
<p>Action A25 [IP-04 A24]</p> <p>Action: Ensure continuation of Earth Radiation Budget observations, with at least one dedicated satellite mission operating at any one time.</p> <p>Who: Space agencies.</p> <p>Time-Frame: Ongoing.</p> <p>Performance Indicator: Long-term data availability at archives.</p> <p>Annual Cost Implications: 30-100M US\$ (Mainly by Annex-I Parties).</p>
<p>Action A26</p> <p>Action: Establish long-term limb-scanning satellite measurement of profiles of water vapour, ozone and other important species from the UT/LS up to 50km.</p> <p>Who: Space agencies, in conjunction with WMO GAW.</p> <p>Time-Frame: Ongoing, with urgency in initial planning to minimize data gap.</p> <p>Performance Indicator: Continuity of UT/LS and upper stratospheric data records.</p> <p>Annual Cost Implications: 100-300M US\$ (including mission costs) (Mainly by Annex-I Parties).</p>
<p>Action A27</p> <p>Action: Establish a network of ground stations (MAXDOAS, lidar, FTIR) capable of validating satellite remote sensing of the troposphere.</p> <p>Who: Space agencies, working with existing networks and environmental protection agencies.</p> <p>Time-Frame: Urgent.</p> <p>Performance Indicator: Availability of comprehensive validation reports and near real-time monitoring based on the data from the network.</p> <p>Annual Cost Implications: 10-30M US\$ (30% in non-Annex-I Parties).</p>
<p>Action A28 [IP-04 A27]</p> <p>Action: Maintain and enhance the WMO GAW Global Atmospheric CO₂ and CH₄ Monitoring Networks as major contributions to the GCOS Comprehensive Networks for CO₂ and CH₄.</p> <p>Who: Parties' national services, research agencies, and space agencies, under the guidance of WMO GAW and its Scientific Advisory Group for Greenhouse Gases, in cooperation with AOPC.</p> <p>Time-Frame: Ongoing.</p> <p>Performance Indicator: Dataflow to archive and analyses centres.</p> <p>Annual Cost Implications: 10-30M US\$ (50% in non-Annex-I Parties).</p>

Action A29

Action: Assess the value of the data provided by current space-based measurements of CO₂ and CH₄, and develop and implement proposals for follow-on missions accordingly.

Who: Parties' research institutions and space agencies.

Time-Frame: Urgent, to minimize data gap following GOSAT.

Performance Indicator: Assessment and proposal documents; approval of consequent missions.

Annual Cost Implications: 1-10M US\$ initially, increasing with implementation (10% in non-Annex-I Parties).

Action A32

Action: Continue production of satellite ozone data records (column, tropospheric ozone and ozone profiles) suitable for studies of interannual variability and trend analysis. Reconcile residual differences between ozone datasets produced by different satellite systems.

Who: Space agencies.

Time-Frame: Ongoing.

Performance Indicator: Statistics on availability and quality of data.

Annual Cost Implications: 10-30M US\$ (Mainly by Annex-I Parties).

Action A33 [IP-04 A31]

Action: Develop and implement a coordinated strategy to monitor and analyse the distribution of aerosols and aerosol properties. The strategy should address the definition of a GCOS baseline network or networks for *in situ* measurements, assess the needs and capabilities for operational and research satellite missions for the next two decades, and propose arrangements for coordinated mission planning.

Who: Parties' national services, research agencies and space agencies, with guidance from AOPC and in cooperation with WMO GAW and AERONET.

Time-Frame: Ongoing, with definition of baseline *in situ* components and satellite strategy, by 2011.

Performance Indicator: Designation of GCOS baseline network(s). Strategy document, followed by implementation of strategy.

Annual Cost Implications: 10-30M US\$ (20% in non-Annex-I Parties).

Action A34

Action: Ensure continuity of products, based on space-based measurement of the precursors (NO₂, SO₂, HCHO and CO, in particular) of ozone and aerosols and derive consistent emission databases, seeking to improve temporal and spatial resolution.

Who: Space agencies, in collaboration with national environmental agencies and meteorological services.

Time-Frame: Requirement must be taken into account now in mission planning, to avoid a gap in the 2020 timeframe.

Performance Indicator: Availability of the necessary measurements, appropriate plans for future missions, and derived emission data bases.

Annual Cost Implications: 10-30M US\$ (10% in non-Annex-I Parties).

Action O4 [IP-04 O7]

Action: Ensure coordination of contributions to CEOS Virtual Constellations for each ocean-surface ECV, in relation to *in situ* ocean observing systems.

Who: Space agencies, in consultation with CEOS Virtual Constellation teams, JCOMM and GCOS.

Time-Frame: Continuous.

Performance Indicators: Annually updated charts on adequacy of commitments to space-based ocean observing system from CEOS.

Annual Cost Implications: <1M US\$ (Mainly by Annex-I Parties and implementation cost covered in Actions below).

Action O7 [IP-04 O9]

Action: Continue the provision of best possible SST fields, based on a continuous coverage-mix of polar-orbiting IR and geostationary IR measurements, combined with passive microwave coverage and appropriate linkage with the comprehensive *in situ* networks noted in O8.

Who: Space agencies, coordinated through CEOS, CGMS and WMO Space Programme.

Time-Frame: Continuing.

Performance Indicator: Agreement of plans for maintaining a CEOS Virtual Constellation for SST.

Annual Cost Implications: 1-10M US\$ (for generation of datasets) (Mainly by Annex-I Parties).

Action O10 [IP-04 O12]

Action: Ensure continuous coverage from one higher-precision, medium-inclination altimeter and two medium-precision, higher-inclination altimeters.

Who: Space agencies, with coordination through the CEOS Constellation for Ocean Surface Topography, CGMS and the WMO Space Programme.

Time-Frame: Continuous.

Performance Indicator: Satellites operating; provision of data to analysis centres.

Annual Cost Implications: 30-100M US\$ (Mainly by Annex-I Parties).

Action O12 [IP-04 O16]

Action: Research programmes to investigate the feasibility of utilizing satellite data to help resolve global fields of SSS.

Who: Space agencies, in collaboration with the ocean research community.

Time-Frame: Feasibility studies to completed by 2014.

Performance Indicator: Reports in literature and to OOPC.

Annual Cost Implications: 1-10M US\$ (Mainly by Annex-I Parties).

Action O15 [IP-04 O18]

Action: Implement continuity of ocean-colour-radiance datasets through the plan for an Ocean Colour Radiometry Virtual Constellation.

Who: CEOS space agencies, in consultation with IOCCG and GEO.

Time-Frame: Implement plan as accepted by CEOS agencies in 2009.

Performance Indicator: Global coverage with consistent sensors operating according to the GCMPs; flow of data into agreed archives.

Annual Cost Implications: 30-100M US\$ (10% in non-Annex-I Parties).

Action O19 [IP-04 O23]

Action: Ensure sustained satellite-based (microwave, SAR, visible and IR) sea-ice products.

Who: Parties' national services, research programmes and space agencies, coordinated through the WMO Space Programme and Global Cryosphere Watch, CGMS and CEOS; national services for *in situ* systems, coordinated through WCRP CliC and JCOMM.

Time-Frame: Continuing.

Performance Indicator: Sea-ice data in international data centres.

Annual Cost Implications: 1-10M US\$ (Mainly by Annex-I Parties).

Action O20 [IP-04 O21]

Action: Document the status of global sea-ice analysis and reanalysis product uncertainty (via a quantitative summary comparison of sea-ice products) and prepare a plan to improve the products.

Who: Parties' national agencies, supported by WCRP CliC and JCOMM Expert Team on Sea Ice (ETSI).

Time-Frame: By end of 2011.

Performance Indicators: Peer-reviewed articles on state of sea-ice analysis uncertainty; publication of internationally-agreed strategy to reduce uncertainty.

Annual Cost Implications: <1M US\$ (Mainly Annex-I Parties).

Action O28 [IP-04 O29]

Action: Develop projects designed to assemble the *in situ* and satellite data into a composite reference reanalysis dataset and to sustain projects to assimilate the data into models in ocean-reanalysis projects.

Who: Parties' national ocean research programmes and space, supported by WCRP.

Time-Frame: Continuous.

Performance Indicator: Project for data assembly launched; availability and scientific use of ocean reanalysis products.

Annual Cost Implications: 1-10M US\$ (10% in non-Annex-I Parties).

Action O41 [IP-04 O3]

Action: Promote and facilitate research and development (new improved technologies, in particular), in support of the global ocean observing system for climate.

Who: Parties' national ocean research programmes and space agencies, in cooperation with GOOS, GCOS and WCRP.

Time-Frame: Continuing.

Performance Indicator: More cost-effective and efficient methods and networks; strong research efforts related to the observing system; number of additional ECVs feasible for sustained observation; improved utility of ocean climate products.

Annual Cost Implications: 30-100M US\$ (10% in non-Annex-I Parties).

Action T5

Action: Develop an experimental evaporation product from existing networks and satellite observations.

Who: Parties, national services, research groups through GTN-H, IGWCO, TOPC, GEWEX Land Flux Panel and WCRP CliC.

Time frame: 2013-2015.

Performance indicator: Availability of a validated global satellite product of total evaporation.

Annual Cost Implications: 1-10M US\$ (10% in non-Annex-I Parties).

Action T8 [IP-04 T6]

Action: Submit weekly/monthly lake level/area data to the International Data Centre; submit weekly/monthly altimeter-derived lake levels by space agencies to HYDROLARE.

Who: National Hydrological Services through WMO CHy, and other institutions and agencies providing and holding data; space agencies; HYDROLARE.

Time-Frame: 90% coverage of available data from GTN-L by 2012.

Performance Indicator: Completeness of database.

Annual Cost Implications: 1-10M US\$ (40% in non-Annex-I Parties).

Action T10 [IP-04 T8]

Action: Submit weekly surface and sub-surface water temperature, date of freeze-up and date of break-up of lakes in GTN-L to HYDROLARE.

Who: National Hydrological Services and other institutions and agencies holding and providing data; space agencies.

Time-frame: Continuous.

Performance Indicator: Completeness of database.

Annual Cost Implications: <1M US\$ (40% in non-Annex-I Parties).

Action T13

Action: Develop a record of validated globally-gridded near-surface soil moisture from satellites.

Who: Parties' national services and research programmes, through GEWEX and TOPC in collaboration with space agencies.

Time frame: 2014.

Performance indicator Availability of globally validated soil moisture products from the early satellites until now.

Annual Cost Implications: 1-10M US\$ (10% in non-Annex-I Parties).

Action T14

Action: Develop Global Terrestrial Network for Soil Moisture (GTN-SM).

Who: Parties' national services and research programmes, through IGWCO, GEWEX and TOPC in collaboration with space agencies.

Time frame: 2014.

Performance indicator: Fully functional GTN-SM with a set of *in situ* observations (possibly co-located with reference network, cf. T3), with standard measurement protocol and data quality and archiving procedures.

Annual Cost Implications: 1-10M US\$ (40% in non-Annex-I Parties).

Action T16 [IP-04 T11]

Action: Obtain integrated analyses of snow cover over both hemispheres.

Who: Space agencies and research agencies in cooperation with WMO GCW and CliC, with advice from TOPC, AOPC and IACS

Time-Frame: Continuous.

Performance Indicator: Availability of snow-cover products for both hemispheres.

Annual Cost Implications: 1-10M US\$ (Mainly by Annex-I Parties).

Action T20 [IP-04 T14]

Action: Ensure continuity of laser, altimetry, and gravity satellite missions adequate to monitor ice masses over decadal timeframes.

Who: Space agencies, in cooperation with WCRP CliC and TOPC.

Time-Frame: New sensors to be launched: 10-30 years.

Performance Indicator: Appropriate follow-on missions agreed.

Annual Cost Implications: 30-100M US\$ (Mainly by Annex-I Parties).

Action T23 [IP-04 T17]

Action: Implement operational mapping of seasonal soil freeze/thaw through an international initiative for monitoring seasonally-frozen ground in non-permafrost regions.

Who: Parties, space agencies, national services and NSIDC, with guidance from International Permafrost Association, the IGOS Cryosphere Theme team and WMO GCW.

Time-Frame: Complete by 2013.

Performance Indicator: Number and quality of mapping products published.

Annual Cost Implications: 1-10M US\$ (10% in non-Annex-I Parties).

Action T24 [IP-04 T19]

Action: Obtain, archive and make available *in situ* calibration/validation measurements and co-located albedo products from all space agencies generating such products; promote benchmarking activities to assess the quality and reliability of albedo products.

Who: Space agencies in cooperation with CEOS WGCV.

Time-Frame: Full benchmarking/intercomparison by 2012.

Performance Indicator: Publication of inter-comparison/validation reports.

Annual Cost Implications: 1-10M US\$ (20% in non-Annex-I Parties).

Action T25 [IP-04 T21]

Action: Implement globally coordinated and linked data processing to retrieve land-surface albedo from a range of sensors on a daily and global basis, using both archived and current Earth Observation systems.

Who: Space agencies, through the CGMS and WMO Space Programme.

Time-Frame: Reprocess archived data by 2012, then generate continuously.

Performance Indicator: Completeness of archive.

Annual Cost Implications: 1-10M US\$ (Mainly by Annex-I Parties).

Action T27 [IP-04 T26]

Action: Generate annual products documenting global land-cover characteristics and dynamics at resolutions between 250m and 1km, according to internationally-agreed standards and accompanied by statistical descriptions of their accuracy.

Who: Parties' national services, research institutes and space agencies in collaboration with GLCN and GOFC-GOLD research partners and the GEO Forest Carbon Tracking task team.

Time-Frame: By 2011, then continuously.

Performance Indicator: Dataset availability.

Annual Cost Implications: 1-10M US\$ (20% in non-Annex-I Parties).

Action T28 [IP-04 T27]

Action: Generate maps documenting global land cover, based on continuous 10-30 m land surface imager radiances every 5 years, according to internationally-agreed standards and accompanied by statistical descriptions of their accuracy.

Who: Space agencies, in cooperation with GCOS, GTOS, GOFC-GOLD, GLCN and other members of CEOS.

Time-Frame: First by 2012, then continuously.

Performance Indicator: Availability of operational plans, funding mechanisms and, eventually, maps.

Annual Cost Implications: 10-30M US\$ (20% in non-Annex-I Parties).

Action T29 [IP-04 T29]37

Action: Establish a calibration/validation network of *in situ* reference sites for FAPAR and LAI and conduct systematic, comprehensive evaluation campaigns to understand and resolve differences between the products and increase their accuracy.

Who: Parties' national and regional research centres, in cooperation with space agencies coordinated by CEOS WGCV, GCOS and GTOS.

Time-Frame: Network operational by 2012.

Performance Indicator: Data available to analysis centres.

Annual Cost Implications: 1-10M US\$ (40% in non-Annex-I Parties).

Action T30 [IP-04 T30]

Action: Evaluate the various LAI satellite products and benchmark them against *in situ* measurements, to arrive at an agreed operational product.

Who: Parties' national and regional research centres, in cooperation with space agencies and CEOS WGCV, GCOS/TOPC and GTOS.

Time-Frame: Benchmark by 2012.

Performance Indicator: Agreement on operational product.

Annual Cost Implications: 1-10M US\$ (10% in non-Annex-I Parties).

Action T31 [IP-04 T28]

Action: Operationalize the generation of FAPAR and LAI products as gridded global products at spatial resolution of 2km or better, over as long time periods as possible.

Who: Space agencies, coordinated through CEOS WGCV, with advice from GCOS and GTOS.

Time-Frame: 2012.

Performance Indicator: One or more countries or operational data providers accept the charge of generating, maintaining, and distributing global FAPAR products.

Annual Cost Implications: 10-30M US\$ (10% in non-Annex-I Parties).

Action T32

Action: Develop demonstration datasets of above ground biomass across all biomes.

Who: Parties, space agencies, national institutes, research organizations, FAO in association with GTOS, TOPC and the GOFC-GOLD Biomass Working Group.

Time frame: 2012.

Performance Indicator: Availability of global-gridded estimates of above-ground biomass and associated carbon content.

Annual Cost Implications: 1-10M US\$ (20% in non-Annex-I Parties).

Action T34

Action: Develop globally gridded estimates of terrestrial carbon flux from *in situ* observations and satellite products and assimilation/inversions models.

Who: Reanalysis centres and research organisations, in association with national institutes, space agencies and FAO/GTOS (TCO and TOPC).

Time Frame: 2014-2019.

Performance indicator: Availability of data assimilation systems and global time series of maps of various terrestrial components of carbon exchange (e.g., GPP, NEP and NBP).

Annual Cost Implications: 10-30M US\$ (Mainly by Annex-I Parties).

Action T35 [IP-04 T32]

Action: Reanalyse the historical fire disturbance satellite data (1982 to present).

Who: Space agencies, working with research groups coordinated by GOFC-GOLD.

Time-Frame: By 2012.

Performance Indicator: Establishment of a consistent dataset, including the globally available 1km AVHRR data record.

Annual Cost Implications: 1-10M US\$ (Mainly by Annex-I Parties).

Action T36 [IP-04 T33]

Action: Continue generation of consistent burnt area, active fire, and FRP products from low-orbit satellites, including version intercomparisons to allow un-biased, long-term record development.

Who: Space agencies, in collaboration with GOFC-GOLD.

Time-Frame: Continuous.

Performance Indicator: Availability of data.

Annual Cost Implications: 1-10M US\$ (Mainly by Annex-I Parties).

Action T37 [IP-04 T34]

Action: Develop and apply validation protocol to fire disturbance data.

Who: Space agencies and research organizations.

Time-Frame: By 2012.

Performance Indicator: Publication of accuracy statistics.

Annual Cost Implications: 1-10M US\$ (Mainly by Annex-I Parties).

Action T39

Action: Develop set of active fire and FRP products from the global suite of operational geostationary satellites.

Who: Through operators of geostationary systems, via CGMS, GSICS, and GOFC-GOLD.

Time-Frame: Continuous.

Performance Indicator: Availability of products.

Annual Cost Implications: 1-10M US\$ (Mainly by Annex-I Parties).

Appendix 3: Acronyms

A	
ABI	Advanced Baseline Imager
ACC-VC	Atmospheric Composition Virtual Constellation (CEOS)
ACCENT	Atmospheric Composition Change the European Network
ACE	Aerosol, Clouds, and ocean Ecosystems
ACE-FTS	Atmospheric Chemistry Experiment- Fourier Transform Spectrometer
ADM-Aeolus	Atmospheric Dynamics Mission Aeolus
AERONET	Aerosol Robotic Network
AFWA	Air Force Weather Agency (United States)
AGB	Above-ground biomass
AIRS	Atmospheric Infrared Sounder
AltiKa	Ka-band altimeter
AMSR	Advanced Microwave Scanning Radiometer
AMSR2	Advanced Microwave Scanning Radiometer-2
AMSR-E	Advanced Microwave Scanning Radiometer – EOS
AMSU	Advanced Microwave Sounding Unit
ANSA	AFWA-NASA Snow Algorithm
AOPC	Atmospheric Observation Panel for Climate
ARM	Atmospheric Radiation Measurement
ASCAT	Advanced Scatterometer
ASTER	Advanced Spaceborne Thermal Emission and Reflection Radiometer
ATMS	Advanced Technology Microwave Sounder
ATSR	Along Track Scanning Radiometer
AVHRR	Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer
B	
BBR	Broadband Radiometer
BHR	BiHemispherical Reflectance
BIPM	The International Bureau of Weights and Measures
BRDF	Bidirectional Reflectance Distribution Function
BSRN	Baseline Surface Radiation Network
C	
CALM	Circumpolar Active Layer Monitoring
CCI	Climate Change Initiative
CCRS	Canadian Centre for Remote Sensing
CDR	Climate Data Record
CEOS	Committee on Earth Observation Satellites
CERES	Clouds and the Earth’s Radiant Energy System

CFC	Chlorofluorocarbon
CGMS	Coordination Group for Meteorological Satellites
C-GTOS	Coastal-GTOS
ChloroGIN	Chlorophyll Global Integrated Network
CIERA	Community Initiative for Emissions Research and Applications
CIMSS	Cooperative Institute for Meteorological Satellite Studies-University of Wisconsin (United States)
CLARREO	Climate Absolute Radiance and Refractivity Observatory
CLIVAR	Climate Variability and Predictability Research Program (United States)
CliC	Climate and Cryosphere
CM SAF	Satellite Application Facility on Climate Monitoring
CMA	Chinese Meteorological Administration
CNES	Centre National d'Etude Spatiales (France)
CNSA	China National Space Administration
COMS	Communication, Ocean, and Meteorological Satellite
CONAE	Comisión Nacional de Actividades Espaciales (Argentina)
COP	Conference of the Parties
COSMIC	Constellation Observing System for Meteorology, Ionosphere and Climate
CrIS	Cross-track Infrared Sounder
CSA	Canadian Space Agency
CSIRO	Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation (Australia)
CTF	Carbon Task Force
CWIC	CEOS WGISS Integrated Catalog
D	
DHR	Directional-Hemispherical Reflectance
DLR	Deutsches Zentrum für Luft-und Raumfahrt (Germany)
DMSP	Defense Meteorological Satellite Program (United States)
DOAS	Differential Optical Absorption Spectroscopy
DOD	Department of Defense (United States)
DPR	Dual Precipitation Radar
E	
ECV	Essential Climate Variable
EEA	European Environment Agency
ENSO	El Niño-Southern Oscillation
ENVISAT	Environmental Satellite
EOS	Earth Observing System
ERS-2	European Remote Sensing satellite
ESA	European Space Agency
ESRIN	European Space Research Institute
EUMETSAT	European Organisation for the Exploitation of Meteorological Satellites

F	
FAPAR	Fraction of Absorbed Photosynthetically Active Radiation
FCDR	Fundamental Climate Data Record
FOV	Field of View
FRE	Fire Radiated Energy
FRP	Fire Radiative Power
FY-2C/2D	Feng Yun
G	
GACP	Global Aerosol Climatology Project
GAW	Global Atmosphere Watch
GCMP	Global Climate Monitoring Principles
GCOM	Global Change Observation Mission
GCOM-W	Global Change Observation Mission-Water
GCOS	Global Climate Observing System
GCOS IP	Global Climate Observing System Implementation Plan
GCW	Global Cryosphere Watch (WMO)
GDAP	GEWEX Data and Assessments Panel
GEIA	Global Emissions Inventory Activity
GEO	Group on Earth Observations or Geostationary orbit
GEOSS	Global Earth Observation System of Systems
GERB	Geostationary Earth Radiation Budget
GEWEX	Global Energy and Water Cycle Experiment
GHR SST	Group for High Resolution Sea Surface Temperature
GHG	Greenhouse Gas
GHz	Gigahertz
GLASS	GEWEX Land/Atmosphere System Study Panel
GLTC	Global Lake Temperature Collaboration
GMAO	Global Modeling and Assimilation Office
GMI	GPM Microwave Imager
GNSS	Global Navigation Satellite System
GNSS-RO	GNSS-Radio Occultation
GOES-R	Geostationary Operational Environmental Satellite-R
GOFC-GOLD	Global Observation of Forest and Land Cover Dynamics
GOMOS	Global Ozone Monitoring by Occultations of Stars
GOMS	Geostationary Orbit Meteorological Satellite
GOOS	Global Ocean Observing System
GOSAT	Greenhouse gases Observing SATellite “IBUKI”
GPM	Global Precipitation Measurement
GPP	Gross Primary Production

GPSRO	Global Positioning System Radio Occultation
GRACE	Gravity Recovery and Climate Experiment
GRUAN	GCOS Reference Upper-Air Network
GSICS	Global Space-based Inter-Calibration System
GSN	GCOS Surface Network
GTN	Global Terrestrial Networks
GTN-G	Global Terrestrial Networks-Glaciers
GTN-H	Global Terrestrial Networks-Hydrology
GTN-L	Global Terrestrial Networks-Lake Level/Area
GTN-P	Global Terrestrial Networks—Permafrost
GTN-R	Global Terrestrial Networks-Rivers
GTN-SM	Global Terrestrial Network for Soil Moisture
GTOS	Global Terrestrial Observing System
GUAN	GCOS upper air network
H	
HYDROLARE	International Data Centre on the Hydrology of Lakes and Reservoirs
I	
IACS	International Association of Cryospheric Sciences
IASI	Infrared Atmospheric Sounding Interferometer
ICESat	Ice, Cloud, and land Elevation Satellite
ICSU	International Council of Scientific Unions
IFOV	Instantaneous Field of View
IGOS	Integrated Global Observing Strategy
IGWCO	Integrated Global Water Cycle Observations
IMS	Ice Mapping System
INPE	Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (Brazil)
INSAT	Indian National Satellite System
IO3C	International Ozone Commission
IOC	Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission
IOCCG	International Ocean Color Coordinating Group
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
IR	Infrared
IROWG	CGMS International Radio Occultation Working Group
IRC	International Radiation Commission
ISCCP	International Satellite Cloud Climatology Project
ISMN	International Soil Moisture Network
ISMWG	International Soil Moisture Working Group
ISRO	Indian Space Research Organisation
ISS	International Space Station

J	
JAXA	Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency
JMA	Japan Meteorological Agency
JPSS	Joint Polar Satellite System
JRC	Joint Research Centre (European Union)
K	
KNMI	Koninklijk Nederlands Meteorologisch Instituut
L	
LAI	Leaf Area Index
LEO	Low-Earth Orbit
LPV	Land Product Validation
LSI-VC	Land-Surface Imaging Virtual Constellation (CEOS)
LW	Long Wave
M	
MADRAS	Microwave Analysis and Detection of Rain and Atmospheric Structures
MAX-DOAS	Multi-Axis Differential Optical Absorption Spectroscopy
MCR	Mission Concept Review
MERIS	Medium Resolution Imaging Spectrometer
MERRA	Modern-Era Retrospective Analysis for Research and Applications
Metop	Meteorological Operations Platform
MFG	Meteosat First Generation
MHS	Microwave Humidity Sounder
MISR	Multiangle Imaging SpectroRadiometer
MODIS	Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer
MSG	Meteosat Second Generation
MSU	Microwave Sounding Unit
MTG	Meteosat Third Generation
MTSAT	Multi-Functional Transport Satellite
MW	Microwave
MWTS	Microwave Temperature Sounder
N	
NASA	National Aeronautics and Space Administration (United States)
NBP	Net Biome Production
NCAR	National Center for Atmospheric Research (United States)
NCEP	National Centers for Environmental Prediction (United States)
NEP	Net Ecosystem Production
NEON	National Ecological Observatory Network (United States)
NESDIS	National Environmental Satellite, Data, and Information Service (United States)
NIR	Near Infrared

NOAA	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (United States)
NPL	National Physical Laboratory (United Kingdom)
NRC	National Research Council (United States)
NRL	Naval Research Laboratory (United States)
NRT	Near-Real-Time
NSIDC	National Snow and Ice Data Center (United States)
O	
OCO	Orbiting Carbon Observatory
OCR	Ocean Color Radiances
OCR-VC	Ocean Colour Radiometry Virtual Constellation
OLIVE	On Line Interactive Validation Exercise
OMPS	Ozone Mapping and Profiler Suite
OOPC	Ocean Observations Panel for Climate
ORNL DAAC	Oak Ridge National Laboratory Distributed Active Archive Center (United States)
OSIRIS	Optical Spectrograph and Infra-Red Imaging System
OST-VC	Ocean Surface Topography Virtual Constellation (CEOS)
OSVW-VC	Ocean Surface Vector Wind Virtual Constellation (CEOS)
P	
PACE	Pre-Aerosol, Clouds and ocean Ecosystem
PATMOS-x	Pathfinder Atmospheres – Extended
PC-VC	Precipitation Virtual Constellation (CEOS)
PM	Passive Microwave
POGO	Partnership for Observation of the Global Oceans
PR	Precipitation Radar
PSBE	Potential Satellite Bias Error
Q	
QA4EO	Quality Assurance for Earth Observations
R	
R2O	Research to Operations
RAMI	Radiation Transfer Model Intercomparison
RAOB	Radiosonde Observation (Upper-Air Observation)
RO	Radio Occultation
RS	Reflected Solar
RSS	Remote Sensing Systems
S	
SAC-D	Satélite de Aplicaciones Científicas-D
SAF	Satellite Application Facility (EUMETSAT)
SAFARI	Societal Applications in Fisheries & Aquaculture using Remotely-Sensed Imagery
SAGE	Stratospheric Aerosol and Gas Experiment

SAPHIR	Sounder for Probing Vertical Profiles of Humidity
SAR	Synthetic Aperture Radar
SARAL	Satellite with Argos and AltiKa
SBA	Societal Benefit Area
SBSTA	UNFCCC Subsidiary Body on Scientific and Technological Advice
ScaRaB	Scanner for Radiation Budget
SCIAMACHY	SCanning Imaging Absorption SpectroMeter for Atmospheric CartographY
SCOPE-CM	Sustained, Co-Ordinated Processing of Environmental Satellite Data for Climate Monitoring
SEVIRI	Spinning Enhanced Visible and Infrared Imager
SI	International Standards Units
SIMBIOS	Sensor Intercomparison for Marine Biological and Interdisciplinary Ocean Studies
SMAP	Soil Moisture Active-Passive
SME	Subject Matter Expert
SMMR	Scanning Multichannel Microwave Radiometer
SMOS	Soil Moisture and Ocean Salinity
SNO	Simultaneous Nadir Overpass
SOA	State Oceanic Administration (China)
SPARC	Stratospheric Processes and their Role in Climate
SRON	Netherlands Institute for Space Research
SSM/I	Special Sensor Microwave Imager
SSMIS	Special Sensor Microwave Imager / Sounder
SSS	Sea Surface Salinity
SST	Sea Surface Temperature
SST-VC	Sea Surface Temperature Virtual Constellation
Suomi NPP	Suomi National Polar-orbiting Partnership
SW	Short Wave
SWIR	Short Wave Infrared
T	
TanDEM-X	TerraSAR-X add-on for Digital Elevation Measurement
TBD	To be determined
TCDR	Thematic Climate Data Records
TCO	Terrestrial Carbon Observations
TIR	Thermal Infrared
TLS	Temperature Lower Stratosphere
TLT	Temperature Lower Troposphere
TMI	TRMM Microwave Imager
TMT	Temperature Middle Troposphere
TOA	Top of the Atmosphere
TOPC	Terrestrial Observations Panel for Climate

TRMM	Tropical Rainfall Measuring (also Measurement) Mission
TRUTHS	Traceable Radiometry Underpinning Terrestrial-and Helio- Studies
TTS	Temperature Troposphere / Stratosphere
U	
UAH	University of Alabama at Huntsville (United States)
UKSA	United Kingdom Space Agency
UMCP	University of Maryland, College Park (United States)
UNEP	United Nations Environment Programme
UNESCO	United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
UNFCCC	United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change
U.K.	United Kingdom
U.S.	United States
USGS	U.S. Geological Survey
UV	Ultraviolet
UW	University of Washington (United States)
V	
VALERI	Validation of Global Moderate-Resolution LAI Products
VC	Virtual Constellation
VIIRS	Visible/Infrared Imager/Radiometer Suite
VOSclim	Voluntary Observing Ships Climatology
W	
WACMOS	Water Cycle Observation Multi-mission Strategy
WCRP	World Climate Research Programme
WDAC	WCRP Data and Assimilation Committee
WF_ABBA	Wildfire Automated Biomass Burning Algorithm
WG	Working Group
WGCapD	Working Group on Capacity Building and Data Democracy (CEOS)
WGClimate	Working Group on Climate (CEOS)
WGCV	Working Group on Calibration and Validation (CEOS)
WGISS	Working Group on Information Systems and Services (CEOS)
WIS	WMO Information System
WMO	World Meteorological Organization
X, Y, Z	
XBT	Expendable Bathythermograph

CEOS Members and Associates

Agenzia Spaziale Italiana (ASI)
Belgian Federal Science Policy Office (BELSPO)
Canada Centre for Remote Sensing (CCRS)
Canadian Space Agency (CSA)
Centre National d'Etudes Spatiales (CNES), France
Centro para Desarrollo Tecnológico Industrial (CDTI), Spain
China Center for Resources Satellite Data and Applications (CRESDA)
Chinese Academy of Space Technology (CAST)
Comisión Nacional de Actividades Espaciales (CONAE), Argentina
Commonwealth Scientific & Industrial Research Organisation (CSIRO), Australia
Crown Research Institute (CRI), New Zealand
Council for Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR)/Satellite Applications Center (SAC), South Africa
Deutsches Zentrum für Luft- und Raumfahrt (DLR), Germany
Earth Systems Science Organisation (ESSO), India
European Commission (EC)
European Organisation for the Exploitation of Meteorological Satellites (EUMETSAT)
European Space Agency (ESA)
Geo-Informatics and Space Technology Development Agency (GISTDA), Thailand
Global Climate Observing System (GCOS)
Global Ocean Observing System (GOOS)
Global Terrestrial Observing System (GTOS)
Indian Space Research Organisation (ISRO)
Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE), Brazil
Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission (IOC)
International Council for Science (ICSU)
International Geosphere-Biosphere Programme (IGBP)
International Ocean Colour Coordinating Group (IOCCG)
International Society of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing (ISPRS)
MEXT (Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology)/Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency (JAXA)
Korea Aerospace Research Institute (KARI)
National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA), USA
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), USA
National Remote Sensing Center of China (NRSCC)
National Satellite Meteorological Center/Chinese Meteorological Association (NSMC/CMA)
National Space Agency of Ukraine (NSAU)
National Space Research Agency of Nigeria (NASRDA)
Norwegian Space Center (NSC)
Russian Federal Space Agency (ROSKOSMOS)
Russian Federal Service for Hydrometeorology and Environmental Monitoring (ROSHYDROMET)
Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey (TÜBITAK)
South African National Space Agency (SANSA)
Swedish National Space Board (SNSB)
United Kingdom Space Agency (UKSA)
United Nations Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific (ESCAP)
United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO)
United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP)
United Nations Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO)
United Nations Office for Outer Space Affairs (UNOOSA)
United States Geological Survey (USGS)
World Climate Research Programme (WCRP)
World Meteorological Organization (WMO)



Please visit <http://www.ceos.org> for more information