

	Analysis Ready Data For Land	Product Family Specification Land Surface Temperature
---	---	--

Document status

Product Family Specification, Land Surface Temperature

This Specification should next be reviewed on: December 2019

Proposed revisions may be provided to: lsi@lists.ceos.org

Document History

Version	Date	Description of change	Author
0.0.2	23.03.2017	Zero Draft based on materials provided by Geoscience Australia and the USGS in particular.	Ross
1.0.0	16.04.2017	Included document history;	Lewis
	18.04.2017	Revised to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Formatting and structure - Included guidance section 	
1.0.1	18.04.2017	Merged 'geometric source' and 'geometric method' elements.	Lewis
2.0	25.08.2017	Incorporated first round of revisions following feedback from the UK and others.	Lewis
2.1	06.09.2017	Feedback from ESA; removed reference to bands (1.10) as these are not relevant to LST; Feedback on 1.13 included to the effect that LST algorithm may not be supplied at Threshold level. Added qualifying notes to 2.7,2.8.	Lewis
3.0	05.12.2017	Feedback during the teleconference.	Lewis
3.1	22.12.2017	Feedback from the teleconference (05/12/2017) and post teleconf (emails) included.	Siqueira
3.2	01.08.2018	Outcome from LSI-VC-6 meeting addressed: <i>Surface Brightness Temperature (SBT) is not needed as a CARD4L product – there is no clear user base. The Land Surface Temperature (LST) PFS will be retained, with references to SBT removed in the next update cycle.</i> Therefore, LST became the minimum requirement (threshold) for CARD4L ST PFS.	Siqueira
3.3	21.01.2019	Feedback from ESA and USGS self-assessment included. Added Annex 1 containing examples (provided by USGS and ESA) on selected requirements.	Siqueira

3.3.1	06.02.2019	Final draft shared with LSI-VC list and LSI-VC-7 meeting participants seeking support for document endorsement at the LSI-VC-7 meeting.	Siqueira
3.3.1	20.02.2019	Comments and suggestions from LSI-VC-7 meeting (minutes) and feedback from USGS incorporated.	Siqueira
3.3.2	28.02.2019	Formatting and verbiage updates for consistency.	Metzger
4.0	02.03.2019	Version endorsed at LSI-VC7 meeting (14Feb 2019)	LSI-VC
4.1	26.06.2019	Added self-assessment columns	Bontje

Description

Product family title: Land Surface Temperature (CARD4L-ST)

Applies to: Data collected with multispectral sensors operating in the thermal infra-red (TIR) wavelengths. These typically operate with ground sample distance and resolution in the order 10-100m; however, the Specification is not inherently limited to this resolution.

At present, surface temperature measurements tend to be provided as either surface brightness temperature (SBT), or as land surface temperatures (LST) requiring the SBT to be modified according to the emissivity of the target. This specification identifies the Land Surface Temperature (LST) as being the minimum or Threshold requirement for analysis ready land surface data. Nevertheless, both SBT and LST are *land* measurements, requiring atmospheric corrections.

Definitions

LST	Land Surface Temperature
SBT	Surface Brightness Temperature
Ancillary Data	Ancillary data is data other than instrument measurements, originating in the instrument itself or from the satellite, required to perform processing of the data. They include orbit data, attitude data, time information, spacecraft engineering data, calibration data, data quality information and data from other instruments.
Auxiliary Data	Auxiliary data is the data required for instrument processing, which does not originate in the instrument itself or from the satellite. Some auxiliary data will be generated in the ground segment, whilst other data will be provided from external sources.
Metadata	Metadata is structured information that describes other information or information services. With well-defined metadata, users should be able to get basic information about data, without the need to have knowledge about its entire content.
MTF	Modulation Transfer Function
Spectral Resolution	The spectral resolution defines the narrowest spectral feature that can be resolved by a spectrometer.
Spatial Resolution	The highest magnification of the sensor at the ground surface.

Spectral Sampling Distance	Spectral sampling is the interval, in wavelength units, between discrete data points in the measured spectrum.
Spatial Sampling Distance	Spatial sampling distance is the barycentre-to-barycentre distance between adjacent spatial samples on the Earth's surface.

Requirements

General Metadata

These are metadata records describing a distributed collection of pixels. The collection of pixels referred to must be contiguous in space and time. General Metadata should allow the user to assess the overall suitability of the dataset, and must meet the following requirements:

#	Item	Threshold (Minimum) Requirements	Target (Desired) Requirements	Threshold Self-Assessment	Target Self-Assessment	Self-Assessment Explanation/Justification	Recommended Requirement Modification
1.1	Traceability	Not required.	<p>Data must be traceable to SI reference standard. Information on traceability should be available in the metadata as a single DOI landing page.</p> <p>Policy on measurement traceability: https://anab.qualtraxcloud.com/ShowDocument.aspx?ID=6536</p> <p>Guidance on measurement traceability: https://anab.qualtraxcloud.com/ShowDocument.aspx?ID=6532 <i>Note 1. SI Traceability requires an estimate of measurement uncertainty.</i></p>				
1.2	Metadata Machine Readability	Metadata is provided in a structure that enables a computer algorithm to be used to consistently and automatically identify and extract each component part for further use.	As threshold, but metadata is formatted in accordance with ISO 19115-2.				

#	Item	Threshold (Minimum) Requirements	Target (Desired) Requirements	Threshold Self-Assessment	Target Self-Assessment	Self-Assessment Explanation/Justification	Recommended Requirement Modification
1.3	Data Collection Time	The start and stop time of data collection is identified in the metadata, expressed in date/time, to the second, with the time offset from UTC unambiguously identified.	Acquisition time for each pixel is identified (or can be reliably determined) in the metadata, expressed in date/time at UTC, to the second.				
1.4	Geographical Area	The surface location to which the data relate is identified, typically as a series of four corner points, expressed in an accepted coordinate reference system (e.g., WGS84 coordinates).	The geographic area covered by the observations is identified specifically, such as through a set of coordinates of a closely bounding polygon. The location to which each pixel refers is identified (or can be reliably determined) expressed in projection coordinates with reference datum.				
1.5	Coordinate Reference System	The metadata lists the coordinate reference system that has been used.	As threshold.				
1.6	Map Projection	Not required.	The metadata lists the map projection that has been used, if any, and any relevant parameters required in relation to use of data in that map projection.				
1.7	Geometric Correction Methods	Not required. The user is not explicitly advised of the geometric correction source and methods.	Information on geometric correction methods should be available in the metadata as a single DOI landing page containing information on geodetic correction methods used, including reference database and ancillary data such as elevation model(s) and reference chip-sets.				

#	Item	Threshold (Minimum) Requirements	Target (Desired) Requirements	Threshold Self-Assessment	Target Self-Assessment	Self-Assessment Explanation/Justification	Recommended Requirement Modification
1.8	Geometric Accuracy of the Data	<p>Not required.</p> <p>The user is not provided with results of geometric correction processes pertaining to the dataset.</p>	<p>The metadata includes metrics describing the assessed geodetic accuracy of the data, expressed units of the coordinate system of the data. Accuracy is assessed by independent verification (as well as internal model-fit where applicable). Uncertainties are expressed as root mean square error (RMSE) or Circular Error 90% Probability (CEP90).</p> <p><i>Note 1: Information on geometric accuracy of the data should be available in the metadata as a single DOI landing page.</i></p>				
1.9	Instrument	<p>The instrument used to collect the data is identified in the metadata.</p>	<p>As threshold but information on instrument should be available in the metadata as a single DOI landing page with references to the relevant CEOS Missions, Instruments and Measurements Database record.</p>				
1.10	Spectral Bands	<p>The central wavelength for each band for which data is included is identified in the metadata, expressed in SI units.</p>	<p>As threshold, with instrument spectral response details (e.g. full spectral response function) also included or directly accessible using details in the metadata. Central wavelength and bandwidth at full-width half maximum value of the relative spectral response function are provided at least.</p> <p><i>Note 1: Information on spectral bands should be available in the</i></p>				

#	Item	Threshold (Minimum) Requirements	Target (Desired) Requirements	Threshold Self-Assessment	Target Self-Assessment	Self-Assessment Explanation/Justification	Recommended Requirement Modification
			<i>metadata as a single DOI landing page.</i>				
1.11	Sensor Calibration	Not required.	Sensor calibration parameters are identified in the metadata, or can be accessed using details included in the metadata. Ideally this would support machine to machine access. <i>Note 1: Information on sensory calibration should be available in the metadata as a single DOI landing page.</i>				
1.12	Radiometric Accuracy	Not required. The general metadata does not include information on the radiometric accuracy of the data.	Information on radiometric accuracy should be available in the metadata as a single DOI landing page providing information on metrics describing the assessed absolute radiometric accuracy of the data, expressed as absolute radiometric uncertainty relative to a known reference standard. <i>Note 1: For example, this may come from comparison with routine and rigorously collected in situ measurements.</i>				
1.13	Algorithms	All algorithms and versions, and the sequence in which they were applied in the generation process, are identified in the metadata.	As threshold, but only algorithms that have been published in a peer-reviewed journal. <i>Note 1: It is possible that high quality corrections are applied through non-disclosed processes. CARD4L does not per-se require full and open data and methods.</i>				

#	Item	Threshold (Minimum) Requirements	Target (Desired) Requirements	Threshold Self-Assessment	Target Self-Assessment	Self-Assessment Explanation/Justification	Recommended Requirement Modification
			<i>Note 2: Information on algorithms should be available in the metadata as a single DOI landing page.</i>				
1.14	Ancillary Data	<p>The metadata identifies the sources of ancillary data used in the generation process, ideally expressed as a single DOI landing page. The ancillary data can be requested from the owner.</p> <p><i>Note 1: Ancillary data includes DEMs, aerosols etc. and any additional data sources used in the generation of the product (auxiliary information location link).</i></p>	As threshold, but information on ancillary data should be available in the metadata as a single DOI landing page and is also available for free online download, contemporaneously with the product.				
1.15	Processing Chain Provenance	Not required.	Information on processing chain provenance should be available in the metadata as a single DOI landing page containing description of the processing chain used to generate the product, including the versions of the software used and information on the data collection baseline, giving full transparency to the users.				
1.16	Data Access	The metadata identifies the location from where the product can be retrieved, expressed as a DOI landing page.	The metadata identifies an online location from where the data (including any available new records) can be consistently and reliably retrieved by a computer algorithm without any manual intervention being required.				

#	Item	Threshold (Minimum) Requirements	Target (Desired) Requirements	Threshold Self-Assessment	Target Self-Assessment	Self-Assessment Explanation/Justification	Recommended Requirement Modification
		<i>Note 1: Manual and offline interaction action (e.g. log in) may be required.</i>	<i>Note 1: Some manual interaction action may be required <u>in the first instance</u> ('one off' basis) to establish ongoing access to the data.</i>				
1.17	Overall Data Quality	Not applicable.	The metadata includes details of the quality of the product based on quantitative assessment of the product with respect to high quality reference data with full traceability of the uncertainties. Validation and intercomparison statistics can provide the necessary quantification.				

Per-Pixel Metadata (Including, for Example, Quality Flags and Data-Masks)

Per-pixel metadata should allow users to discriminate between (choose) observations on the basis of their individual suitability for application and includes ‘quality flags’. The following minimum metadata specifications apply to each pixel. Whether the metadata are provided in a single record relevant to all pixels, or separately for each pixel, is at the discretion of the data provider. Similarly, the mechanism or form of the per-pixel metadata (additional data bands, mask layers, etc.) is open to the provider.

#	Item	Threshold (Minimum) Requirements	Target (Desired) Requirements	Threshold Self-Assessment	Target Self-Assessment	Self-Assessment Explanation/Justification	Recommended Requirement Modification
2.1	Metadata Machine Readability	Metadata is provided in a structure that enables a computer algorithm to be used to consistently and automatically identify and extract each component part for further use.	As threshold, but metadata is formatted in accordance with ISO 19115-2.				
2.2	No Data	Pixels that do not correspond to an observation (‘empty pixels’) are flagged.	As threshold.				
2.3	Incomplete Testing	The metadata identifies pixels for which the per-pixel tests (below) have not all been successfully completed. <i>Note 1: e.g., due to missing ancillary data for some pixels.</i>	The metadata identifies which tests have, and have not, been successfully completed for each pixel.				
2.4	Saturation	Metadata indicates where one or more pixel in the input spectral bands are saturated.	Metadata indicates which pixels are saturated for each spectral band.				
2.5	Cloud	Metadata indicates whether a pixel is assessed as being cloud.	As threshold, but information on cloud detection should be available in the metadata as a single DOI landing page.				
2.6	Cloud Shadow	Metadata indicates whether a pixel is assessed as being cloud shadow.	As threshold, but information on cloud shadow detection should be available in the metadata as a single DOI landing page.				

#	Item	Threshold (Minimum) Requirements	Target (Desired) Requirements	Threshold Self-Assessment	Target Self-Assessment	Self-Assessment Explanation/Justification	Recommended Requirement Modification
2.7	Snow / Ice mask	Not required.	The metadata indicates whether a pixel is assessed as being snow/ice or not. Information on snow/ice mask should be available in the metadata as a single DOI landing page.				
2.8	Illumination and Viewing Geometry	Provide average viewing and average illumination for the threshold requirement.	The solar incidence and sensor viewing angles are identified for each pixel, including coefficients used for terrain illumination correction.				

Radiometric and Atmospheric Corrections

The following requirements must be met for all pixels in a collection. Radiometric corrections must lead to a valid measurement of surface temperature.

#	Item	Threshold (Minimum) Requirements	Target (Desired) Requirements	Threshold Self-Assessment	Target Self-Assessment	Self-Assessment Explanation/Justification	Recommended Requirement Modification
3.1	Measurement	Pixel values are expressed as a measurement of the Surface Temperature of the land, expressed as Kelvin.	Surface temperature measurements are SI traceable (see also 1.1).				
3.2	Corrections for Atmosphere and Emissivity	The split-window method provides an estimate of the surface temperature from two TOA-BT measurements and assumes that the linearity of the relationship results from linearisation of the Planck function and linearity of the variation of atmospheric transmittance with column water vapour amount. For land, where emissivity can vary significantly with surface cover and type, the surface and atmosphere must be treated as a coupled system. <i>Note 1: The metadata references (may be through a single DOI landing page) a citable peer-reviewed algorithm.</i>	As threshold.				
3.3	Measurement Uncertainty	Not required.	Uncertainty, in Kelvin, of the surface temperature measurement for each pixel is provided.				

#	Item	Threshold (Minimum) Requirements	Target (Desired) Requirements	Threshold Self-Assessment	Target Self-Assessment	Self-Assessment Explanation/Justification	Recommended Requirement Modification
			<p><i>Note 1: Some of the intent of the initial wording (below) which refers to atmospheric windows may have been lost: Uncertainty, in units Kelvin, of the surface temperature for each pixel is also accompanied by distance from cloud (above) and atmospheric transmission (intervals, i.e. .4 - .55, .55 - .7 etc).</i></p>				

Geometric Corrections

Geometric corrections must place the measurement accurately on the surface of the Earth (that is, geolocate the measurement) allowing measurements taken through time to be compared.

#	Item	Threshold (Minimum) Requirements	Target (Desired) Requirements	Threshold Self-Assessment	Target Self-Assessment	Self-Assessment Explanation/Justification	Recommended Requirement Modification
4.1	Geometric Correction	<p>Sub-pixel accuracy is achieved in <u>relative</u> geolocation, that is, the pixels from the same instrument and platform are consistently located, and in thus comparable, through time.</p> <p>Sub-pixel accuracy is taken to be less than or equal to 0.5 pixel radial root mean square error (rRMSE) or equivalent in Circular Error Probability (CEP) relative to a defined reference image.</p> <p>A consistent gridding/sampling frame is necessary to meet this requirement.</p> <p>Relevant metadata must be provided under 1.8 and 1.9. <i>Note 1. The threshold level will not necessarily enable interoperability between data from <u>different</u> sources as the geometric corrections for each of the sources may differ.</i></p>	<p>Sub-pixel accuracy is achieved relative to an identified absolute independent terrestrial referencing system (such as a national map grid).</p> <p>A consistent gridding/sampling frame is necessary to meet this requirement.</p> <p>Relevant metadata must be provided under 1.8 and 1.9. <i>Note 1: This requirement is intended to enable interoperability between imagery from different platforms that meet this level of correction, and with non-image spatial data such as GIS layers and terrain models.</i></p>				

Summary Self-Assessment Table

	Threshold	Target
1. General Metadata		
1.1 Traceability		
1.2 Metadata Machine Readability		
1.3 Data Collection Time		
1.4 Geographical Area		
1.5 Coordinate Reference System		
1.6 Map Projection		
1.7 Geometric Correction Methods		
1.8 Geometric Accuracy of the Data		
1.9 Instrument		
1.10 Spectral Bands		
1.11 Sensor Calibration		
1.12 Radiometric Accuracy		
1.13 Algorithms		
1.14 Ancillary Data		
1.15 Processing Chain Provenance		
1.16 Data Access		
1.17 Overall Data Quality		
2. Per-Pixel Metadata		
2.1 Metadata Machine Readability		
2.2 No Data		
2.3 Incomplete Testing		
2.4 Saturation		
2.5 Cloud		
2.6 Cloud Shadow		
2.7 Snow/Ice Mask		
2.8 Illumination and Viewing Geometry		
3. Radiometric and Atmospheric Corrections		
3.1 Measurement		
3.2 Corrections for Atmosphere and Emissivity		
3.3 Measurement Uncertainty		
4. Geometric Corrections		
4.1 Geometric Correction		

Guidance

This section aims to provide background and specific information on the processing steps that can be used to achieve analysis ready data. This Guidance material does not replace or over-ride the specifications.

Introduction to CARD4L

What is CEOS Analysis Ready Data for Land (CARD4L) products?

CARD4L products have been processed to a minimum set of requirements and organized into a form that allows immediate analysis with a minimum of additional user effort. These products would be resampled onto a common geometric grid (for a given product) and would provide baseline data for further interoperability both through time and with other datasets.

CARD4L products are intended to be flexible and accessible products suitable for a wide range of users for a wide variety of applications, including particularly time series analysis and multi-sensor application development. They are also intended to support rapid ingestion and exploitation via high-performance computing, cloud computing and other future data architectures. They may not be suitable for all purposes, and are not intended as a 'replacement' for other types of satellite products.

When can a product be called CARD4L?

The CARD4L branding is applied to a particular product once:

- that product has been assessed as meeting CARD4L requirements by the agency responsible for production and distribution of the product.
- that assessment has been peer reviewed by the CEOS Land Surface Imaging Virtual Constellation in consultation with the CEOS Working Group on Calibration and Validation.

Agencies or other entities considering undertaking an assessment process should contact the co-leads of the Land Surface Imaging Virtual Constellation ([hyperlink](#)).

A product can continue to use CARD4L branding as long as its generation and distribution remain consistent with the peer-reviewed assessment.

What is the difference between Threshold and Target?

Products that meet all threshold requirements should be immediately useful for scientific analysis or decision-making.

Products that meet target requirements will reduce the overall product uncertainties and enhance broad-scale applications. For example, the products may enhance interoperability or provide increased accuracy through additional corrections that are not reasonable at the *threshold* level.

Target requirements anticipate continuous improvement of methods and evolution of community expectations which are both normal and inevitable in a developing field. Over time, *target* specifications may (and subject to due process) become accepted as *threshold* requirements.

Procedural Examples

Processes to produce Threshold Land Surface Temperature CARD4L-ST:

The following correction processes would typically be applied to produce CARD4L-ST Threshold:

- *No processes are provided at this point in time.*

Specific Examples

Processes to produce Threshold Surface Temperature CARD4L-ST:

- *No processes are provided at this point in time*

Reference papers

The following papers provide scientific and technical guidance:

Cook, M., Schott, J.R, Mandel, J., Raqueno, M. (2014). Development of an Operational Calibration Methodology for the Landsat Thermal Data Archive and Initial Testing of the Atmospheric Compensation Component of a Land Surface Temperature (LST) Product from the Archive. **Remote Sensing** 6 (11244-11266). doi:10.3390/rs61111244 ISSN 2072-4292.

www.mdpi.com/journal/remotesensing

Li et al., (2013) Satellite-derived land surface temperature: Current status and perspectives **Remote Sensing of Environment** 131 (2013) 14–37.

Annex 1 – CARD4L Requirement Examples (Land Surface Temperature)

General Metadata

#	Item	Example 1	Example 2
1.1	Traceability	<p>Example of measurement traceability in metadata:</p> <pre><band add_offset="0.000000" category="image" data_type="INT16" fill_value="-9999" name="ST" nlines="5000" nsamps="5000" product="st" scale_factor="0.100000" <short_name>LC08ST</short_name> <long_name>Surface Temperature</long_name> <file_name>ST</file_name> <pixel_size units="meters" x="30" y="30"/> <resample_method>none</resample_method> <data_units>temperature (kelvin)</data_units> <valid_range max="3730.000000" min="1500.000000"/> <app_version>st_1.3.0</app_version> <production_date>2018-11- 30T04:47:38Z</production_date> </band></pre> <p>Example of measurement uncertainty in metadata:</p> <pre><band category="qa" data_type="INT16" fill_value="- 9999" name="STQA" nlines="5000" nsamps="5000" product="st_qa" scale_factor="0.010000" source="toa_refl"> <short_name>LC08STQA</short_name> <long_name>Surface temperature quality band</long_name></pre>	NA

#	Item	Example 1	Example 2
		<pre> <file_name>STQA</file_name> <pixel_size units="meters" x="30" y="30"/> <resample_method>none</resample_method> <data_units>temperature (kelvin)</data_units> <valid_range max="32767.000000" min="0.000000"/> <app_version>st_1.3.0</app_version> <production_date>2018-11- 30T04:47:38Z</production_date> </band> </pre>	
1.2	Metadata Machine Readability	NA	NA
1.3	Data Collection Time	<p>Example of scene center time (UTC):</p> <pre> <scene_center_time>17:23:57.201686Z</scene_center_time> </pre>	<p>The granule start and end times are contained in the XML metadata:</p> <pre> <metadataObject ID="acquisitionPeriod" classification="DESCRIPTION" category="DMD"> <metadataWrap mimeType="text/xml" vocabularyName="Sentinel-SAFE" textInfo="Acquisition Period"> <xmlData> <sentinel-safe:acquisitionPeriod> <sentinel-safe:startTime>2018-10- 07T05:04:50.425838Z</sentinel-safe:startTime> <sentinel-safe:stopTime>2018-10- 07T05:07:50.425838Z</sentinel-safe:stopTime> </sentinel-safe:acquisitionPeriod> </xmlData> </metadataWrap> </metadataObject> </pre> <p>Per pixel times are derived using information from the "time_in.nc" and "indices_in.nc" datafiles following a</p>

#	Item	Example 1	Example 2
			prescribed recipe
1.4	Geographical Area	<p>Example of the bounding coordinates in decimal degrees (WGS84):</p> <pre><bounding_coordinates> <west>-99.9109607425</west> <east>-98.0134952569</east> <north>43.3609828699</north> <south>41.9778528562</south> </bounding_coordinates></pre> <p>Example of the corner points in the map projection system (Albers):</p> <pre><corner_point location="UL" x="-315585.000000" y="2264805.000000"/> <corner_point location="LR" x="-165585.000000" y="2114805.000000"/></pre>	NA
1.5	Coordinate Reference System	<p>Example of the projected coordinate system info:</p> <pre><projection_information datum="WGS84" projection="AEA" units="meters"></pre>	NA
1.6	Map Projection	<p>Example:</p> <pre><projection_information datum="WGS84" projection="AEA" units="meters"> <corner_point location="UL" x="-315585.000000" y="2264805.000000"/> <corner_point location="LR" x="-165585.000000" y="2114805.000000"/> <grid_origin>UL</grid_origin> <albers_proj_params> <standard_parallel1>29.500000</standard_parallel1></pre>	NA

#	Item	Example 1	Example 2
		<pre> <standard_parallel2>45.500000</standard_parallel2> <central_meridian>-96.000000</central_meridian> <origin_latitude>23.000000</origin_latitude> <false_easting>0.000000</false_easting> <false_northing>0.000000</false_northing> </albers_proj_params> </projection_information> </pre>	
1.7	<p>Geometric Correction Source</p>	<p>Example of elevation source: <elevation_source>GLS2000</elevation_source></p>	<p>The XML wrapper provides the source of the geometric calibration:</p> <pre> <sentinel-safe:resource name="S3A_SL_1_GEC_AX_20160216T000000_20991231 T235959_20180202T120000_____MPC_ O_AL_007.SEN3" role="SLSTR Geometric Calibration Data File"> <sentinel-safe:processing name="AdfProcessing"> <sentinel-safe:facility name="ESA Mission Performance Coordinating Centre (MPC)" organisation="ESA Mission Performance Coordinating Centre" site="Sophia Antipolis" country="France"> <sentinel-safe:hardware name="OPE"/> <sentinel-safe:software name="ADC" version="1.0"/> </sentinel-safe:facility> </sentinel-safe:processing> </sentinel-safe:resource> </pre>
1.8	<p>Geodetic Accuracy</p>	<p>Example: <geometric_rmse_model>9.021</geometric_rmse_model> <geometric_rmse_model_x>6.864</geometric_rmse_model_x></p>	<p>NA</p>

#	Item	Example 1	Example 2
		<pre><geometric_rmse_model_y>5.854</geometric_rmse_model_y></pre>	
1.9	Instrument	<p>Example:</p> <pre><satellite>LANDSAT_8</satellite> <instrument>OLI/TIRS_Combined</instrument></pre>	<p>The XML wrapper provides the instrument details:</p> <pre><metadataObject ID="platform" classification="DESCRIPTION" category="DMD"> <metadataWrap mimeType="text/xml" vocabularyName="Sentinel-SAFE" textInfo="Platform Description"> <xmlData> <sentinel-safe:platform> <sentinel-safe:nssdcIdentifier>2016- 011A</sentinel-safe:nssdcIdentifier> <sentinel-safe:familyName>Sentinel- 3</sentinel-safe:familyName> <sentinel-safe:number>A</sentinel- safe:number> <sentinel-safe:instrument> <sentinel-safe:familyName abbreviation="SLSTR">Sea and Land Surface Temperature Radiometer</sentinel-safe:familyName> <sentinel-safe:mode identifier="EO">Earth Observation</sentinel-safe:mode> </sentinel-safe:instrument> </sentinel-safe:platform> </xmlData> </metadataWrap> </metadataObject></pre>
1.10	Sensor Calibration	<p>Example:</p> <pre><cpf_name>LC08CPF_20180101_20180331_01.02</cpf_name></pre>	NA
1.11	Radiometric Accuracy	NA	NA

#	Item	Example 1	Example 2
1.12	Algorithms	Example for Surface Temperature algorithm version: <app_version>st_1.3.0</app_version>	NA
1.13	Ancillary Data	NA	All Auxiliary Datafiles (ADFs) are listed in the XML wrapper: <pre> <sentinel-safe:resource name="S3__SL_2_LSTBAX_20000101T000000_20991231 T235959_20151214T120000_____MPC_ O_AL_001.SEN3" role="SLSTR LST biome data file" version="06.16"> <sentinel-safe:resource name="S3__SL_2_LSTVAX_20000101T000000_20991231 T235959_20151214T120000_____MPC_ O_AL_001.SEN3" role="SLSTR LST vegetation fraction data file" version="06.16"> <sentinel-safe:resource name="S3__SL_2_LSTWAX_20000101T000000_20991231 T235959_20151214T120000_____MPC_ O_AL_001.SEN3" role="SLSTR LST water vapour data file" version="06.16"> </pre>
1.14	Processing Chain Provenance	NA	Processing chain provenance information is stored in the XML wrapper under the following tag: <pre> <metadataObject ID="processing" classification="PROVENANCE" category="PDI"> </pre>
1.15	Data Access	NA	NA
1.16	Overall Data Quality	NA	Overall data quality information is stored in the XML wrapper under the following tag: <pre> <metadataObject ID="measurementQualityInformation" classification="DESCRIPTION" category="DMD"> </pre>

General Metadata

#	Item	Example 1	Example 2
2.1	Metadata Machine Readability	NA	NA
2.2	No Data	<p>Example of the fill_value specified for each band in metadata:</p> <pre><band add_offset="0.000000" category="image" data_type="INT16" fill_value="-9999" name="ST" nlines="5000" nsamps="5000" product="st" scale_factor="0.100000" <short_name>LC08ST</short_name> <long_name>Surface Temperature</long_name> <file_name>ST</file_name> <pixel_size units="meters" x="30" y="30"/> <resample_method>none</resample_method> <data_units>temperature (kelvin)</data_units> <valid_range max="3730.000000" min="1500.000000"/> <app_version>st_1.3.0</app_version> <production_date>2018-11- 30T04:47:38Z</production_date> </band></pre>	<p>The "flags_in.nc" datafile contains per-pixel information on "no / bad data through saturation / incomplete testing etc". The following field has an "unfilled" flag:</p> <pre>ushort confidence_in(rows, columns) ; confidence_in:flag_masks = 1US, 2US, 4US, 8US, 16US, 32US, 64US, 128US, 256US, 512US, 1024US, 2048US, 4096US, 8192US, 16384US, 32768US ; confidence_in:flag_meanings = "coastline ocean tidal land inland_water unfilled spare spare cosmetic duplicate day twilight sun_glint snow summary_cloud summary_pointing" ;</pre>
2.3	Incomplete Testing	NA	<p>The "flags_in.nc" datafile contains per-pixel information on "no / bad data through saturation / incomplete testing etc". The following field has an "unfilled" flag:</p> <pre>ushort confidence_in(rows, columns) ; confidence_in:flag_masks = 1US, 2US, 4US, 8US, 16US, 32US, 64US, 128US, 256US, 512US, 1024US, 2048US, 4096US, 8192US, 16384US, 32768US ; confidence_in:flag_meanings = "coastline ocean tidal land inland_water unfilled spare spare cosmetic</pre>

#	Item	Example 1	Example 2
			duplicate day twilight sun_glint snow summary_cloud summary_pointing";
2.4	Saturation	<p>Example of RADSATQA band showing the saturation information for the thermal bands used for Surface Temperature calculation:</p> <pre> <band category="qa" data_type="UINT16" fill_value="1" name="RADSATQA" nlines="5000" nsamps="5000" product="toa_refl" source="level1"> <short_name>LC08RADSAT</short_name> <long_name>saturation mask</long_name> <file_name>RADSATQA</file_name> <pixel_size units="meters" x="30" y="30"/> <resample_method>none</resample_method> <data_units>bitmap</data_units> <bitmap_description> <bit num="0">Data Fill Flag (0 = valid data, 1 = invalid data)</bit> <bit num="1">Band 1 Data Saturation Flag (0 = valid data, 1 = saturated data)</bit> <bit num="2">Band 2 Data Saturation Flag (0 = valid data, 1 = saturated data)</bit> <bit num="3">Band 3 Data Saturation Flag (0 = valid data, 1 = saturated data)</bit> <bit num="4">Band 4 Data Saturation Flag (0 = valid data, 1 = saturated data)</bit> <bit num="5">Band 5 Data Saturation Flag (0 = valid data, 1 = saturated data)</bit> <bit num="6">Band 6 Data Saturation Flag (0 = valid data, 1 = saturated data)</bit> <bit num="7">Band 7 Data Saturation Flag (0 = valid data, 1 = saturated data)</bit> <bit num="8">N/A</bit> </pre>	<p>The "flags_in.nc" datafile contains per-pixel information on "no / bad data through saturation / incomplete testing etc". The following field has an "unfilled" flag:</p> <pre> ushort confidence_in(rows, columns) ; confidence_in:flag_masks = 1US, 2US, 4US, 8US, 16US, 32US, 64US, 128US, 256US, 512US, 1024US, 2048US, 4096US, 8192US, 16384US, 32768US ; confidence_in:flag_meanings = "coastline ocean tidal land inland_water unfilled spare spare cosmetic duplicate day twilight sun_glint snow summary_cloud summary_pointing" ; </pre>

#	Item	Example 1	Example 2
		<pre> <bit num="9">Band 9 Data Saturation Flag (0 = valid data, 1 = saturated data)</bit> <bit num="10">Band 10 Data Saturation Flag (0 = valid data, 1 = saturated data)</bit> <bit num="11">Band 11 Data Saturation Flag (0 = valid data, 1 = saturated data)</bit> </bitmap_description> <app_version>LaSRC_1.3.0</app_version> <production_date>2018-11- 30T04:47:38Z</production_date> </band> </pre>	
2.5	Cloud	<p>Example of PIXELQA showing the bit value for cloud pixels (as well as cloud and cirrus confidence):</p> <pre> <band category="qa" data_type="UINT16" fill_value="1" name="PIXELQA" nlines="5000" nsamps="5000" product="level2_qa" source="level1"> <short_name>LC08PQA</short_name> <long_name>level-2 pixel quality band</long_name> <file_name>PIXELQA</file_name> <pixel_size units="meters" x="30" y="30"/> <resample_method>none</resample_method> <data_units>quality/feature classification</data_units> <bitmap_description> <bit num="0">fill</bit> <bit num="1">clear</bit> <bit num="2">water</bit> <bit num="3">cloud shadow</bit> <bit num="4">snow</bit> <bit num="5">cloud</bit> <bit num="6">cloud confidence</bit> <bit num="7">cloud confidence</bit> </pre>	<p>The "flags_in.nc" datafile contains all the cloud masking flags Three fields are relevant: i) cloud_in; ii) confidence_in; and iii) bayes_in</p> <p>The "cloud_in" field contains all the individual threshold-based mask: flag_masks = 1US, 2US, 4US, 8US, 16US, 32US, 64US, 128US, 256US, 512US, 1024US, 2048US, 4096US, 8192US, 16384US, 32768US ; cloud_in:flag_meanings = "visible 1.37_threshold 1.6_small_histogram 1.6_large_histogram 2.25_small_histogram 2.25_large_histogram 11_spatial_coherence gross_cloud thin_cirrus medium_high fog_low_stratus 11_12_view_difference 3.7_11_view_difference thermal_histogram spare spare"</p> <p>The "confidence_in" field contains the "summary_cloud_mask" from the most appropriate cloud_in flags; the value of the bit is 16384US</p>

#	Item	Example 1	Example 2
		<pre> <bit num="8">cirrus confidence</bit> <bit num="9">cirrus confidence</bit> <bit num="10">terrain occlusion</bit> <bit num="11">unused</bit> <bit num="12">unused</bit> <bit num="13">unused</bit> <bit num="14">unused</bit> <bit num="15">unused</bit> </bitmap_description> <app_version>generate_pixel_qa_1.6.0</app_version> <production_date>2018-11-30T04:47:38Z</production_date> </band> </pre>	The "bayes_in" field contains the "single_moderate" probabilistic cloud flag; the value of the bit is 2UB
2.6	Cloud Shadow	Please see the cloud shadow part in the example provided in requirement 2.5	NA
2.7	Illumination and Viewing Geometry	NA	NA
2.8	Snow/Ice Mask	Please see the snow part in the example provided in requirement 2.5	NA

Radiometric and Atmospheric Corrections

#	Item	Example 1	Example 2
3.1	Measurement	NA	NA
3.2	Corrections for Atmosphere (and Emissivity in the Case of LST)	NA	NA
3.3	Measurement Uncertainty	NA	NA

Geometric Corrections

#	Item	Example 1	Example 2
4.1	Geometric Correction	NA	NA