Outcome of the GSICS/CEOS-IVOS Lunar Calibration Workshop

S. Wagner, B. Viticchiè, T. Hewison



With the support of M. Takahashi – JMA (1-year visiting scientist at EUMETSAT)

In collaboration with

T. Stone (USGS) S. Lachérade, B. Fougnie (CNES) X. Xiong (NASA)

The Lunar Calibration Workshop

Initiative from the GSICS Research Working Group (last annual meeting in March 2014), in collaboration with CEOS/IVOS

Organisation

EUMETSAT / USGS / CNES / NASA with the support of M. Takahashi (JMA)

Objectives

- 1. Work across agencies / operators with a common and validated implementation of the USGS ROLO model → one of GSICS' goal = instrument inter-calibration
- 2. To share knowledge and expertise on lunar calibration and to provide guidance on the techniques of lunar calibration.
- First step to provide the international community with validated and traceable version of the USGS ROLO model = GSICS Implementation of the ROLO (GIRO)
 → Validated against USGS implementation of ROLO v311g
- 4. Generate for the first time a reference dataset that could be used for validation / comparisons later on.



Lunar Calibration: Definition of a collaborative framework





Outcome from the Lunar Calibration Workshop

- EUMETSAT HQ, 1-4 December 2014
- 14 agencies and departments attended the joint GSICS CEOS/IVOS lunar calibration workshop

(including remote attendees through Webex)

Potentially 25 instruments + future and (some) past missions





From the Lunar Calibration Workshop

- ✓ Acquisitions from 30 sensors
- ✓ 20 years of acquisitions from 1995 to 2014
 ~ 60% of sensors providing data in the last 2 years common period
- For all the reflective spectral domain broad or narrow bands including a common red band (narrow or broad)
- Covering the entire lunar phase range the full range for GEO (but within GIRO's range of applicability), more limited for LEO



Instruments	Team	Satellite	Sensor	G/L	Dates	Number of obs (GSICSd ataset)	Phase angle range (•)
	СМА	FY-3C	MERSI	LEO	2013-2014	9	[43 57]
	СМА	FY-2D	VISSR	GEO	2007-2014		
	СМА	FY-2E	VISSR	GEO	2010-2014		
	СМА	FY-2F	VISSR	GEO	2012-2014		
	JMA	MTSAT-2	IMAGER	GEO	2010-2013	62	[-138,147]
	JMA	GMS5	VISSR	GEO	1995-2003	50	[-94,96]
	JMA	Himawari-8	AHI	GEO	2014-	-	
	EUMETSAT	MSG1	SEVIRI	GEO	2003-2014	380/43	[-150,152]
	EUMETSAT	MSG2	SEVIRI	GEO	2006-2014	312/54	[-147,150]
	EUMETSAT	MSG3	SEVIRI	GEO	2013-2014	45/7	[-144,143]
	EUMETSAT	MET7	MVIRI	GEO	1998-2014	128	[-147,144]
	CNES	Pleiades-1A	PHR	LEO	2012	10	[+/-40]
Instruments with lunar observation	CNES	Pleiades-1B	PHR	LEO	2013-2014	10	[+/-40]
canabilities with the minimum	NASA-MODIS	Terra	MODIS	LEO	2000-2014	136	[54,56]
capabilities, with the minimum	NASA-MODIS	Aqua	MODIS	LEO	2002-2014	117	[-54,-56]
number of Moon observations	NASA-VIIRS	NPP	VIIRS	LEO	2012-2014	20	[50,52]
expected to be provided to the Lunar	NASA-OBPG	SeaStar	SeaWiFS	LEO	1997-2010	204	(<10, [27-66])
Calibration Dataset (more	NASA/USGS	Landsat-8	OLI	LEO	2013-2014	3	[-7]
observations may be available)	NASA	OCO-2	OCO	LEO	2014		
observations may be available).	NOAA-STAR	NPP	VIIRS	LEO	2011-2014	19	[-52,-50]
	NOAA	GOES-10	IMAGER	GEO	1998-2006	33	[-66, 81]
	NOAA	GOES-11	IMAGER	GEO	2006-2007	10	[-62, 57]
	NOAA	GOES-12	IMAGER	GEO	2003-2010	49	[-83, 66]
	NOAA	GOES-13	IMAGER	GEO	2006	11	50 (0)
	NUAA	GOES-15	IMAGER	GEO	2012-2013	28	[-52, 69]
		Proba-V	VGI-P MI	LEO	2013-2014	25	[-/]
		Torro		LEO	2010-2014	00	27.7
	ISPO	Ocean Sat 2	ASTER OCM 2	LEO	2000 2014	1	-21.1
6 GSICS March 2015 – New Delhi, India	ISRO	INSAT-3D	IMAGER	GEO	2013-2014	2	

Examples of Moon observations from participating instruments







Time series



9⁹ GSICS March 2015 - New Deini, India



Outcome from the Lunar Calibration Workshop

- All groups prepared input to the GIRO and provided results
- First version of the GSICS lunar observation dataset
- GIRO in agreement with the latest ROLO version over validation test dataset
- A few discrepancies observed when instruments had both GIRO and older ROLO results (in particular beyond 2µm)
 - Most important differences related to the definition of the ROLO version at USGS
 - → ROLO = ROLO_{USGS} + Driver_{USGS}
 - ROLO_{USGS} = fixed version number (v311g)
 - Driver_{USGS} has changed!
- Need for version control to ensure software traceability
- Oversampling factor + dark signal estimation = critical
- Sensitivity analysis + uncertainty budget initiated
- Need for establishing a data policy and a GIRO usage policy



List of actions

Source: https://gsics.nesdis.noaa.gov/wiki/Development/GsicsOperationsPlan

	GLCWS_14.1	NASA and USGS to interact to process the MODIS data with the latest driver to check the agreement with the GIRO. Two methods are tested for the irradiance integration (original MODIS data as provided to USGS initially, and the processing as done for the GIRO). USGS and NASA are invited to report at the next GSICS annual meeting.	18 Mar 2015			
	GLCWS_14.2	NASA and NOAA to interact on the VIIRS lunar data to check the result consistency and to compare their oversampling factor estimates.	01 Dec 2015			
$\mathbf{\overline{\mathbf{N}}}$	GLCWS_14.3	EUMETSAT, NASA (VIIRS + Landsat teams) and USGS to interact and investigate the discrepancies observed in the 2.2 microns band.	01 Dec 2015			
	GLCWS_14.4	CNES had the possibility to do dedicated Moon acquisitions with PARASOL. CNES is invited to present the results of the analysis at a further meeting (web meeting or GSICS annual meeting).	18 Mar 2015			
	GLCWS_14.5	All participants to provide lunar irradiances from the missing instruments to the common lunar calibration data set.	01 Dec 2015			
	GLCWS_14.6	EUMETSAT to circulate a draft for a data sharing and GIRO usage policy to the workshop participants. This should include the requirements for newcomers to join the community and get access to the data and the GIRO.	01 Dec 2015			
	GLCWS_14.7	GSICS Data Working Group to identify a suitable mechanism for sharing the lunar calibration dataset.	01 Dec 2015			
	GLCWS_14.8	All participants to report any restrictions on the use of their datasets in relation to the proposed data sharing policy.	18 Mar 2015			
	GLCWS_14.9	Establish a proposal for the way forward on a comparison of instruments with similar SRFs and phase angles. EUMETSAT will circulate a proposal to the Lunar Calibration Workshop participants by mid January.	01 Dec 2015			
	GLCWS_14.10	The GSICS Data Working Group is asked to develop a procedure for verifying users' implementation of GIRO.	01 Dec 2015			
X	GLCWS_14.11	EUMETSAT should implement a systematic bias on the irradiance in the GIRO PERT.	18 Mar 2015			
	GLCWS_14.12	EUMETSAT should implement the proposed formulation for the calculation of the effective wavelength (see the minutes of the Lunar Calibration Workshop).	18 Mar 2015			
	GLCWS_14.13	EUMETSAT to revisit the change of the SRF shape in order to be more realistic.	18 Mar 2015			
12	GSICS March 2015 – New Delhi, India					

In EUMETSAT's proposal, points to be addressed:

- A. Estimating the over-sampling factor
- **B.** Drift correction
- C. Estimating SBAFs from GIRO and verification of the results
- D. Establishing an absolute scale for lunar calibration

E. Medium and long term activities on lunar calibration



ROLO/GIRO and absolute calibration

- KNOWN DEFICIENCIES OF THE CURRENT REFERENCE
 - SI traceability
 - Absolute scale uncertainty (5 10 % uncertainty in <u>absolute</u> irradiance scale)
 - Residual geometry dependencies
 - Multi-band spectral coverage
- ESTABLISHING THE REQUIREMENTS
 - Defining potential improvements and requirements on user applications
 - Independent method to verify that operational visible calibration meets requirements
 - Support climate applications and past instruments (e.g. no onboard calibration available)
- GOAL
 - Getting absolute uncertainties to under ~1%
 - Surface stability + predictability of the Moon's brightness = lunar reflectance model valid for all times
 - Level of accuracy of 0.5% is achievable
- WHAT IS NEEDED?
 - Need for a new lunar measurement program (= many years of observations, to characterize the variations of the lunar brightness with phase and librations)
 - → Minimum 3 years to capture the libration dependence within the bounds of its relative effect (ROLO operated for more than 8 years)
 - ➔ Traceable high-spectral resolution observations over several years with specific measurements to validate the atmospheric correction.
- FUNDING: Currently under investigation (inter-agency [international] collaboration?)

Conclusions



Conclusions and future work

Conclusions:

- GIRO = endorsed as official publicly available reference model for lunar calibration
- Lunar calibration community supporting the current collaborative framework
- Traceability to the USGS implementation needs to be formalised
- Version 1.0.0 released (issue beyond 2 μm solved!)

Future work:

- Still need to agree about the GIRO usage and GSICS Lunar Observation Dataset policy
- Set-up the infra-structure to distribute the GIRO (exec + sources) + GLOD
 - Support from GDWG
- Expected improvement of the ROLO + publication = end 2015 / early 2016
 - → implementation in the GIRO + new release.
- Organisation of another Lunar Calibration Workshop in 2016 (TBD)
- Developing inter-calibration using the Moon as a transfer target within GSICS
- Continuing collaboration between agencies



Thank You!

For more information:

- <u>https://gsics.nesdis.noaa.gov/wiki/Development/LunarCalibrationWorkshop</u>
- <u>https://gsics.nesdis.noaa.gov/wiki/Development/LunarWorkArea</u>



Proposal for a way forward on intercalibration using the Moon



S. Wagner, T. Hewison



In EUMETSAT's proposal, points to be addressed:

- A. Estimating the over-sampling factor
- **B. Drift correction**
- C. Estimation of the SBAFs from GIRO and verification of the results.
- D. Establishing an absolute scale for lunar calibration

E. Medium and long term activities on lunar calibration



A. Estimating the over-sampling factor

- 1. Propose a formulation of the over-sampling factors for all imagers while observing the Moon.
- 2. Derive the over-sampling factors for the current and the past instruments.

Further advice from IVOS on this topic?



- 1. Using the available information on instrument calibration and drift estimates, the lunar irradiance observed by the instruments in the Lunar Calibration Dataset should be corrected for the instrument drift. A corrected dataset shall be produced.
- Description of the drift correction:
 Which methods are used?
 What are the uncertainties?
 Do we need to correct for phase-dependence first?



C. Accounting for spectral differences between instruments

- 1. Using the Lunar Calibration Dataset, estimate for all instruments and bands available in the dataset the SBAF with respect to Aqua MODIS bands.
- 2. The generated SBAF must be validated using hyper-spectral observations. Possibly, more hyper-spectral dataset should be used. The current hyper-spectral instruments with lunar capabilities are SCIAMACHY, HYPERION and GOME-2.
- 3. The results should be compared with equivalent data derived above other targets such as DCCs, ocean targets, etc.



D. Establishing an absolute scale for lunar calibration

- Analyse the current lunar calibration dataset to investigate the possibility to put the current ROLO/GIRO on an absolute scale using the 0.6.µm observations as a common wavelength
- 2. Using the current knowledge on the various instruments' inter-band calibration, investigate the possibility to have set the ROLO/GIRO on an absolute scale for other channels.
- 3. Investigate the uncertainties on the instrument inter-calibration caused by the use of inter-band calibration information.



E. Medium and long term activities on lunar calibration

1. Investigate the possibility to participate to an international consortium to fund dedicated measurement campaigns.

Review planned activities in this area:

- **1.** CMA: Obtained funding for new ground-based observations from CAS
- 2. CNES?
- 3. ESA: interest in contributing but no existing mechanism for such an international funding. Further investigations needed.
- 4. EUMETSAT: no existing mechanism for international funding but possibility still under investigation. Action set on Science Working Group to define users requirements.
- 5. ISRO? IMD?
- 6. JMA: no possibility for funding
- 7. KMA?
- 8. NASA?
- 9. NOAA?

10. CEOS/IVOS members: AIST, JAXA, KIOST, VITO?

