www.earthobservations.org/gsnl.php

Proposal for a Permanent Geohazard Supersite

A.1 Proposal Title

Coupled geohazards at Southern Andes: Copahue-Lanín arc volcanoes and adjacent crustal faults (GeoHaZSA)

A.2 Supersite Coordinator

Email (Organization only)	luis.lara@sernageomin.cl
Name:	Luis E.
Surname:	LARA
Position:	Research scientist (Volcanology and Quaternary Tectonics)
Personal web page:	*CV (added)*
Institution:	SERNAGEOMIN, Universidad de Concepción
Institution type (Government, Education, other):	Government, Education
Institution web address:	www.sernageomin.cl
Street address:	Avenida Santa María 0104
City:	Santiago
Postal Code/Zip Code:	7520405
Country:	Chile
Province, Territory, State, or County:	RM
Phone Number:	+56985948235

A.3 Core Supersite Team

This section should provide the contact information of each participant to the initial Supersite team (the Core team). Further participants may be added at any time.
Note that most space agencies require that each person using the data should sign a license agreement with specific rules on data use. (form/document to be prepared and added)

Email (Organization only)	maria.cordova@sernageomin.cl
Name:	María Loreto
Surname:	CORDOVA

Position:	**Research scientist (geodesy)**
Personal web page:	*CV (to be added)*
Institution:	**SERNAGEOMIN**
Institution type (Government, Education, other):	**Government**
Institution web address:	**www.sernageomin.cl**
Street address:	**Rudecindo Ortega 03850**
City:	**Temuco**
Postal Code/Zip Code:	
Country:	**Chile**
Province, Territory, State, or County:	**Región de la Araucanía**
Phone Number:	

Email (Organization only)	**fjd49@cornell.edu**
Name:	**Francisco**
Surname:	**DELGADO**
Position:	**PhD. Researcher (InSAR)**
Personal web page:	*CV (to be added)*
Institution:	**Cornell University**
Institution type (Government, Education, other):	**Education**
Institution web address:	**www.cornell.edu**
Street address:	**112 Hollister Drive**
City:	**Ithaca**
Postal Code/Zip Code:	
Country:	**USA**
Province, Territory, State, or County:	**NY**
Phone Number:	

Email (Organization only)	**andrestassara@udec.cl**
Name:	**Andrés**
Surname:	**TASSARA**
Position:	**Researcher (Active tectonics)**

Personal web page:	*CV (to be added)*
Institution:	**Universidad de Concepción**
Institution type (Government, Education, other):	**Education**
Institution web address:	**www.udec.cl**
Street address:	**Víctor lamas 1290**
City:	**Concepción**
Postal Code/Zip Code:	
Country:	**Chile**
Province, Territory, State, or County:	**Región del Biobío**
Phone Number:	**41-2204738**

Email (Organization only)	**peuillades@cediac.uncu.edu.ar**
Name:	**Pablo**
Surname:	**EUILLADES**
Position:	**Researcher (InSAR)**
Personal web page:	*CV (to be added)*
Institution:	**Universidad Nacional de Cuyo**
Institution type (Government, Education, other):	**Education**
Institution web address:	**www.uncu.ar**
Street address:	
City:	**Mendoza**
Postal Code/Zip Code:	
Country:	**Argentina**
Province, Territory, State, or County:	**Mendoza**
Phone Number:	

Email (Organization only)	**leuillades@cediac.uncu.edu.ar**
Name:	**Leonardo**
Surname:	**EUILLADES**
Position:	**Researcher (InSAR)**
Personal web page:	*CV (to be added)*

Institution:	**Universidad Nacional de Cuyo**
Institution type (Government, Education, other):	**Education**
Institution web address:	**www.uncu.ar**
Street address:	
City:	**Mendoza**
Postal Code/Zip Code:	
Country:	**Argentina**
Province, Territory, State, or County:	**Mendoza**
Phone Number:	

Email (Organization only)	**feaguilera @ucn.cl**
Name:	**Felipe**
Surname:	**Aguilera**
Position:	**Researcher (gas geochemistry)**
Personal web page:	*CV (to be added)*
Institution:	**Universidad Católica del Norte**
Institution type (Government, Education, other):	**Education**
Institution web address:	**www.ucn.cl**
Street address:	**Angamos**
City:	**Antofagasta**
Postal Code/Zip Code:	
Country:	**Chile**
Province, Territory, State, or County:	**Región de Antofagasta**
Phone Number:	

Email (Organization only)	**paul.r.lundgren @jpl.nasa.gov**
Name:	**Paul**
Surname:	**LUNDGREN**
Position:	**Researcher (InSAR)**
Personal web page:	*CV (to be added)*
Institution:	**Jet Propulsion Lab, California Institute of Technology**

Institution type (Government, Education, other):	**Education**
Institution web address:	**www.jpl.nasa.gov**
Street address:	**4800 Oak Grove Drive**
City:	**Pasadena**
Postal Code/Zip Code:	
Country:	**USA**
Province, Territory, State, or County:	**CA**
Phone Number:	**+01 818 354 1795**

Email (Organization only)	**cwicks @usgs.gov**
Name:	**Charles**
Surname:	**WICKS**
Position:	**Researcher (InSAR)**
Personal web page:	*CV (to be added)*
Institution:	**USGS**
Institution type (Government, Education, other):	**Government/Research**
Institution web address:	**www.usgs.gov**
Street address:	**345 Middlefield Rd**
City:	**Menlo Park**
Postal Code/Zip Code:	**94025**
Country:	**USA**
Province, Territory, State, or County:	**CA**
Phone Number:	**+1 650 329 4874**

Email (Organization only)	**lucia.lovison @sat-drones.com**
Name:	**Lucia**
Surname:	**LOVISON**
Position:	**Geospatial Director (Remote Sensing)**
Personal web page:	*CV (to be added)*
Institution:	**Sat-Drones**

Institution type (Government, Education, other):	**Research/Education**
Institution web address:	**www.sat-drones.com**
Street address:	**405 Linden Street**
City:	**Wellesley**
Postal Code/Zip Code:	**02481**
Country:	**USA**
Province, Territory, State, or County:	
Phone Number:	**+1-617-852-8389**

Core science team as defined above could be expanded to other scientists upon request and after signing a simple MoU where open data sharing is acknowledged. We envision other research teams working on specific case-studies (e.g., José Cembrano and Gonzalo Yañez from Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile; José L. Palma from Universidad de Concepción. Sergio Barrientos, head of the National Seismological Centre from Universidad de Chile is also willing to collaborate with this effort in the next future.

Responsibilities of the core team members are described as follow in general terms:

Luis E. Lara, Project Coordinator, research scientist (volcano-tectonic interactions)

He coordinates efforts, prepares proposals for funding, takes part of case-studies, supervise students and coordinate outreach activities.

María L. Córdova, research scientist (geodesy), representative of OVDAS (Sernageomin)

She takes part of case-studies, facilitates access to ground data and work on InSAR modelling

Francisco Delgado, research scientist (geodesy)

He takes part of case-studies working on InSAR modelling and providing training for local scientists.

Andrés Tassara, research scientist,

He prepares proposals for funding, takes part of case-studies, supervise students and coordinate outreach activities.

Pablo Euillades, research scientist (geodesy)

He takes part of case-studies working on InSAR modelling.

Leonardo Euillades, research scientist (geodesy)

He takes part of case-studies working on InSAR modelling.

Felipe Aguilera, research scientist (gas geochemistry)

He takes part of case-studies

Paul Lundgren, research scientist (geodesy), senior advisor

He takes part of case-studies working on InSAR modelling and provides senior advice

Charles Wicks, research scientist (geophycisist), senior advisor

He takes part of case-studies working on InSAR modelling and provides senior advice

Lucia Lovison, research scientist, senior advisor

She takes part of case-studies working on InSAR modelling, and provides senior advice. She also suggest integrated monitoring of satellites, drones and ground observations in the region.

A.4 Region of Interest

The region of interest extends from Copahue to Quetrupillán (Lanín) volcanoes over 220 km along the arc; in a swath of 50 km in width from 37.82ºS to 39.75ºS. Vertices are indicated in the figure and correspond to:

A: 37.76°S/70.97°W

B: 37.76°S/71.36°S

C: 39.75°S/72.15°W

D: 39.75°S/71.45°W





Fig. 1. Regional setting for the supersite proposed. The trapezoid (insert) on the left panel shows the area defined by the coordinates.

A.5 Supersite motivation

The Southern Andes (in a broad sense the region between the southern edge of the Pampean Flat Slab at 33°S and the Triple Junction of Nazca, Antarctica and South American plates at 46°S) are a young and active mountain belt where volcanism and tectonic processes (and those related to the hydrometeorological conditions controlled by this geological setting) pose a significant threat to the growing communities nearby. In fact, only recent eruptions caused evacuations of 250-3500 people each as managed by ONEMI (Chilean Civil Protection agency). In particular, the segment here considered corresponds to a low altitude orogen (<2000 masl on average) but characterized by a high uplift rate as a result of competing tectonic and climate forces (*e.g.*, Rosenau *et al*., 2006). This area is also shaped by the northern segment of the Liquiñe-Ofqui Fault System (LOFS), a long-lived right-lateral strike slip fault running for ~1200 km (Cembrano *et al*., 1996; Lavenu and Cembrano, 1999; Cembrano and Lara, 2009)(Fig.1).

This proposal focuses on a *ca*. 200 km long segment of the Southern Andes cordillera where 9 active stratovolcanoes (Copahue, Callaqui, Tolhuaca, Lonquimay, Llaima, Sollipulli, Villarrica, Quetrupillan and Lanín) and 2 distributed volcanic fields (Caburgua and Huelemolles) are located, just along a tectonic corridor defined by the northern segment of the LOFS (Cembrano and Lara, 2009). Volcanoes in this area take part of the central province of the Southern Andean Volcanic Zone (37-41°S) and run along the horse-tail array of the LOFS to the north (Rosenau *et al*., 2006). Most of the stratovolcanoes are located atop of the LOFS, commonly near the intersection with oblique (NW and NE-trending) transverse faults. Activity of the LOFS has been detected prior to some eruptions and coeval with some others (*e.g.*, Lonquimay 1989; Barrientos and Acevedo, 1992). There are several tectonic and volcanic models to be investigated: a strong two-way coupling between tectonics and volcanism has been proposed for the LOFS segment (Cembrano and Lara, 2009) but only recently detected by either geophysical techniques or numerical modeling (Jay *et al*., 2014; Wendt *et al*., 2017). In addition, recent network deployment allowed to detect subtle (silent) reactivation or seismic shadow (*e.g.*, Franco *et al*., 2015) of local fault-fracture networks in specific volcanic domains after large earthquakes in the subduction zone, which suggest that besides the near field tectonic triggering there is an important component of remote tectonic trigger through static and dynamic stress transfer (*e.g.*, Lara *et al*., 2004).

Hazards in the segment derive mostly from the activity of some of the most active volcanoes in South America (*e.g.*, Villarrica, Llaima), others with long-lasting but weak current activity (*e.g.*, Copahue, that caused evacuation in Chile,and Argentina of about 3,500 people during every major volcanic event) or some volcanoes with low frequency but high magnitude eruptions in the geological record (*e.g.*, Lonquimay). Since the beginning of the 20th century ~80 eruptions have been recorded in this area (Lara *et al*., 2011). Volcanic hazards in this region are mostly related to debris flows triggered by ice/snow melting (lahars) and tephra fallout (Lara *et al*., 2011). Ongoing modeling efforts allow a near real time forecast of ash dispersion and settling and new modeling tools (with local high resolution DEMs) allow a better constrain of lahar inundation zones. In addition, remote sensing techniques coupled with ground based seismic monitoring allowed a tracking of the effusive stage of the 2011-2012 Cordón Caulle eruption (Bertin *et al*., 2015). These and other monitoring methods could be expanded to this area with direct impact in the quality of hazards assessment, estimating of their vulnerability and uncertainties that SERNAGEOMIN would provide to ONEMI and also to the scientific community.



Fig. 2. Example of volcano-tectonic association for Lonquimay-Tolhuaca volcanoes (Pérez *et al*., 2017; Pérez *et al*., 2016), view to the north.

There is growing and interesting evidence of both magmatic and non-magmatic ground deformation in the volcanic areas of the proposed supersite, mainly from InSAR data (*e.g.*, Fournier *et al*., 2010; Vélez *et al*., 2011, Lundgren *et al*., 2015; Delgado *et al.,* 2017)(Figs. 3 and 4), mostly in Copahue and more recently in Lonquimay, Llaima and Villarrica (Córdova *et al*. 2015). The first one seems to be related with a shallow source and its interaction with the hydrothermal system; the latter, Llaima and Lonquimay probably more related to processes on surface (Fournier *et al*., 2010, Remy *et al*., 2015). Based on RADARSAT-2 and COSMO-SkyMed images together with UAVSAR data, Copahue volcano has been under sustained inflation since 2012 at 10 cm/yr with a complex pattern until 2015 (Lundgren, 2015).



Fig. 3. Source model for Copahue volcano as proposed by Vélez *et al*. (2011)



Fig. 4. Regional survey of active deformation of volcanoes in Southern Andes (Fournier *et al*., 2010). Copahue, Lonquimay and Llaima appear with a signal, although with a different interpretation for related processes.

On the other hand, a large and growing network of continuous GNSS stations deployed along this segment is revealing interesting patterns of crustal deformation likely related to current activity the LOFS and its possible interaction with volcanoes. Figure 5 (after Tassara et al., 2016) shows a map of kinematic monoclinal vorticity (*i.e.*, the ratio between simple shear and pure shear deformation parallel to the LOFS, in this case) obtained from velocity vectors of campaign and continuous GNSS stations, averaged between 01/01/2008 to 31/12/2009 (couple of months before the Mw 8.8 Maule earthquake). Regions of large vorticity are nicely aligned with some segments of the LOFS demonstrating the localization of strike-slip motion there. A preliminary spatio-temporal analysis of vorticity from cGNSS stations suggests that the pattern of Fig. 5 has been rapidly evolving likely in response to the postseismic relaxation after the Maule earthquake. This process in turn could be driving disequilibrium of the volcanic systems as can be deduced by the apparent correlation between events of large concentration of vorticity and recent eruptive activity (Tassara *et al*., 2016; García et al., 2017). This pattern is also related with the spatio-temporal evolution of crustal seismicity recorded by seismic networks, notably well observed along the proposed segment.



Fig. 5. Map of kinematic monoclonal vorticity of the Southern Andes from velocity vectors of GNSS stations averaged between 01/01/2008-31/12/2009 (Tassara et al., 2016). Hot colors marc regions of high vorticity, i.e. large dominance of strike-slip over pure shear deformation along a NNE axis (parallel to LOFS). Also shown are fault traces compiled from the literature. Black polygon shows the segment here proposed as Super Site.

Climate and tectonic forces shaped the steep relief of this region, which is a condition for frequent mass wasting (mostly low volume landslides and debris flows) triggered by heavy rains, shallow earthquakes, and/or volcanic activity (lahars). There is plenty of evidence for the first (worst in a scenario of climate change, which allow liquid precipitation at higher altitudes) and some outstanding examples for the latter in other places that share features with the proposed site (*e.g*., Sepúlveda and Serey, 2009; Sepúlveda *et al*., 2010; Oppikofer *et al*., 2012).

In general, the modelling effort and other future research areas can be beneficial to the development of an early warning system for the evaluation of the geodynamic risk at a specific time and location. For example, predictive models that could relate the vorticity or ongoing Coulomb stress change to volcanic unrest or slope instability would be beneficial, including their uncertainties, to the local as well to the international communities, but have yet to be developed, which could be enhanced by the GSNL initiative.

Institutional framework and new generation of inter-agency partnership

In Chile, there is civil protection system that is formed by scientific/technical agencies (both government related or attached to universities) coordinated by ONEMI (Oficina Nacional de Emergencias), which is the response agency related with the Ministry of Interior and Public Safety. Scientific/Technical agencies are Sernageomin (the Chilean Geological Survey that includes a Mining branch), Centro Sismológico Nacional (belonging to the Universidad de Chile), SHOA (Hydrographic and Oceanigraphic Survey, which is part of National Army), DMC (Meteorological Service, belonging to the National Air Force), CONAF (Forest Service, which is a private corporation that belong to the Ministry of Agriculture). Sernageomin has the legal mission of running the volcano monitoring network and make geological studies of volcanoes (including hazards assessment) with responsibility for issue volcanic alert levels. ONEMI has the role of issue alerts in terms of the civil protection (i.e., risk assessment) and coordinate the response. At present there is a growing effort to integrate data from different agencies and increase the capability of risk analysis in a multiplatform system, now under the umbrella of the so-called Socio-Natural Risk Observatory initiative leaded by the Ministry of Interior and Public Safety.

Because of the nature of the GeoHaZSA initiative, with a core team composed by research scientists from different institutions, we envision GeoHaZSA as a new collaborative environment that would be more a research network than a task force based on a single institution. Present capabilities allow basic research on case-studies but no operational response during unrest. Thus, we also envision an opportunity to set a collaborative network supported by fresh funding from national and international funds. In Chile, there is a public funding scheme for such a collaborative efforts managed by CONICYT (www.conicyt.cl) and some initiatives could be set in order to get budget for research infrastructure (typically high performance computers, technical support, etc.), hiring graduated research scientists and research mobility (e.g., travel expenses), best practices, and lessons learned.

Main scientific challenges

The connection between regional-scale tectonic processes and volcanic activity is poorly understood beyond the recent case-studies. Thus, early detection of tectonic unrest and its possible effect on volcanic systems require more comprehensive models that can be tested with near-real time data of surface deformation.

In Chile, the early-warning system for volcanic eruptions is mostly based on seismic data. A major challenge is to integrate seismicity with other proxies like deformation (both based on cGPS and InSAR), degassing in near real time, use of satellites, drones and other robotics with ground observations to monitor the region during each disaster cycle. Data integration and multidisciplinary research through GSNL framework and the present GeoHaZSA initiative, would allow developing new products and services that would be very beneficial to local and international communities and agencies.

Once eruptions begin the ability to track the evolution providing reliable forecast about the possible duration and eruptive magnitude (or erupted volume) is limited. Near real time survey of the effusion/emission rate from space borne data could provide key information to understand first-order behaviour of an eruptive cycle.

For long-lasting eruptions, eruptions of very short duration or those occurring in remote areas, tracking of the thermal anomalies is a very useful tool that allow estimation of eruption rates (Coppola *et al*., 2016) and lava-lake dynamics (Medina *et al*., submitted). Tracking ash clouds is well accomplished using aerial images and could be used in back analysis of forecast models and uncertainties.

Once eruptions begin the need for specific hazards assessment is limited for the availability of background data (*e.g.*, <5 m resolution topography). High resolution (*ca*. 1 m) DEMs and other aerial images, if available, could be rapidly set to run numerical models for a number of processes, which could lead to new products portfolio of information services (*e.g.*, maps of arrival times, etc.) beneficial to everybody from first responders to civil population and scientists.

Thus, main scientific challenges are mostly related –but not limited to produce data of surface deformation of volcanoes and surrounding areas with active faults in order to detect early signals of unrest providing a new tool for volcano monitoring. Assessment of other hazards related with fault activity and mass wasting are spin-offs of this approach. Although a strong focus is put on radar sensors, optical and others are also part of the strategy.

Specific goals of the supersite will be:

- To establish regional source models (faults and volcanoes), mostly based on ongoing research at Universidad de Concepción/Fondecyt.
- To develop a strategy for deformation monitoring along the LOFS based on InSAR.
- To develop a kind of early warning system for volcanic unrest based on InSAR and other techniques.

- To provide data and models for an integrated early-warning system coupling seismicity with deformation (InSAR), degassing from space-borne sensors and ground observations.
- To improve capability to track effusion/emission rates based on optic, radar and other aerial image products.
- To develop new products and services based on collaboration within GSNL, for first responders, civil and scientific communities.

Data required and applied research opportunities envisioned

SAR and other image data will be fundamental for systematic InSAR analysis and long to short-term forecast of activity at faults and volcanic systems. In addition, some SAR products would be useful for preparing DEMs, which are a critical input for numerical modeling of gravity flows (lahars, pyroclastic density currents and lavas). High resolution optical sensors could be useful to observe evolving situations during unrest periods in some specific volcanoes. We do not mention here images or web-based services already freely available that certainly will continue to serve for this task. Details in next section.

References

Barrientos, S. E., & Acevedo-Aránguiz, P. S. 1992. Seismological aspects of the 1988–1989 Lonquimay (Chile) volcanic eruption. Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research, 53(1-4), 73-87.

Bertin, D., Lara, L. E., Basualto, D., Amigo, Á., Cardona, C., Franco, L., & Lazo, J. 2015. High effusion rates of the Cordón Caulle 2011–2012 eruption (Southern Andes) and their relation with the quasi-harmonic tremor. Geophysical Research Letters, 42(17), 7054-7063.

Cembrano, J., & Lara, L. 2009. The link between volcanism and tectonics in the southern volcanic zone of the Chilean Andes: a review. Tectonophysics, 471(1), 96-113.

Cembrano, J., Hervé, F., & Lavenu, A. 1996. The Liquiñe Ofqui fault zone: a long-lived intra-arc fault system in southern Chile. Tectonophysics, 259(1-3), 55-66.

Córdova, L. , et al . 2015. Monitoreo de la deformación en volcanes chilenos mediante técnica GPS, resultados asociados a la actividad de los volcanes Laguna del Maule, Copahue y Villarrica. In Congreso Geológico Chileno, No.14. La Serena.

Delgado F. and Pritchard, M., . 2015. The CEOS pilot project, satellite volcano monitoring in Latin America and new InSAR deformation results at Llaima, Villarrica and Calbuco volcanoes. In Congreso Geológico Chileno, N°14. La Serena.

Fournier, T.J.; Pritchard, M.E.; Riddick, S.N. 2010. Duration, magnitude, and frequency of subaerial volcano deformation events: New results from Latin America using InSAR and global synthesis. Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems 11(29).

Franco, L.; Palma, J.L.; Gil, F.; Lara, L.E.; San Martín, J.J. 2015. Aspectos sismológicos relacionados con las erupciones estrombolianas en el último ciclo eruptivo del volcán Llaima, Chile (2007–2010). In Congreso Geológico No. 14, La Serena.

Jay, J., Costa, F., Pritchard, M., Lara, L., Singer, B., & Herrin, J. 2014. Locating magma reservoirs using InSAR and petrology before and during the 2011–2012 Cordón Caulle silicic eruption. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 395, 254-266.

Lara, L.E.; Orozco, G.; Amigo, A.; Silva, C. 2011. Peligros Volcánicos de Chile. Servicio Nacional de Geología y Minería, Carta Geológica de Chile, Serie Geología Ambiental, No., p., 1 mapa escala 1:2.000.000, Santiago.

Lara, L. E., Naranjo, J. A., & Moreno, H. 2004. Rhyodacitic fissure eruption in Southern Andes (Cordón Caulle; 40.5 S) after the 1960 (Mw: 9.5) Chilean earthquake: a structural interpretation. Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research, 138(1), 127-138.

Lavenu, A., & Cembrano, J. 1999. Compressional-and transpressional-stress pattern for Pliocene and Quaternary brittle deformation in fore arc and intra-arc zones (Andes of Central and Southern Chile). Journal of Structural Geology, 21(12), 1669-1691.

Lundgren, P., Milillo, P., Kiryukhin, et al,. 2015. Application of satellite and airborne InSAR to volcano deformation processes in the Pacific Rim, Fringe 2015, 212- Session

Oppikofer, T., Hermanns, R. L., Redfield, T. F., Sepúlveda, S. A., Duhart, P., & Bascuñán, I. 2012. Morphologic description of the Punta Cola rock avalanche and associated minor rockslides caused by the 21 April 2007 Aysén earthquake (Patagonia, southern Chile). Revista de la Asociación Geológica Argentina, 69(3), 339-353.

Pérez-Flores, P., Veloso, E., Cembrano, J., Sánchez-Alfaro, P., Lizama, M., & Arancibia, G. 2017. Fracture network, fluid pathways and paleostress at the Tolhuaca geothermal field. Journal of Structural Geology.

Pérez-Flores, P., Cembrano, J., Sánchez-Alfaro, P., Veloso, E., Arancibia, G., & Roquer, T. 2016. Tectonics, magmatism and paleo-fluid distribution in a strike-slip setting: Insights from the northern termination of the Liquiñe–Ofqui fault System, Chile. Tectonophysics, 680, 192-210.

Remy, D.; Chen, Y.; Froger J.-L.; Bonvalot, S.; Córdova, M.; Fustos, J. 2015. Revised interpretation of recent InSAR signals observed at Llaima volcano (Chile). Geophys. Res. Lett., 42, doi:10.1002/2015GL063872

Rosenau, M., Melnick, D., & Echtler, H. 2006. Kinematic constraints on intra-arc shear and strain partitioning in the southern Andes between 38 S and 42 S latitude. Tectonics, 25(4).

Sepúlveda, S.A. and Serey, A. 2009. Tsunamigenic, earthquake-triggered rock slope failures during the April 21, 2007 Aisén earthquake, southern Chile (45.5°S). Andean Geology 36: 131-136. doi:10.4067/S0718-71062009000100010.

Sepúlveda, S.A., Serey, A., Lara, M., Pavez, A. and Rebolledo, S. 2010. Landslides induced by the April 2007 Aysén fjord earthquake, Chilean Patagonia. Landslides 7: 483-492. doi:10.1007/s10346-010-0203-2.

Tassara, A., Giorgis, S., Yáñez, V., Garcia, F., Baez, J. C., & Lara, L. 2016. Volcanism and tectonics in action along the Southern Andes: space-time analysis of current deformation recorded by GNSS and seismicity. In EGU General Assembly Conference Abstracts (Vol. 18, p. 9872).

Velez, M.; Euillades, P.; Caselli T.; Blanco, M.; Martinez-Díaz, J. 2011. Deformation of Copahue volcano: Inversion of InSAR data using a genetic algorithm, Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research 202(1):117-126.

Wendt, A., Tassara, A., Báez, J. C., Basualto, D., Lara, L. E., & García, F. 2017. Possible structural control on the 2011 eruption of Puyehue-Cordón Caulle Volcanic Complex (southern Chile) determined by InSAR, GPS and seismicity. Geophysical Journal International, 208(1), 134-147.

A.6 In situ data

This section should provide a detailed description of the in situ data available to the Supersite participants, at the time of proposal submission as well as following Supersite implementation. Please address here criteria 4,9 of section 5.1.1

Ground-based monitoring networks for both volcanism (the so-called Red Nacional de Vigilancia Volcánica at Sernageomin, which is now composed by a single volcano observatory – OVDAS, the Southern Andes Volcano Observatory- but will be form by two additional facilities in the next future) and tectonics (Centro Sismólogico Nacional at Universidad de Chile) allow a good complement with space-borne data. Figure 6 shows the present network run by Sernageomin.

Type of data	Data source	Data access
e.g. seismic waveforms, GPS time series, gas measurements, etc.	*……*	*Please describe how to access the data, type of access (unregistered, registered, limited to GSNL scientists, etc.), and future developments in the Supersite framework.*
Seismic wave form	*SERNAGEOMIN (OVDAS) network (35 stations)*	*Open access with a registration form, only with restriction to ongoing orange-red alert levels; server to remote and automatized download scheduled; at present ftp download upon request. Time-series for registered scientists under specific agreements with the restriction to deliver these data to third parties or use for non-authorized forecast or response. Specific protocol in section A. 10*
Geodetic data from 18 cGPS--GNSS	*SERNAGEOMIN (OVDAS) (16), IGM(1) and CSN (1) network and GPS Campaigns*	*Open access to GSNL scientists upon request. Specific protocol in section A.10*
DOAS and Gas measurements	*SERNAGEOMIN (OVDAS) network (5 continuous stations) and Campaigns*	*Open access to GSNL scientists upon request. Specific protocol in section A.10*
Infrasounds (2)		*Open access to GSNL scientists upon request. Specific protocol in section A.10*

In detail, the present network in the area of interest is distributed as shown in figure 6 and the table below. In addition, temporal campaign stations have been deployed by Universidad de Concepción and Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile as part of research Fondecyt projects.



Volcano	Seismic stations	GNSS stations	DOAS	Infrasound
Copahue	7	4	2	1
Callaqui	2	0	0	0
Lonquimay	5	3	0	0
Llaima	9	5	1	0
Sollipulli	2	0	0	0
Villarrica	7	5	2	1
Quetrupillán	2	0	0	0
Lanin	1	0	0	0
OVDAS- Temuco	0	1	0	0

Fig.6. Present distribution of GPS (red dots) and other type of stations (seismic and DOAS, in orange squares) used for monitoring by Sernageomin

This section should describe the work plan (including timeline) of the Supersite implementation, e.g. in terms of scientific activities, in situ and EO data provision to the community, infrastructures for data and products storage and distribution (implementation of supersite specific ones as well as utilization of existing ones), benefits for end-users, etc.
Please address here criteria 4,6,9,12 of section 5.1.1

Year 1: Planning and launching. Design of a national/international capability for InSAR and other techniques based on remote sensing. Application for fresh funding devoted to networking activities is envisioned. First systematic survey on target areas (those with high vorticity or evidence of surface deformation based on GNNS stations).

Year 2: Preliminary source model; plan for development of products and services for early warning systems and first response to emergency.

Year 3: Advanced source model and prospectus of early warning system and first response to emergency.

Year 4: Operational source model. Tests and try-outs for predictive models, early warning system and first response to emergency.

A.8 Available Resources

Describe resources and funding available to carry out the Supersite objectives.

Sernageomin and its Volcano Monitoring Network run a network of 18 GNSS stations in the area of interest. A 3 staff team is in charge of the processes and analysis of this data at OVDAS (Observatorio Volcanológico de los Andes del Sur). Other senior scientists take also part of the analysis. Annual budget for this task is *ca*. US$100,000. Total funding for the Chilean Volcano Monitoring Network run by SERNAGEOMIN (45 volcanoes with local networks at present) is *ca.* US$585,615.

Universidad de Concepción is running Fondecyt-funded research projects in this subject (a PhD student working on a numerical model). Annual budget for this task is *ca*. US$100,000, part of it is used to improve the network of continuous GNSS stations.

Some teams are running Fondecyt-funded research projects in this subject (active tectonics of LOFS and oblique to the arc fault systems). Annual budget for this task is *ca*. US$15,000.

A.9 EO data requirements

This section should provide details on the EO data requirements for each mission. It should also provide justification for the requested EO data with respect to the Supersite objectives.

MISSION NAME *(e.g. COSMO-SkyMed, TerraSAR X, Radarsat 2, etc.)*

Scenario 1 (High Priority): during unrest periods as detected by any mean, ongoing volcanic eruptions (including weak sustained activity) or waning stages after peak eruptions

COSMO-SkyMED:

	Information	Notes
Image mode	Stripmap/spotlight (tbd)	COSMO SkyMED is intended for near real time monitoring with InSAR Spotlight coverage might be requested for limited time and specific high resolution

		needs
Orbit pass	mostly descending, but some ascending passes too	
Look direction	Right	
Beam or incidence angle (range)	To be planned	
Polarization	No preference	
Type of Product	SLC	
Number of archive images requested	The entire archive over the AOI, it is about 1000 images	We could prioritise some of the volcanoes if needed
Number of new images requested, per year	ca 450 SAR images (every 2 weeks during quiescence periods, plus maximum temporal coverage during unrest or eruption)	*For each 9 of 11 volcanic centres (stratovolcanoes) but especially for those with signals of unrest (under yellow or higher alert level; areas where clustered seismicity or surface deformation recorded by cGPS; or areas under evident slope instability)*

TerraSAR X / TanDEM X:

	Information	Notes
Image mode	Stripmap	TerraSAR X (TanDEM X) is mostly for DEM production at selected sites.
Orbit pass	Ascending and descending	
Look direction		
Beam or incidence angle (range)		
Polarization		
Type of Product	SLC	
Number of archive images requested	The entire archive over the AOI (tbd)	
Number of new images requested, per year	ca. 150 images	*To cover the volcanic centres (stratovolcanoes) but especially those with signals of unrest (under yellow or higher alert level; areas where clustered seismicity or surface deformation recorded by cGPS; or areas under evident slope instability)*

Repeat table above for each sensor/system

ALOS 2:

	Information	Notes
Image mode	Stripmap, Wide Swath	ALOS-2 is intended for near real time monitoring with InSAR; fundamental for highly vegetated areas.
Orbit pass	Ascending and descending	
Look direction		
Beam or incidence angle (range)		
Polarization		
Type of Product	slc	
Number of archive images requested	The entire archive over the AOI (tbd)	
Number of new images requested, per year	ca. 150 images	*For each 9 of 11 volcanic centres (stratovolcanoes) but especially for those with signals of unrest. Using WS all could be covered with one frame.*

RADARSAT 2:

	Information	Notes
Image mode	tbd	Radarsat 2 is used for continuous monitoring in the quiescent periods. It will complement Sentinel 1 with images with different line of sights, useful to determine the 3D deformations
Orbit pass	Ascending and descending	
Look direction		
Beam or incidence angle (range)		
Polarization		
Type of Product	slc	
Number of archive images requested	The entire archive over the AOI (tbd)	
Number of new images requested, per year	ca. 150 images	*For each most volcanic centres (stratovolcanoes)*

Sentinel 1:

	Information	Notes
Image mode	IW	Needed for monitoring of quiescent periods, but it can be used to complement other sensors during crises if a higher frequency is ensured
Orbit pass	Ascending and descending	
Look direction		
Beam or incidence angle (range)		
Polarization	vv	
Type of Product	SLC	
Number of archive images requested	open	
Number of new images requested, per year	Open, but we ask for 6 day revisit frequency	*For all the AOI*

Pleiades:

	Information	Notes
Image mode	Nadiral, Tristereo	Optical images are intended for detection of morphological changes (e.g., variations of craters and lava lakes, glacier response to subglacial ice-melting; slow slope failure, etc.). Sub-meter DEMs from the tri-stereo are important for sin- and post-eruption changes in summit areas.
Orbit pass		
Look direction		
Beam or incidence angle (range)		
Polarization		
Type of Product		
Number of archive images requested		

Number of new images requested, per year	ca. 25-35 images	*For the volcanic centres under unrest*

Sentinel 2:

	Information	Notes
Image mode		Optical images are intended for detection of morphological changes (e.g., variations of craters and lava lakes, glacier response to subglacial ice-melting; slow slope failure, etc.).
Orbit pass		
Look direction		
Beam or incidence angle (range)		
Polarization		
Type of Product		
Number of archive images requested	Open	
Number of new images requested, per year	Open	*For all the volcanic centres under unrest*

Scenario 2 (Moderate Priority): during quiescence periods

	Information	Notes
Image mode	ALOS-2 ScanSAR Envisat, COSMO SkyMED, TanDEM X TerraSAR X	
Orbit pass		
Look direction	descending	
Beam or incidence angle (range)		
Polarization		
Type of Product	(i.e. SLC, RAW)	

Number of archive images requested	*ca.* 200 Envisat for Copahue (2002-2012); *ca*. 1000 COSMO SkyMED (2011-present, descending): Llaima (276), Villarrica (270), Copahue (284); *ca*. 30 TanDEM X
Number of new images requested, per year	See high priority scenario

A.10 Declaration of commitment

In Chile there is a law that mandates public agencies to disseminate the information. Internal procedures in Sernageomin put some restrictions to the data access during ongoing crisis (red and orange alert levels) but the general policy is to share raw data as open as possible (only limited by the capability in terms of staff if some procedure is required; and internal IT security issues). At present, raw data is shared upon request through a requisition form and depending on the volume, transfer is made by hardware or using a dedicated ftp server. However, we envision a trend to more open access following international benchmarking.

Sernageomin protocol for data sharing:

1. All raw information is open to all upon request, except for those volcanoes in orange and red alert. This includes a subset of the 35 seismic stations (those more stable and high quality), reference GNSS (Global Navigation Satellite System) stations and DOAS (Differential Optical Absorption Spectroscopy). At present, delivery is made through physical means but a proprietary server or and international system will be incorporated.
2. Raw data and validated time series are open to core team research scientists upon request, including those for volcanoes under orange and red alert levels with the only restriction to share with third parties or using for independent no-authorized forecast or media releases.
3. Long-time validated time series open to core team research scientists upon request for any alert level with an embargo for publishing of one year.

Research projects (public or private agency-funded) protocol for data sharing:

Principal researchers have exclusivity about data gathered in the frame of their projects but there is a growing trend to share as open as possible. We encourage to share data along with all research scientists in the core team with commitment to produce co-authored articles with acknowledgement to the funding project.

A.11 Further comments

The investigator(s) may provide additional comments or information to ensure that the request is properly understood.

This proposal is a first step in Chile aimed to connect space-based technology with ground-based techniques for monitoring and research of geological hazards (mostly volcanic hazards) in near real time. It would be also the first exercise of massive sharing data acquired by SERNAGEOMIN networks at global scale. Socially collected data from drones and other means will be also easily incorporated. We expect to contribute to both an improvement of the monitoring capability (merging data and taking advantage of the experience in the global scientific community) and a better knowledge of some key geological processes. Rough data as indicated in section A6 will be available upon request or in a dedicated, along with regular upload to international databases (e.g., IRIS, UNAVCO GSAC, WOVOdat, etc) and possibly a Chilean GSNL at http://www.sernageomin.cl/ (Spanish and English) and GSNL website. GeoHaZSA is intended as a research network rather than an institution-hosted program and thus funding for regular activities would be obtained from active research projects/programs leaded by the core scientists at the first stage. When more stablished, funding from other sources could be explored.