Committee on Earth Observation Satellites

Aquatic Carbon Roadmap


CEOS Roadmap for a coordinated space-based monitoring of carbon stocks and fluxes in marine, coastal and inland waters in support of science and policy

Edited by:
Marie Helene Rio (ESA)
Laura Lorenzoni (NASA)
Hiroshi Murakami (JAXA)

Version 3.1, October 2026

Image: Landsat-8, NASA Earth Observatory

The Aquatic Carbon Roadmap is the product of a group of experts convened through the Committee on Earth Observation Satellites (CEOS). The document was acknowledged by CEOS Principals at CEOS Plenary (November, 2026), who recognise the quality of the work, and encourage CEOS Members and Associates to consider the recommendations made by this document.



Acknowledgements

The editors gratefully acknowledge the comments and constructive feedback provided by members of CEOS, as well as that of other contributors, especially Merrie Beth Neely. Responsibility for the final content rests with the chapter authors and Editors.

This report should be cited as:

CEOS Aquatic Carbon Roadmap, 2026. Rio, M.-H., Lorenzoni, L., and Murakami, H., Eds. Committee on Earth Observation Satellites (CEOS).

All chapter authors are listed in alphabetical order. A full list of authors can be found on pg. 6 of this document.

Cover page image: Marquesas Keys, Florida, USA, as imaged by Landsat-8 OLI on 22 February 2024. Imagery by Wanmei Liang using Landsat data from USGS, published on NASA Earth Observatory. Read more: https://science.nasa.gov/earth/earth-observatory/landsat-plumbs-the-shallow-depths/

List of acronyms

Acronym	Meaning
AD	Activity Data.
AERONET-OC	Aerosol Robotic Network – Ocean Color.
AFOLU	Agriculture, Forestry and Other Land Use.
AI/ML	Artificial Intelligence / Machine Learning.
AMOC	Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation.
AR6	Sixth Assessment Report.
AR7	Seventh Assessment Report.
BCEs	Blue Carbon Ecosystems.
BCP	Biological Carbon Pump.
BGC-Argo	Biogeochemical Argo.
Cal/Val	Calibration and Validation.
CDOM	Chromophoric Dissolved Organic Matter.
CEOS	Committee on Earth Observation Satellites.
CH_4	Methane.
CHIME	Copernicus Hyperspectral Imaging Mission for the Environment.
CO_2	Carbon dioxide.
CO_2e	Carbon dioxide equivalent.
CO_2M	Copernicus Anthropogenic Carbon Dioxide Monitoring mission.
COP	Conference Of Parties
CyAN	Cyanobacteria Assessment Network.

List of acronyms (cont.)

Acronym	Meaning
DIC	Dissolved Inorganic Carbon.
DOC	Dissolved Organic Carbon.
DOM	Dissolved Organic Matter.
ECV	Essential Climate Variable.
EF	Emission Factor.
EO	Earth Observation.
ESA	European Space Agency.
FAIR	Findable, Accessible, Interoperable and Reusable.
GCB	Global Carbon Budget.
GCOS	Global Climate Observing System.
GHG	Greenhouse Gas.
GHRSST	Group for High Resolution Sea Surface Temperature.
GLIMR	Geosynchronous Littoral Imaging and Monitoring Radiometer.
GLODAP	Global Ocean Data Analysis Project.
GOOS	Global Ocean Observing System.
GOA-ON	Global Ocean Acidification Observing Network
GST	Global Stocktake.
HABs	Harmful Algal Blooms.
ICOS	Integrated Carbon Observation System.
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.

List of acronyms (cont.)

Acronym	Meaning
IOCCG	International Ocean Colour Coordinating Group.
IOCCP	International Ocean Carbon Coordination Project.
IT	Information Technology
LOAC	Land-to-Ocean-Aquatic-Continuum.
LULUCF	Land Use, Land Use Change and Forestry.
mCDR	Marine Carbon Dioxide Removal.
MOE	Ministry of the Environment.
MRV	Monitoring, Reporting and Verification.
NASA	National Aeronautics and Space Administration.
N2O	Nitrous oxide
NbS	Nature-based Solutions.
NDCs	Nationally Determined Contributions.
NGOs	Non-Governmental Organisations.
NIR	Near Infrared.
NPP	Net Primary Production.
OA	Ocean Acidification
OAE	Ocean Alkalinity Enhancement.
OCO-2	Orbiting Carbon Observatory-2.
OCO-3	Orbiting Carbon Observatory-3.
OIF	Ocean Iron Fertilisation.
PACE	Plankton, Aerosol, Cloud, ocean Ecosystem mission.

List of acronyms (cont.)

Acronym	Meaning
PIC	Particulate Inorganic Carbon.
POC	Particulate Organic Carbon.
ppm	Parts per million.
REDD+	Reducing Emissions from Deforestation and Forest Degradation
Rrs	Remote-sensing reflectance.
SAR	Synthetic Aperture Radar.
SIT	Strategic Implementation Team.
SNR	Signal-to-Noise Ratio.
SOCAT	Surface Ocean CO2 Atlas.
SOLAS	Surface Ocean–Lower Atmosphere Study.
SSH	Sea Surface Height.
SSP	Shared Socioeconomic Pathways
SSS	Sea Surface Salinity.
SST	Sea Surface Temperature.
SWOT	Surface Water and Ocean Topography mission.
TCCON	Total Carbon Column Observing Network
UNEP	United Nations Environment Programme.
UNFCCC	United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change.
UV	Ultraviolet.
VCM	Voluntary Carbon Market.

List of acronyms (cont.)

Acronym	Meaning
VCMI	Voluntary Carbon Markets Integrity Initiative.
VSWIR	Visible to Shortwave Infrared.

List of authors (listed in alphabetical order)

Lead author: Shutler, Jamie - Plymouth Marine Laboratory, UK

- **Arteaga, Lionel -** NASA GSFC, USA
- **Bisson, Kelsey -** Oregon State University, USA
- **Brewin, Robert -** University of Exeter, UK
- **Carbonniere, Aurelien -** CNES, France
- **Carroll, Dustin -** San Jose State University, USA
- **Chatterjee, Abhishek -** Schmidt Sciences, USA
- **Cifuentes-Jara, Miguel -** URKO Earth Systems, Costa Rica
- **Clark, Blake -** Self, USA
- **Crisp, David** - Crisp Spectra LLC, USA
- **Dupuy, Christine -** La Rochelle Université, France
- **Findlay, Helen -** Plymouth Marine Laboratory, UK
- **Ford, Daniel J. -** University of Exeter, UK
- **Goes, Joaquim -** Columbia University, USA
- **Gregor, Luke -** Swiss Data Science Centre, Switzerland
- **Halabisky, Meghan -** University of Washington, USA
- **Kroeger, Kevin -** Silvestrum Climate Associates, USA
- **Kulk, Gemma -** Plymouth Marine Laboratory, UK
- **Kutser, Tiit -** University of Tartu, Estonia
- **Landschutzer, Peter -** Flanders Marine Institute, Belgium
- **Mackay, Neill -** University of Exeter, UK
- **Mannino, Antonio -** NASA GSFC, USA
- **Poulter, Ben -** Spark Climate Solutions, USA
- **Poursanidis, Dimitris -** Foundation for Research and Technology - Hellas, Greece
- **Roman-Cuesta, Rosa M. -** Joint Research Center-EC, Italy
- **Rousseaux, Cecile -** NASA GSFC, USA
- **Savelli, Raphael -** NASA JPL, USA
- **Serrano, Oscar -** Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, Spain
- **Simis, Stefan -** Plymouth Marine Laboratory, UK
- **Unnithan, Kesav -** CSIRO, Australia
- **Vantrepotte, Vincent -** CNRS, France

Table Of Content

1.0 Key messages and executive summary... 8
2.0 Introduction... 16
2.1 The role of aquatic systems in carbon uptake and cycling... 16
2.2 Elevating the awareness of the complete aquatic carbon cycle... 16
2.3 Conceptual coverage of this roadmap and definitions... 19
3.0 Current satellite Earth observation capabilities for aquatic carbon... 22
3.1 Why Earth observations matter for carbon assessments... 22
3.2 EO for aquatic carbon in open-ocean and shelf-seas, including air-sea fluxes... 23
3.3 EO for aquatic carbon in Coastal zones, including blue carbon ecosystems... 25
3.4 EO for inland aquatic carbon, including land to ocean fluxes... 28
4.0 Critical challenges, gaps and opportunities... 29
4.1 Aquatic carbon in open-ocean and shelf-seas, including air-sea fluxes... 31
4.2 Aquatic carbon in coastal zones, including blue carbon ecosystems... 33
4.3 Aquatic carbon in inland water bodies, including land to ocean fluxes... 35
5.0 Demonstrating the power of aquatic carbon Earth observation to inform diverse stakeholders... 38
5.1 Case studies... 39
6.0 Complementarities and linkages to the GHG and AFOLU and other roadmaps... 46
6.1. Constraining air-sea fluxes from atmospheric GHG observations... 46
6.2 Purpose-built sensors for space-based XCO_2 observations, and validation over the ocean... 47
6.3 Crosscutting collaboration to improve assessment of blue carbon ecosystem contributions... 49
6.4 Closing the land–aquatic–atmospheric carbon budget through lateral carbon transport... 50
6.5 Integrating ocean observations and models into global atmospheric GHG inversions... 51
7.0 Expanding visibility, utilisation and capacity development... 52
7.1 Communicating the science of the changing marine carbon to policy makers... 52
7.2 Utilisation and capacity development... 53
8.0 Forward look... 55
9.0 References... 57

1. Key messages and executive summary

The Aquatic Carbon Roadmap provides a strategic framework and guiding vision for the short, medium, and long-term coordination of CEOS agency observing programmes to meet scientific and policy needs related to aquatic carbon within the broader CEOS Carbon Strategy. It highlights the critical role of integrating Earth Observation (EO) and in situ measurements to quantify and monitor carbon stocks and fluxes within and across aquatic systems. By leveraging the unique global coverage of remote sensing, the roadmap aims to strengthen aquatic carbon accounting, support climate assessments, national inventories, and global stocktakes, and enhance the integration of ocean-based solutions into mitigation strategies. Considered alongside the CEOS Greenhouse Gas (GHG, 2020) and the Agriculture, Forestry and Other Land Use (AFOLU, 2024) roadmaps (Figure 1), it contributes to a coherent Earth observation perspective of the carbon cycle, recognizing the interconnected nature of terrestrial, atmospheric, and aquatic systems and the need for coordinated observations of carbon exchanges across these domains. The roadmap also identifies priority actions and opportunities across different time horizons and calls for the development of quantitative metrics to track progress and guide future improvements.




Figure 1 Components of the Earth Carbon Cycle as described in the three complementary CEOS Roadmaps: the GHG Roadmap (orange circles), the Aquatic Carbon Roadmap (blue circles) and the AFOLU roadmap (green circles). Upward, downward and horizontal arrows stand respectively for source, sink and transfer of carbon in and across systems.

Aquatic ecosystems contain and cycle the second largest, long-term store of carbon on Earth, second only to the Earth's core. Marine and freshwater systems underpin biodiversity, fisheries, national security, and coastal protection, and their ability to sequester carbon and provide services depends on the health of the ecosystems. As of 2025, the ocean is estimated to be a net sink of approximately 3 Gt C per year; it has taken up about 25% of cumulative anthropogenic carbon emissions and absorbed over 90% of excess heat in the Earth System. Long-term carbon sequestration occurs via deep-ocean storage and sediment burial, representing substantial climate and economic value.

Understanding of the importance of aquatic carbon for climate mitigation, climate regulation, and the economic benefits it provides remains significantly behind that of atmospheric and terrestrial carbon systems. For example, aquatic systems are largely overlooked in the Global Stocktake. Earth Observations (EO) are fundamental for assessing, observing, and understanding the carbon cycle at local, regional, and global scales. Their synoptic perspective, combined with high temporal resolution, makes EO indispensable for quantifying and monitoring aquatic carbon across the Earth system. In addition, EO enables scalable assessments of ecosystem extent, condition, productivity, and carbon dynamics, supporting both scientific research and policy development.

This roadmap outlines how EO, and their in situ counterpart, are critical for observing and quantifying carbon stocks and fluxes across aquatic systems–open ocean, shelf seas, coastal and blue-carbon ecosystems, and inland waters–and how these observations are needed to support a wide spectrum of priorities, ranging from environmental assessments and national inventories to global stocktakes and economic valuations. The recommendations in this Roadmap leverage the power of remote sensing, which provide the only sustained, global coverage observations for aquatic carbon, especially in remote open-ocean and dynamic coastal zones. It can be executed by public and private entities, with the coordination and support of the Committee on Earth Observation Satellites (CEOS). While this roadmap focuses on carbon accounting, it hinges on foundational science to advance critical applications; in depth details into the science of aquatic carbon can be found in other efforts, for example the Earth-Science Reviews special issue, which contains a collection of overarching critical reviews of the advances of the science.

The priority areas and opportunities identified across the short, medium, and long term detailed below provide tangible execution pathways. Table 1 brings together both current priorities and emerging, forward-looking topics, while Table 2 illustrates the range of stakeholders whose needs are addressed by the roadmap. To track progress, quantitative metrics will be developed to assess the effectiveness of actions, measure success, and identify areas where further improvement may be needed; Progress can be assessed through documented outcomes that demonstrate improved alignment among stakeholder needs, scientific advancements, and capabilities development.

Key priority areas – short term

a. Continue support of and expand aquatic carbon basic research and advanced products

- Continue to encourage and support inter-disciplinary science that promotes integration of remote sensing into broader biological and chemical models (Sec. 4). Consider science that integrates EO, field data, and models to support carbon estimates, Monitoring, Reporting, and Verification (MRV) for markets, and forecasting risks to guide restoration (Sec. 4.2)
- Invest in science that will develop and validate tools to detect change and the rate of change in the ocean carbon cycle, enabling identification of potential carbon-related tipping points and fund more science to understand carbon tipping points. (Sec. 4.1)
- Leverage activity-based ("bottom up" in situ efforts, e.g. Arctic COLORS/FORTE) carbon quantification approaches that use atmospheric-based and oceanic-based approaches to enable carbon estimates to converge (Sec. 4.1 and 4.2; Sec. 6)
- Invest in science that includes indicators of bio- and functional diversity and the flow of carbon through the marine ecosystem into ocean carbon research to improve understanding of carbon flows and feedbacks (Sec. 4.1)
- Consider the improvements of models to gain the ability to predict ecosystem responses to changes in the carbon cycle, and identify areas most vulnerable to loss or in need of restoration or mitigation actions (Sec. 4.2).
- Further develop and refine algorithms for retrieval of carbon concentrations and primary productivity in inland waters (sec. 4.3)
- Maintain and improve the accuracy and stability of primary observables needed for ocean carbon research by sustaining robust calibration and validation (cal/val) activities (Sec. 4.1, 4.2, and 4.3).
- Invest in science that generates robust evidence on the impact and effectiveness of marine Carbon Dioxide Removal (mCDR) (Sec. 4.2 and Sec. 5)
- Continue improvements and advances in atmospheric correction, especially in complex coastal waters, and develop a more robust science-based approach to reduce uncertainty and error in carbon estimates for coastal and inland waters (Sec. 4.2 and 4.3).
- Continue to encourage and support near-real time release of remote sensing data to contribute to regular assessments of the ocean carbon sink (Sec. 5).

b. Leverage existing observational platforms and models to gap fill aquatic carbon flux priority areas

- Exploit multi-sensors (active/passive) and multi-platforms (satellite, in-situ) synergy to access the three dimensionality of the ocean and refine/develop improved carbon cycling estimates (Sec. 4.1, 4.3, and 4.3).
- Advance the estimation of ocean circulation to better constrain aquatic carbon pathways (sec. 4.1).
- Further develop and support dedicated in situ networks, drones, and CubeSats to provide high-resolution validation measurements (Sec. 4.1, 4.2, and 4.3)
- Expand hyperspectral in situ measurements of inland water bodies to improve validation of EO (sec. 4.3)

- Build integrated modeling frameworks linking inland waters, coasts, and ocean. Research should focus on developing approaches that consider this land to ocean continuum, its heterogeneity and cascade of scales and the carbon flow within this, so linking inland waters, estuarine and coastal seas (Sec. 4.3, Sec. 5)

c. Support science that will advance aquatic carbon markets
   - Strengthen cross-roadmap (Aquatic–GHG–AFOLU) coordination, synergies, and co-benefits through shared use cases (Sec. 6).
   - Further the development of blue carbon ecosystem accounting from EO, especially seagrasses (Sec. 5.2).
   - Explore ways in which EO data product development aligns with internationally recognized carbon accounting methodologies and standards used in voluntary and compliance carbon markets, while remaining compatible with stocktake requirements and IPCC guidance for national carbon accounting. Of particular importance are efforts to reduce and constrain the uncertainties of the multiple independent methods that are capable of assessing the ocean carbon sink. This will act to strengthen the ocean constraint on global carbon assessments and further enhance the importance of ocean estimates (Sec. 5).

Key priority areas – medium term

a. Coordinate and plan future observational needs that will address gaps in aquatic carbon research including flux estimates
   - Establish a plan to develop active space-based (lidar) systems that will improve the understanding of organic carbon cycling in deeper parts of the ocean 'hidden' from passive satellite ocean colour (sec. 4.1).
   - Develop geostationary missions for high frequency measurements of open and coastal zones (sec. 4.1 and 4.2).
   - Encourage the full assessment and exploitation of current novel sensing technologies in orbit to study carbon processes, and utilize those results to inform new satellite missions that are maximized for cross-roadmap carbon research (Roadmap-broad).
   - Improve the accuracy and spatial and temporal coverage of key physical observations (e.g., winds, surface turbulence, surface ocean circulation) needed for ocean carbon research through new and improved retrieval algorithms, better integration of satellite and in situ observations (Roadmap-broad).

b. Support science that will advance aquatic carbon markets
   - Support the development of EO data products that align with internationally recognized carbon accounting methodologies and standards used in voluntary and compliance carbon markets, while remaining compatible with stocktake requirements and IPCC guidance for national carbon accounting (Sec. 5).
   - Evaluate and address the latency between science advances and policy uptake, including evaluating the uptake of key ocean carbon research information into decision-making (Sec. 5).
   - Further the development of Tier 2 and 3 reporting from EO (Sec. 5.2)

c. Support community standards and capacity building
   - Increase global capacity, especially in the Global South, for EO data use and inventory support (Sec. 7).

- Encourage fiducial reference measurement networks that collect measurements relevant to multiple communities on the same platform or frameworks and support the development of science based standards for these measurements e.g., atmospheric (e.g. XCO_2) and oceanic (e.g. pCO_2) data (Sec. 6)

Key priority areas – long term

a. Support and sustain the provision of satellite datasets for ocean carbon, and further develop satellite-based climate data records so that they may continue to be used in global carbon assessments (Roadmap-broad).
b. Equitably coordinate and support the fiducial in situ measurements infrastructure and best practices that are necessary to underpin satellite climate data records. This is essential so we can track the changing aquatic health and assess the impact on the Environment of policy decisions (Roadmap-broad).
c. Invest in maturing technology and frontier research that furthers the information extracted from EO signals and the different carbon pools in aquatic systems, as well as furthering process-based understanding of aquatic carbon cycling (Roadmap-broad).

Areas of opportunity

1. Collaborate with international groups (e.g., GHRSST, Global Carbon Budget, SOCAT, GELON, GLODAP etc.) to reduce latency, interoperability, and accessibility of aquatic carbon assessments. (Sec. 7)
2. Continue to enable and support interactions between communities (e.g. space agencies and linked organisations or groups like IOCCG, GHRSST) involved in all types of carbon related observations (e.g., in situ, autonomous and EO) and modelling (Sec. 6 and Sec. 7).
3. Encourage the promotion of integrated aquatic carbon capabilities and training (that bridge all types of observations, space activities and modelling approaches) by international groups (e.g., IOCCG, GHRSST, IOCCP, SOLAS, CLIVAR, etc.). (Sec. 7)
4. Involve the broader community; citizen science is a very useful approach for enhancing or contributing to research activities and should be encouraged alongside full consideration of its potential and limitations. (Sec. 7)

Table 1: Summary of current priorities and emerging, forward-looking topics considered in the Aquatic Carbon Roadmap.

Category	Current Priorities (Roadmap Scope)	Identified Future Gaps / Forward-Looking Priorities
Carbon Species	Focus on DIC, DOC, POC, and PIC (Dissolved/Particulate Organic and Inorganic Carbon) and air-sea CO_2 flux	Methane (CH_4): Detailed treatment of the aquatic methane cycle is missing. Other cycles: Nitrogen
Ecosystems	Open oceans, shelf seas, and "Blue Carbon" (mangroves, seagrass, tidal marshes).	The LOAC Loop: Inclusion of floodplains and groundwater; deeper understanding of the "Twilight Zone" and under-ice regions
Methodology	Tier 1 IPCC reporting and establishing baseline Earth Observation (EO) capabilities.	Transitioning to Tier 2 and Tier 3 reporting; narrowing model uncertainties; and validating 3D ocean carbon storage.
Social and Economic	Scientific quantification of stocks and fluxes to support the Global Stocktake.	Human Dimension: Impact of urbanization and management; Economic Valuation: Linking carbon data to monetary value.
Climate Action	Initial framework for Monitoring, Reporting, and Verification (MRV).	Dedicated focus on the safety, efficacy, and ethical frameworks of Marine Carbon Dioxide Removal technologies.
Policy and Data	Improving global data access and standardizing definitions for EO products.	Addressing "policy latency" (the speed at which science is adopted) and aligning products with specific legal/regulatory needs.

Table 2: Stakeholders/benefits of the roadmap

Stakeholders	Variable of Interest	Product Needed	Users	Specifications
UNFCCC	Blue Carbon mitigation targets in Nationally Determined Contributions (NDCs) ***reporting blue carbon in national GHG inventory is a prerequisite to include BC under NDC mitigation commitments. Not needed for adaptation. Baseline areas of seagrasses and tidal marshes in 1990 (minimum 30m spatial resolution)	Baseline areas of seagrasses and tidal marshes in 1990 (minimum 30m spatial resolution). Multitemporal area changes for seagrasses and tidal marshes (ideally annually starting 1990 and until now)	Blue carbon countries (support to reporting Blue Carbon in Nat GHG Inventories and mitigation targets)	Minimum 30-meter maps usable at local (municipal) level. Enough detail for jurisdictional nesting if different satellites/sensors are used, data harmonization is needed to make data consistent along time.
Global Carbon Budget	Global estimates of the main stocks and fluxes of aquatic carbon from space, for use to help quantify global carbon emissions and sinks.	Global products of key pools (particulate and dissolved, organic and inorganic), and fluxes (primary production, export production, air-sea flux, land-sea flux, calcification) of aquatic carbon, that can be derived from space measurements, over as long a duration as feasible.	Primary use to track emissions, evaluate models, and inform climate action. Key users include: scientists, policymakers, climate assessment bodies, NGOs, and private sector.	Carbon budget models are typically coarse (0.5–2°, monthly), motivating global satellite products of aquatic carbon stocks and fluxes at comparable resolution, at as long as duration as feasible (through use of climate data records). Openly available data products.
mCDR	Direct satellite observations of mCDR efficacy and impacts, including medium and high resolution hyperspectral biogeochemical and physical data, and data-driven, operational models of the ocean state to build twin models for assessing mCDR.	More accurate measurements of DOC (CDOM) and DIC from remote sensing. Canopy extent for seaweed and seagrass, blue carbon health and extent for above-water species.	Scientists, policymakers, climate assessment bodies, NGOs, and private sector.	Minimum 30-meter spatial resolution for blue carbon ecosystems; global hyperspectral capabilities for OAE, OIF experiments. Global SST, SSS, SSH data

Table 2: Stakeholders/benefits of the roadmap (Cont.)

Stakeholders	Variable of Interest	Product Needed	Users	Specifications
Ocean Carbon Models	Data to improve understanding and parametrization of air-sea gas exchange, biological pump efficiency, deep ocean carbon transport, ocean acidification feedbacks. Data need to be collected and analyzed using standardized methodologies. and representative of diverse locations.	Carbon export and variables involved in the carbon cycle at various depth, diverse location, carbon exchange with atmosphere and land to ocean transfer.	Primary use to track emissions, evaluate models, and inform climate action. Key users include: scientists, policymakers, climate assessment bodies, NGOs, and private sector.	Ocean and land carbon models carry uncertainty ranges of 10-20% or more. Complex climate-carbon feedbacks (e.g., how warming affects ecosystem carbon uptake) are not fully understood.
GHG inventories/ Inventory Agencies	Extent, conversion, degradation and restoration of blue-carbon ecosystems; associated CO_2, CH_4, and N_2O emissions and removals; carbon stocks and stock changes; activity data and emission/removal factors required for national GHG inventories and NDC mitigation accounting.	Inventory-ready blue-carbon activity data and emission/removal-factor support products, including historical and current ecosystem extent, land-cover change, degradation and restoration, carbon-stock information and, where possible, spatially explicit estimates of emissions and removals.	National GHG inventory agencies and technical teams; environment and climate ministries; forestry and coastal agencies; national carbon-accounting institutions; subnational/jurisdictional authorities; technical experts supporting NDC and IPCC reporting.	Minimum 30 m spatial resolution, suitable for local/municipal applications and jurisdictional nesting. Consistent ecosystem classification and change detection across sensors and over time. Products should be compatible with IPCC inventory methodologies, include documented accuracy and uncertainty, provide clear metadata/provenance, and be readily aggregable to national inventory units.

2.0 Introduction

2.1 The role of aquatic systems in carbon uptake and cycling

Authors: Kelsey Bisson, Gemma Kulk

Water is the foundation of life as we know it. We live on a blue marble - over 70% of Earth's surface is covered by the ocean, providing over 99% of the habitable volume for life on Earth. Life is sustained and proliferated in aquatic ecosystems which maintain extraordinary biodiversity and contribute to one of the largest active reservoirs of carbon on the planet. Aquatic ecosystems also provide foundational services to humanity; the ocean absorbs over a quarter of all anthropogenic CO_2 emissions (Gruber et al., 2023), helping to slow the pace of climate change. This uptake has been estimated at ~3.4 ± 0.4 Gt C y^{-1}, with land biosphere at 1.9 ± 1.1 Gt C y^{-1} (Friedlingstein et al., 2025). Indeed, the ocean has consistently acted as a carbon sink in the past two centuries (Sabine et al., 2004) and is expected to continue to do so in the future (Orr et al., 2001; Zickfield et al., 2013), albeit the rate of atmospheric carbon uptake is likely to change. It has been estimated that the ocean's biosphere alone offsets the atmospheric CO_2 equivalent to several billion US dollars annually, even when a modest value is assigned to carbon (Boyd et al., 2019). All in all, the monetary worth of carbon removal by the ocean could run into several trillion US dollars globally (Costanza et al., 2014; Barange et al., 2017).

Sinking aquatic carbon in the ocean not only removes carbon from the atmosphere but also fuels the metabolism of deep-sea organisms, including commercially important species like squid and tuna. Numerous processes act together today to shuttle carbon around different ecosystems, with societal benefits ranging from coastline protection against storms to food and national security, to job and recreation opportunities. Clearly, functioning aquatic ecosystems are key to the human economy, health, and prosperity.

2.2 Elevating the awareness of the complete aquatic carbon cycle

Authors: Authors: Kelsey Bisson, Joaquim Goes, Gemma Kulk, Antonio Manino, Oscar Serrano

The aquatic carbon cycle comprises freshwater, brackish, and oceanic systems. While the ocean is often considered a single reservoir of carbon in global budget assessments (e.g. Friedlingstein et al., 2025), it is comprised of different carbon pools – dissolved and particulate, organic and inorganic, above ground and submerged - that are connected by processes and fluxes between them. Together, these interactions determine how carbon is stored, transformed and ultimately sequestered for long time scales in the deep ocean or sediments.

The largest carbon pool in the ocean is inorganic; the Dissolved Inorganic Carbon (DIC) pool comprises ~38,000 Gt C (Hedges, 1992). The DIC pool consists of dissolved CO_2, carbonic acid, bicarbonate and carbonate, and the balance (i.e., equilibrium) between these components is referred to as alkalinity (Shutler et al., 2024). Temperature is an important regulator of CO_2 solubility in aquatic environments which means that as the ocean and inland waters warm, their ability to uptake CO_2 will decrease (Wanninkhof et al., 2013; Woolf et al., 2016). The DIC pool, specifically the balance between carbonic acid and carbonate, plays an important role in regulating the pH of seawater (Feely et al., 2009). DIC is replenished by air-sea CO_2 gas exchange, the process through which carbon from the atmosphere moves into the ocean. The Particulate Inorganic Carbon (PIC) pool, the smallest pool of carbon in the ocean, stores ~0.03 Gt C (Hopkins et al., 2019). PIC is created when organisms, such as calcifying phytoplankton and foraminifera, convert bicarbonate into calcium carbonate in particulate form (i.e., calcification; Schiebel, 2002; Feely et al., 2004). While calcification locks carbon into solid particles, it also releases CO_2 and changes the alkalinity and pH of seawater.

The Dissolved Organic Carbon (DOC) pool, at ~662 Gt C (Hansell and Carlson, 2013), is chemically diverse, consisting of refractory, semi-labile and labile components, each having different turnover times (Hansell and Carlson, 2013; Hansell, 2013). The differences in reactivity of these components make the roles of DOC in the ocean carbon cycle varied. For example, the labile component of DOC is rapidly respired back into DIC by microbial communities (i.e., turnover of days), while the refractory components of DOC, considered a major sink of carbon in the ocean, can persist over decadal/centennial time scales (Sexton et al., 2011; Hansell and Carlson, 2013). The Particulate Organic Carbon (POC) pool is estimated to be between 1.1-3.9 Gt C (Stramska and Cieszunska, 2015; Kong et al. 2024). The POC pool consists of non-living components, such as detritus, and living components including phytoplankton, zooplankton and bacteria. Phytoplankton carbon is of specific interest because it is formed via photosynthetic fixation of atmospheric CO_2, i.e., marine primary production, which is the largest flux of carbon in the ocean at 50 Gt C y^{-1} (Kulk et al., 2021). The organic carbon produced by phytoplankton can move through the food web, through grazing by microzooplankton, larger zooplankton and fish. The ratio between primary (phytoplankton) and secondary production (zooplankton, fish, etc.) can be used to quantify the efficiency of the marine food web (Sigman and Hain, 2012). The downward flux of POC is termed export production and is estimated to be between 5-20 Gt C y^{-1} (Jönsson et al., 2023). This downward transfer to depth represents a key pathway for long-term carbon sequestration, as carbon that reaches the deep ocean (~>500m) can be stored away from the atmosphere for centuries or longer.

The transfer of carbon between pools, and especially from the surface to the deep, is driven by a set of processes known as carbon pumps. These pumps modulate how much carbon remains in circulation at the surface and how much is sequestered at depth where, in the case of the ocean, it is locked away over decadal and centennial scales. The solubility pump (or physical pump) transports DIC from the surface to the interior (Raven and Falkowski, 1999). It is based on the ability of colder water to absorb more CO_2 (Woolf et al., 2016). In the ocean, this happens at high latitudes; these cold, dense waters sink through thermohaline circulation and carry carbon into the deep ocean where it can remain isolated for centuries (Raven and Falkowski, 1999).

The biological carbon pump is the dominant mechanism for transporting particulate carbon from the surface to depth. Photosynthesis by phytoplankton removes CO_2 from the surface, converting it into organic matter. When this material sinks or is transported downward, it leads to a vertical gradient of inorganic carbon that enhances the capacity of aquatic systems to absorb atmospheric CO_2 (Raven and Falkowski, 1999). Without the ocean's biological carbon pump, for example, it is estimated that present-day CO_2 concentrations would be two-fold higher (Heinze et al., 2015). Various physical and biogeochemical processes make up the biological carbon pump, including the physical, gravitational and migrant pumps, which act at different spatial and temporal scales (Boyd et al., 2019). While some components of the biological carbon pump have been extensively studied, new research continues to reveal many overlooked contributions of different organisms and mechanisms, such as the mixing and eddy-subduction pumps, that carry particles like fecal pellets and aggregates downward, and the migrant pump by which carbon is transported by upward and downward migrating fish and zooplankton, that transport carbon from the surface to depths (Boyd et al., 2019; Siegel et al., 2023).

In addition to pelagic processes, coastal vegetated ecosystems—commonly referred to as Blue Carbon systems (e.g., mangroves, salt marshes, and seagrasses)—represent an important and distinct pathway within the aquatic carbon cycle. These systems are characterized by high rates of primary production and efficient trapping and burial of organic carbon in waterlogged, anoxic sediments. Unlike the open ocean, where long-term sequestration is largely driven by vertical export to the deep ocean, Blue Carbon ecosystems store carbon locally in sediments that can persist over centennial to millennial timescales. Importantly, these coastal systems are not isolated from pelagic processes: they exchange carbon with adjacent shelf and open-ocean waters through lateral transport of dissolved and particulate organic carbon, thereby contributing to offshore carbon cycling and, in some cases, fueling components of the biological carbon pump. They also play a key role in mediating carbon exchange between land, ocean, and atmosphere through tidal processes. While organic and inorganic carbon pools in freshwater and brackish systems are much smaller than in the ocean, the partitioning, transformation, processes, and fluxes function in a similar way as in the open ocean. It is important to recognize, however, that the ultimate sink of carbon in freshwater and brackish ecosystems is in the sediments, which, being at a much shallower depth, can be mobilized and remineralized more easily than in the deep.

2.3 Conceptual coverage of this roadmap and definitions

This roadmap outlines the critical role of Earth Observations (EO) in quantifying and monitoring carbon stocks and fluxes across various aquatic systems. It serves as a framework for advancing carbon accounting and provides guidance on integrating the ocean into climate strategies and ocean-based climate measures, in particular the global carbon stocktake. It is not an all-encompassing document that covers the science of aquatic carbon but provides the scientific foundation for the execution of priorities that will advance carbon quantification across aquatic environments.

The scope of the document includes the following key areas:

a. **Aquatic Systems:** The roadmap addresses carbon dynamics across a "land-to-ocean-aquatic-continuum" (LOAC), specifically focusing on:
    - Open Oceans and Shelf Seas: The largest aquatic environments, covering roughly 94% of the global aquatic area.
    - Coastal and Blue Carbon Ecosystems: Specifically coastal areas and ecosystems such as seagrasses, tidal marshes, and mangroves.
    - Inland Waters: Including lakes, reservoirs, and rivers.
b. **Technical and Scientific Focus:** The roadmap takes into consideration the following:
    - Earth Observation (EO) Capabilities: It examines the current state of remote sensing to monitor different, relevant carbon pools (dissolved, particulate, organic, and inorganic) and fluxes (such as air-sea gas exchange and exchange across systems).
    - Prioritization of Needs: The roadmap identifies specific priority areas and opportunities that could be executed across different timelines, leveraging existing and planned activities by the EO community.
    - Foundational Science: While the roadmap focuses on carbon accounting, it notes that this hinges on foundational science to advance critical applications, though it does not go into exhaustive scientific depth itself.
    - Global Assessments: The document aims to support the Global Stocktake, National Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Inventories, and IPCC assessments, amongst other activities.
    - Dissemination and Capacity Building: The roadmap includes considerations to increasing global capacity for EO data use and improving communication across communities.

Core Definitions used throughout the document

- **Aquatic Ecosystems**: An aquatic ecosystem is a specific type of ecosystem found within bodies of water, encompassing both the plants and animals that inhabit these areas. Covering approximately 70 percent of Earth's surface, aquatic ecosystems are classified into two main categories: saltwater and freshwater.
- **Aquatic Systems**: A broader term that can include the physical, chemical, and hydrological processes of the water body,
- **Blue Carbon Ecosystems:** Blue carbon ecosystems are coastal and marine habitats that sequester and store vast amounts of carbon in their soils and plants. Blue carbon ecosystems classically include mangroves, tidal marshes and seagrasses, but increasingly expand to include ecosystems such as tidal flats, macroalgal forests and shelf sediments.
- **Calibration and Validation**: calibration is the process of testing or checking an instrument against a known standard. Validation is the process of assessing by independent means the quality of the data products derived from the system outputs.
- **Carbon Pools and Pathways**: A carbon pool is a reservoir or system that has the capacity to store, accumulate, or release carbon. Pathways are the processes, often referred to as fluxes, that move carbon from one pool to another.
- **Carbon Pumps**: The set of processes that transfer carbon between pools, particularly from the surface to the deep ocean. This includes the Solubility Pump (the physical transport of DIC from the surface to the interior based on CO2 solubility), and the Biological Carbon Pump (mechanism for transporting particulate carbon to depth via photosynthesis and sinking of organic matter). Consideration is also given to the Physical Injection Pump (mechanism driven by currents, winds, and eddies that mixes and transports surface waters downward, and with them carbon past the upper mixed layer and deep into the ocean's interior).
- **DIC (Dissolved Inorganic Carbon)**: The largest carbon pool, consisting of dissolved CO_2, carbonic acid, bicarbonate, and carbonate.
- **DOC (Dissolved Organic Carbon)**: Fraction of organic matter in water that passes through a filter. It is categorized by its bioavailability: labile, semi-labile, and refractory.
- **Export Production**: The specific downward flux of POC from the surface to the deep ocean.
- **fCO_2**: The fugacity of carbon dioxide in seawater, a thermodynamically corrected form of CO_2 pressure that accounts for non-ideal gas behaviour.
- **Global Stocktake (GST)**: The process under the Paris Agreement for assessing collective progress toward climate goals.
- **Land-to-Ocean-Aquatic-Continuum (LOAC)**: The flow of carbon from land to ocean across various aquatic ecosystems, including coastal vegetation.
- **Marine Carbon Dioxide Removal (mCDR)**: refers to intentional, human-driven activities and technologies designed to remove from the atmosphere and store it durably within the ocean or marine sediments.
- **MRV (Monitoring, Reporting, and Verification)**: Essential systems for carbon markets that ensure the consistency, transparency, and reliability of carbon claims.

Core Definitions used throughout the document (Cont.)

- **NbS**: Nature-based Solutions are science-based interventions that use natural ecosystems as part of the solution to climate change and other societal challenges, delivering multiple environmental and social benefits.
- **pCO_2:** The partial pressure of carbon dioxide in seawater, representing the amount of carbon dioxide gas dissolved in seawater. This quantity is nearly identical to fCO_2 at ocean temperatures.
- **PIC (Particulate Inorganic Carbon)**: One of the major reservoirs of carbon in the ocean, it is inorganic carbon in particulate form that is too large to pass through the filter used to separate dissolved inorganic carbon. Most PIC is $CaCO_3$.
- **POC (Particulate Organic Carbon)**: Fraction of organic carbon that includes living components like phytoplankton and non-living detritus.
- **Tier 1, 2, 3**: In greenhouse gas (GHG) accounting, Tiers 1, 2, and 3 represent the three levels of methodological accuracy used to calculate emissions. Tier 1 (Basic) uses simple activity data multiplied by global default emission factors; Tier 2 (Intermediate) uses the same activity data but applies country-specific or regional emission factors; Tier 3 (Advanced) relies on direct measurements on-site or complex mathematical models to track actual emissions in real time.
- **XCO_2**: Column-averaged dry air mole fraction of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere. Represents the average dry air fraction of CO_2 from the top of the atmosphere to the Earth's surface.

3.0 Current satellite Earth observation capabilities for aquatic carbon

3.1 Why Earth observations matter for carbon assessments

Author: Cecile S. Rousseaux

Satellite Earth observations (EO) have become an indispensable component of global carbon cycle science, providing sustained, spatially extensive, and repeatable measurements that cannot be achieved through in situ observations alone (IPCC, 2021; GCOS, 2022). For aquatic systems in particular—where logistical constraints, jurisdictional complexity, and strong spatial heterogeneity limit traditional sampling—EO provides the most plausible means to achieve sustained global coverage (IOCCG, 2014; Groom et al., 2019). EO already plays a recognized role in IPCC assessments, the Global Carbon Budget (GCB), and related international syntheses through providing critical data necessary for assessing the global air–sea CO_2 fluxes and the resulting ocean sink, and for understanding ecosystem productivity (IPCC, 2019; Friedlingstein et al., 2023, see section 5). Satellite-derived estimates of ocean colour, sea surface temperature, sea level, salinity, and ice cover underpin the observational products and model–data syntheses that inform assessments of the global carbon cycle (Landschützer et al., 2016; Fay et al., 2021). In addition, EO support global-scale understanding and it is increasingly capable of informing policy-relevant monitoring, particularly for aquatic and coastal carbon processes and activities (Oreskes et al., 2023). These contributions have improved confidence in the magnitude, variability, and long-term trends of the open-ocean carbon sink and have increasingly informed attribution of interannual to decadal variability driven by climate modes such as ENSO and the Southern Annular Mode (McKinley et al., 2020; IPCC, 2021).

3.2 EO for aquatic carbon in open-ocean and shelf-seas, including air-sea fluxes

Authors: Lionel Arteaga, Kelsey Bisson, Bob Brewin, Joaquim Goes, Cecile Rousseaux

The open ocean and shelf seas are the largest aquatic environments on Earth, covering roughly 85% and 9% of the global aquatic area, respectively (Laruelle et al., 2018). Together, these environments play a central role in the global cycling of carbon. They also encompass some of the most remote and inaccessible regions on the planet, areas that, in some cases, have only ever been monitored from space. Space-based ocean observations rely on electromagnetic radiation in the visible, thermal, microwave and radar wavelength ranges (Robinson, 2004). This information can be retrieved passively, by detecting energy from the sun reflected or emitted by the ocean surface, or actively, when the satellite sends out its own energy signal and measures the ocean's response. Different regions of the electromagnetic spectrum reveal distinct ocean properties (Figure 2): visible wavelengths provide information on ocean colour, thermal wavelengths on sea surface temperature, microwave wavelengths on surface temperature, salinity, topography and roughness. These primary observables, in turn, can yield insights into the major dissolved and particulate carbon pools in the ocean (DIC, DOC, POC, PIC; Figure 2) and their environmental context.

Satellite-derived primary observables also provide essential information for quantifying some of the fluxes of carbon between the different pools (Figure 2). For example, carbon dioxide dissolves in seawater, and the air-sea concentration gradient of the gas, along the water temperature and any turbulent mixing, determines how much, and how quickly, the gas exchanges between the air and sea. Estimating the exchange of the CO_2 between the ocean and atmosphere requires knowledge of the physical conditions at the surface of the ocean including the temperature, sea state and wind speed. Satellite thermal, microwave, and radar measurements contribute to these estimates (Shutler et al., 2024). Similarly, primary production by phytoplankton—the conversion of DIC into POC—depends on phytoplankton biomass, photosynthetic quantum efficiencies of the native phytoplankton populations, light availability, and light penetration through the water column. Satellite observations of ocean colour provide key variables such as chlorophyll-a concentration, photosynthetically available radiation, and the diffuse attenuation coefficient, all of which are needed to quantify primary production rates in the ocean (Skákala et al., 2026; Westberry et al., 2023). The conversion between DIC and PIC, through processes of calcification and decalcification, is influenced by ocean acidity, which in turn depends on the carbonate system. This system can now be observed from space (e.g., Land et al., 2015), and there is an increasing use of EO to study inorganic and organic carbon distribution and dynamics (Brewin et al., 2023).

Satellite platforms and sensors have advanced significantly in recent years, opening exciting new avenues to measure aquatic carbon from space (see Table 2 of Brewin et al., 2023). Improvements in spectral resolution, exemplified by NASA's PACE mission, are enabling more detailed quantification of carbon components (Cetinić et al., 2024). In addition, the development of micro- and nanosatellites offers the potential for cost-effective deployment in large swarms, greatly increasing both spatial and temporal resolution (Schueler and Holmes, 2016). The increasing number of commercial satellites being launched has potential to offer useful data for studying ocean carbon dynamics




Figure 2 Capabilities of satellite Earth Observation for monitoring ocean and shelf carbon pools and fluxes. The top part of the figure illustrates the main regions of the electromagnetic spectrum used to observe the oceans from space, the primary observables that can be derived from these regions (note, with the exception of surface roughness, these are considered Essential Ocean Variables (EOVs) by the Global Ocean Observing System (GOOS)), and the key carbon pools and fluxes targeted by these measurements. This portion of the figure was inspired by and adapted from an earlier figure in Robinson (2004). DIC = dissolved inorganic carbon, DOC = dissolved organic carbon, PIC = particulate inorganic carbon, and POC = particulate organic carbon. The bottom part of the figure shows various satellite and in-situ technologies used to monitor ocean carbon, highlighting the key carbon pools and some of the important processes that control carbon fluxes between these pools, as well as exchanges with the deep ocean. Note the components of the pools shown in green reflect those that can be directly observed from space. This portion of the figure was inspired by and adapted from Brewin et al. (2021) and a figure in (CEOS, 2014).

especially in areas which require higher spatial resolution. There is now continuous satellite data for many of the primary observables that exceeds 25 years, approaching the length needed to quantity longer-term climate trends (Cael et al., 2023a; Hollmann et al., 2013; Sathyendranath et al., 2019; Henson et al., 2017). Space-based observations of the column-integrated CO_2 mole fraction, XCO_2, from missions such as the Orbiting Carbon Observatory 2 (OCO-2) are providing advances in observations of interannual variability in atmospheric CO_2 over the global ocean, improving the spatiotemporal information of ocean fluxes (Guan et al., 2024; Chatterjee et al., 2017; Shutler et al., 2020). Collectively, these advances suggest that EO will play an increasingly important role in quantifying and monitoring ocean and shelf sea carbon and will be able to support emerging initiatives looking to evaluate the potential of aquatic systems for carbon sequestration, such as marine Carbon Dioxide Removal (mCDR) strategies, and supporting carbon accounting (e.g. Brewin et al., 2023). For a comprehensive review of the capabilities of satellites for monitoring carbon in the open ocean and shelf seas, readers are referred to Shutler et al. (2024) and Brewin et al. (2023).

3.3 EO for aquatic carbon in Coastal zones, including blue carbon ecosystems

Authors: Aurelien Carbonniere, Christine Dupuy, Tiit Kutser, Antonio Mannino, Dimitris Poursanidis, Kesav Unnithan, Vincent Vantrepotte

The coastal zone hosts diverse habitats, including transitions from freshwater and brackish waters to seawater, mudflats, and rocky environments, most of which are influenced by tides. Transitional waters systems, including lagoons, bays, and estuaries, are biodiversity hotspots and major carbon-cycling areas linking land, wetlands, and coastal seas. Blue carbon ecosystems capture and store vast amounts of carbon in both biomass and sediments, while providing co-benefits such as coastal protection, fisheries support, and biodiversity enhancement. Assessing these ecosystems requires accounting for tidal dynamics, vegetation, hydrology, sediments, and carbon-cycle functioning across this gradient.

Carbon stocks in the coastal zone are distributed across three main pools, each of which offers specific measurement challenges from EO:

- Above-bottom living sessile biomass (herbaceous plants, seagrass, macroalgae, phytoplankton, microphytobenthos, oyster/worm/coral reefs);
- Below ground living sessile biomass (roots and rhizomes, infauna);
- Benthic sediment, which stores the largest and most stable carbon fraction through long-term sediment accumulation.

Nearshore waters exhibit high physical, biological, and biogeochemical variability over very small spatial scales (1–100 m) and short temporal scales (hours). In addition, coastal regions are interfaces where carbon from land exchanges with carbon from the ocean; these interchanges have impacts on coastal ecosystems and carbon cycling. Coral reefs, oyster beds, and calcareous algae play major roles in the coastal carbon cycle (calcification releases CO_2; Van Dam et al. 2021). Land-derived DOC exported from catchments to coastal waters plays a major role in aquatic carbon cycling, light regulation, and nearshore ecosystem function. For example, peat-draining rivers deliver extremely high concentrations of DOC and strongly absorbing chromophoric dissolved

organic matter (CDOM), shaping the optical character and biogeochemical reactivity of receiving waters. For instance, DOC fluxes from Southeast Asian peatlands can exceed 50 mg L^{-1}, with clear land–sea gradients that reflect both hydrological connectivity and peatland disturbance intensity (ChunHock et al, 2020). Once delivered to the coast, CDOM dominates light attenuation, reducing underwater irradiance and constraining benthic primary production. This “coastal darkening” effect can have impacts on coastal primary production and carbon sequestration/export.

There is an intrinsic connection between coastal DOC–CDOM dynamics and upstream catchment condition, monsoon hydrology, and land-use trajectories. Studies of freshwater systems demonstrate that terrestrially derived DOM is often photoreactive and can transition from refractory to more labile pools under sunlight exposure, influencing carbon turnover and nearshore CO_2 outgassing (Kaushal et al, 2021; Reiman et al, 2019). Land-use change accelerates these dynamics by mobilising older peat carbon, increasing DOC loads, and altering the optical signature and degradability of exported DOM. For example, long-term satellite records indicate that DOC and CDOM in northwestern Borneo have risen steadily since 2002, driven by extensive peatland conversion (Sanwlani et al, 2022). Similar patterns have been documented in temperate systems, where increased terrestrial DOC has contributed to long-term browning of estuarine and coastal waters (Cherukuru et al, 2016; Urtizberea et al, 2013; Schroeder et al, 2012). Understanding and monitoring DOC and CDOM from catchments to coasts is therefore critical for regional carbon accounting, coastal ecosystem management, and anticipating climate-driven changes in aquatic light regimes and carbon fluxes.

Earth observations over the last decades have significantly advanced the quantification of carbon in coastal ecosystems (e.g. Campbell et al. 2022; Macreadie et al. 2019; 2022; Mannino et al. 2016; Kutser et al. 2020; Signorini et al. 2019; Toming et al. 2026), including the characterization of carbon-relevant benthic and coastal communities (mangrove, marsh, seagrass and benthic microalgae, kelp and attached calcareous algae, nearshore hardbottom, oyster/mussel beds, worm- and coral-reefs). However, because of the biological and chemical complexity and scale of carbon processes in the coastal zone, field measurements remain essential for reliable carbon estimates and for validating fluxes and habitat maps derived from EO, along with carbon-distribution models (Poursanidis et al., 2025). Numerous methodological developments for deriving POC (Le et al., 2017; Tran et al., 2019; Loisel et al., 2023; Xue et al., 2025) and DOC (review by Fichot et al., 2023) from remote sensing measurements have been increasingly applied for coastal waters. Although moderate-resolution ocean colour missions with quasi-daily observations at 300m -1 km resolution can capture part of the variability observed in nearshore environments (Cao et al., 2018), their application becomes limited in highly heterogeneous and rapidly changing coastal zones. Satellite measurements capable of resolving small-scale variability (e.g. Cao and Tzortziou, 2021; Unnithan et al, 2025; Cherukuru et al, 2016) are therefore particularly useful, including the constellation of Landsat-8/9 OLI and Sentinel-2A/B MSI sensors which provide observations at spatial resolutions of 10–30 m, and commercial satellite imagery (<10 m spatial resolution); however, the latter often lack the rigorous quality and cross-calibration assessments robust carbon measurements require.

EO provides a cost-effective, scalable, and repeatable means of monitoring blue carbon ecosystems and their carbon dynamics. Mapping the areal extent of blue carbon ecosystems is fundamental for understanding their role in the global carbon cycle. Satellite observations have enabled the reconstruction of historical and current ecosystem extent (Sunkur et al., 2024; Floyd et al., 2024). In particular, Landsat time series have tracked changes in mangroves and tidal marshes over the past five decades (Murray et al., 2022; Worthington et al., 2024); similar methods are increasingly applied to seagrasses and macroalgae despite challenges in submerged environments such as water column effects and spectral similarity with other submerged habitats. EO time series can also detect regrowth and restoration success, offering accountability for conservation interventions (Bunting et al., 2022). Today, high-resolution sensors such as Sentinel-2, PlanetScope, and WorldView, combined with machine learning, enable increasingly accurate and automated mapping of ecosystem extent (Kutser et al., 2020).

Estimating carbon stocks requires integrating EO data with field measurements. Passive optical data provides proxies for above ground biomass, while active sensors such as LiDAR and radar capture canopy height and structural complexity (Pham et al., 2020). Sub-bottom profilers and seismic-acoustic sensors can also estimate the several-meter-thick soil carbon stores beneath blue carbon ecosystems (Lo Iacono et al., 2008). These data support machine learning and process-based models that upscale site-level observations to regional and global estimates. Ongoing efforts aim to harmonize methods and reduce uncertainties, particularly for below-ground carbon pools where EO applications remain emerging. While flux towers remain essential for measuring carbon exchange, satellite data can extend these observations by integrating productivity and biomass trends into models of carbon sequestration and degradation emissions (Murdiyarso et al., 2023). EO also enables quantification of carbon fluxes linked to ecosystem loss, conservation, restoration, and lateral transfers to coastal and deep-sea environments (Liu et al., 2024).

Understanding the ecological status and composition of blue carbon ecosystems is essential because it influences their carbon sequestration capacity. Advances in multispectral and hyperspectral time-series analyses are increasingly enabling discrimination of vegetation communities and dominant species. Current and upcoming hyperspectral missions (e.g., ASI PRISMA, DLR EnMAP, Planet Tanager, PACE, NASA's EAGLE-VSWIR Copernicus S-2 Next Generation or Copernicus Expansion Mission CHIME) offer improved detection of subtle spectral differences among vegetation types (Clarke et al., 2021). EO-derived indicators, including vegetation indices (NDVI, EVI, or water-adjusted indices), LiDAR-based canopy height, and benthic cover from spectral analysis provide proxies for biomass, density, and ecosystem condition (Chandra et al., 2010). Continuous EO monitoring also captures seasonal and interannual changes in productivity, revealing patterns in marshes, macroalgae, and seagrass meadows that are critical for assessing resilience and long-term carbon sequestration (Chen et al., 2024). Together, these metrics support large-scale assessments of ecosystem health and biodiversity, complementing field surveys.

3.4 EO for inland aquatic carbon, including land to ocean fluxes

Authors: Dustin Carroll, Meghan Halabisky, Tiit Kutser, Antonio Mannino, Stefan Simis

Inland water bodies, including reservoirs, lakes, and rivers, amount to only ~4% of the Earth surface but play a prominent role in the regulation of terrestrial carbon; depending on their state, health or exposure, they can act as both net carbon sources or sinks. Inland waters are known to function as active pipes and reactors, transferring and transforming carbon and nutrients and account for significant sources and sinks of carbon at global scales (Cole et al. 2007; Tranvik et al. 2018). Specifically, inland waters receive 2.95 Pg C yr^{-1} from terrestrial ecosystems, outgas 1.85 Pg C yr^{-1} to the atmosphere, bury 0.15 Pg C yr^{-1}, and export 0.95 Pg C yr^{-1} to estuaries and tidal wetlands and ultimately to the coastal ocean (Regnier et al. 2022). Primary production takes place ubiquitously in inland water bodies, and macroalgae are also often found proliferating in locations that have been eutrophicated. Inland water bodies are also a critical connector to humans, often providing foundational ecosystem services that depend on their ability to cycle carbon.

Among the major pathways of carbon transport in the Earth system, the flow of carbon from land to ocean across aquatic ecosystems, including coastal vegetation, known as the Land-to-Ocean-Aquatic-Continuum (LOAC), has increased to 0.6 ± 0.4 Pg C yr^{-1} due to anthropogenic perturbations (Regnier et al., 2022). Sinks, sources, and transformation of carbon across the LOAC are dynamic. These fine-scale processes, cover and connect different ecosystems from inland waters to estuaries and wetlands, to coastal ecosystems (tidal flats, mangroves, seagrass) and finally into the open ocean. And all of these ecosystems are shaped and modified by land disturbances.

Despite limitations in what biogeochemical information may be gleaned using remote sensing over lakes, reservoirs, and rivers, remote observations remain key to quantify aquatic carbon inland. Advances in satellite observations, such as SWOT, are also opening new avenues to quantify fluxes of carbon from land to ocean. Indeed, remote sensing observations of inland waters for water quality and carbon cycling studies have significantly expanded over the past three decades and pushed beyond initial efforts focused on remote sensing retrievals of chlorophyll-a, light attenuation, and suspended matter. With the availability of visible-NIR radiometric satellite instruments with additional spectral bands and sufficient sensitivity for ocean colour retrievals along with advances such as in atmospheric correction (Mishra et al. 2017; Palmer et al. 2015), remotely sensed parameters have expanded to inherent optical properties, in particular CDOM absorption (e.g., Fichot et al. 2023; Kutser et al. 2015; Lin et al. 2018), dissolved organic carbon (e.g., Fichot et al. 2023; Harkort & Duan 2023; Xu et al. 2025), particulate organic carbon (e.g., Duan et al. 2014; Jiang et al. 2019), partial pressure of carbon dioxide (e.g., Kutser et al. 2005a,b; Wen et al. 2021), primary productivity (e.g., Sayers et al. 2021), and phytoplankton community composition including harmful algal blooms (HABs) (e.g., Clark et al. 2017; Kutser 2009). Higher spatial resolution measurements from satellites are also opening the doors to monitoring the smaller, yet numerically dominant group of lakes and reservoirs; currently on the order of 3000 lakes globally are monitored for Lakes essential climate variable (ECV) products (Carrea et al. 2024).

4.0 Critical challenges, gaps and opportunities

Authors: Lionel Arteaga, Kelsey Bisson, Bob Brewin, Joaquim Goes, Gemma Kulk, Cecile Rousseaux, Jamie Shutler

Quantifying aquatic carbon processes, including fluxes and storage, is complicated by the time scales in which they happen, and where they happen. Aquatic systems are constantly in motion, forcing carbon to be shuttled both vertically and laterally. For example, a carbon carrying particle in the ocean may sink 1 meter per day whereas it can be transferred laterally many 10s of kilometres during the same time. For this reason, quantifying the fate of aquatic carbon requires not only on measuring its magnitude but also understanding how it flows through the ocean, accounting for important pathways of transport (such as gravitational sinking, physical transport and vertical migration, see Boyd et al. 2019 and Bellacicco et al. 2025), and on processes related to flows of carbon through microbial ecosystems and higher trophic levels (e.g. Iversen, 2023). The speed at which changes in aquatic carbon processes are happening adds further complexity; sea ice melting in the Arctic is proceeding at rates four times as fast as other areas globally, which impacts physical circulation (that requires density gradients to propel water) and ecosystem stability. Acidification due to absorbed CO_2 influences the health of organisms requiring stable pH ranges for growing or maintaining carbonate bodies. Large uncertainties remain in permafrost thawing and release of dissolved organic carbon into the ocean, as well as the interplay between terrestrial plant biogeography and aquatic systems.

Current in situ observational systems are not deployed broadly enough, nor rapidly enough, to capture the ongoing changes nor the scales of the fluxes at a global level. While remote sensing is advancing the required global observations, the spatial scales at which carbon fluxes often operate are not efficiently captured by current observational assets, though advances such as the PACE mission and the planned EAGLE-VSWIR, CHIME and Sentinel Next Generation (see Section 3.2), are filling some of those gaps. Satellite observations are also constrained by the types of information that electromagnetic radiation can carry to the top of Earth's atmosphere - only certain signatures of carbon pools are currently observable from space (Figure 2). For instance, direct observation of DIC is not currently possible, and much of the DOC does not have an optical signature that can be seen from space. Parts of the particulate carbon pool also remain beyond direct detection (Brewin et al., 2021; Cael et al., 2023b). Many key carbon fluxes –such as respiration, excretion, bacterial production, and carbon export– cannot be directly measured from space. Derived carbon-based products are obtained through empirical or analytical algorithms linking remote sensing properties with biogeochemical observations, and error propagation suggests uncertainties in these products can be large.

Many aquatic carbon processes also take place in the interior of water bodies (e.g. lakes, rivers, estuaries, ocean), impervious to current passive satellite observations; passive ocean colour satellites detect signals representative of just the first optical depth (~10–30 m in most of the ocean). Passive thermal and microwave radiometry observe only the ocean's surface (on the order of a few millimetres), and active radar is limited to mapping surface topography and roughness. As a result, much of the processes occurring below the surface remain invisible from space, and measuring these processes poses a significant challenge which is partly being overcome by process studies (ship-based), and autonomous platforms (e.g. floats), and through combining surface satellite observations with understanding of fluid dynamics (Shutler et al., 2020), but are generally still ill characterized. Future active satellite missions, such as aquatic-optimized lidars which can penetrate deeper, up to potentially four optical depths (Behrenfeld et al., 2023), are expected to help address some of the existing shortcomings (see Section 4.1). There are important physical and biological processes that modulate the aquatic carbon cycle and that ultimately determine the strength of the carbon sink (e.g. for a review see Brewin et al., 2021 and Shutler et al., 2024) and overlooking these processes risks underestimating or mischaracterising the global carbon budget.

Lastly, the high degree of connectivity across aquatic systems (rivers into estuaries and ocean) makes accounting for the lateral transport of carbon a must, though it remains a challenge because of inadequate observational capabilities at interfaces (e.g. land/ocean), and the scarcity of discharge measurements, though novel missions like SWOT are advancing water volume data. Coordination among disciplines is critical for addressing this gap (see section 4.3).

A comprehensive aquatic carbon roadmap requires overcoming the gap between EO capabilities and application needs. Although satellite observations have transformed monitoring of aquatic systems, major challenges remain in capturing the multi-dimensional complexity of the open ocean, shelf seas, and inland aquatic environments. For example, reliance on single-satellite missions limits the temporal and spectral breadth of data needed to capture different processes that take place in aquatic ecosystems. Combining polar-orbiting ocean colour satellites with geostationary satellites and active measurements, such as lidars or synthetic aperture radar (SAR), will be necessary to increase the information density of surface-subsurface interactions (Muller-Karger et al., 2018). But overcoming these challenges will require not just improved observables that enable the acquisition of the data needed to quantify, within an acceptable uncertainty, the stocks and fluxes of carbon within and across systems, but a shift in perspective, where the continuum of carbon flows are recognized and duly characterized. Bridging the gap between the EO community and other disciplines remains difficult; inter-disciplinary misunderstandings regarding data uncertainties and spatial scales often hinder the integration of remote sensing into broader biological and chemical models (Bracher et al., 2017). This is aggravated by the sampling biases often present in oceanographic datasets. These biases are largely driven by the high costs associated with traditional research vessel expeditions, which often limit sampling to specific seasons or accessible geographic regions. These limitations, however, serve as opportunities for innovation as well as training the next generation of researchers. Addressing these challenges through innovation, enhanced observations, and interdisciplinary training will improve predictions of future carbon dynamics, system feedbacks, and environmental change.

4.1 Aquatic carbon in open-ocean and shelf-seas, including air-sea fluxes

Authors: Kelsey Bisson, Bob Brewin, Abhishek Chatterjee, Peter Landschutzer, Cecile Rousseaux

A primary challenge for assessing carbon stocks and fluxes in the open ocean and shelf seas lies in the limitations of current technology and expertise in combining the various dimensions of observations. This is especially acute in the "hidden" dimensions of the ocean, which lie beneath the surface, away from what current satellite radiometric technology can reach. Prime examples are the Twilight Zone and below the ice in Polar Regions; Twilight zone science (the mesopelagic layer) and under-sea ice regions remain "black boxes." The difficulty of signal penetration and physical access makes these areas critical frontiers for future exploration. Similarly, there is a lack of consistent, scalable data on higher trophic levels and biodiversity throughout the entire water column. For example, while surface chlorophyll-a can be well-mapped, the vertical distribution of organisms from phytoplankton composition and zooplankton to top predators remains poorly integrated into EO frameworks.

Challenges arise determining the fluxes of carbon between the ocean and the atmosphere. Many key parameters can be observed from space but some are not, the critical missing one being sea surface partial pressure of CO_2 (pCO_2) which is needed to determine the concentration of CO_2 in the water. Current methods reconstruct sparse surface measurements of pCO_2 using remote sensing observations of physical, chemical and biological conditions combined with re-analysis datasets. Satellite observations are then again used to enable the calculation of the desired air-sea CO_2 fluxes and net carbon sink (e.g., Landschützer et al., 2020; Ford et al., 2024). Although this approach is increasingly considered robust for global inter-annual estimates, it is still limited by the sparse spatial coverage of the in-situ pCO_2 data, with less than 2% of oceanic grid boxes sampled monthly (Bakker et al. 2016); this the density is likely insufficient for some oceanic regions. For example, the in situ sampling is extremely sparse (in space and time) over the Southern Ocean, which is thought to account for up to 40% of the ocean CO_2 sink (Hauck et al., 2023; Gruber et al., 2019). These limitations can make it difficult to use these estimates for annual regional and near-coast assessments, and make policy guidance difficult, whereas they have been demonstrated to be effective for observing long-term (i.e. decadal) regional change.

In principle, it should be possible to estimate air-sea CO_2 fluxes at much higher spatial and temporal resolution by analyzing space-based observations of atmospheric CO_2 with inverse models (e.g., Su et al., 2024; Byrne et al., 2023). Over the past decade, this approach has dramatically improved our understanding of CO_2 fluxes from the land biosphere. It has provided much less insight into air-sea carbon fluxes because these fluxes are typically 10 to 100 times weaker, per unit area, than those over land. These small fluxes produce correspondingly smaller CO_2 anomalies, which are more challenging to accurately quantify. In addition, these small CO_2 variations can be masked by outflows from land-based CO_2 sources and sinks. Due to these concerns, top-down estimates of ocean CO_2 fluxes have not been widely used to complement the surface-level assessments by the ocean carbon community. Specific approaches for addressing these issues and exploiting this potential are outlined in Section 6.

There is a major opportunity to incorporate multiple types of data in tandem leveraging both satellite and in situ data, such as merging or synergistic use of physics (e.g. altimetry), biology (e.g. ocean colour), and chemistry (e.g. BGC-Argo floats) observations. Indeed, to gain access to the three-dimensionality of the ocean, satellite-based products need to be complemented with in-situ measurements (e.g., Neukermans et al., 2023) and ocean biogeochemical models (Friedlingstein et al., 2025; Nowicki et al., 2022, Gregg 2008, Gregg et al., 2014). Leveraging 'activity-based' data to advance carbon quantification in situ can help bridge and expand the validity of EO data. Autonomous in-situ platforms are playing an increasingly important role in monitoring both carbon pools and fluxes in the ocean (Chai et al., 2020; Claustre et al., 2021, 2020). For instance, the previously mentioned surface ocean sink estimates are an example of the powerful exploitation of satellite and in situ data. Here machine learning algorithms and artificial intelligence methods use the EO view of the environment to reconstruct the surface water chemistry and its exchange with the atmosphere. While a decade ago only two of these dataset methods were considered by the Global Carbon Budget (Le Quéré et al 2015), in its latest release nine of these bottom-up ocean sink estimates were considered, with them all relying heavily on satellite missions and remote sensing data (Friedlingstein et al 2025). The satellite record of ocean-based datasets used in these assessments extends back to the 1980s, allowing the investigation of fluctuations in the ocean sink from interannual to decadal timescales.

Perhaps the most promising technical frontier for EO is the advance in quantum sensing and Lidar. Lidar systems offer the ability to "see" further into the water column, bypassing the limitations of sensors that only see the surface layer (Jamet et al., 2019). Upcoming missions, such as the proposed Luce mission (Agenzial Spaziale Italiana), are expected to provide fresh insights into carbon-related processes (Behrenfeld et al., 2017, 2019). High-resolution quantum sensors could eventually provide unprecedented precision in measuring gravity anomalies and magnetic fields, further refining our understanding of ocean circulation (e.g. Liu et al., 2026). However, continued advancements in laboratory, field work, and mission planning remain essential to improve quality in space-based carbon products.

Shelf seas are particularly challenging for carbon retrievals as signal detection issues arise from saturated or "optically complex" waters where suspended sediments and coloured dissolved organic matter complicate standard algorithms. Current sampling frequencies are often insufficient to address near-coastal impacts in real-time. This presents a clear opportunity for geostationary satellites, which will enhance our ability to monitor diel carbon dynamics and improve spatial coverage (Dierssen et al., 2023; Groom et al., 2019; Salisbury et al., 2021) and provide the high-frequency observations necessary to monitor rapid events like harmful algal blooms or sediment plumes. It also highlights the importance and need for dedicated in situ networks, drones, and CubeSats to provide high-resolution validation (Pastra et al., 2025). Currently, some limitations can be overcome by using sensors in tandem, for example, by improving spatial coverage by merging satellite data (Sathyendranath et al., 2019), or improving accuracy in products by augmenting algorithms with independent ancillary observations (Bisson et al., 2023). The convergence of multi-sensor data and novel sensing hardware offers a transformative path for the characterization and advancement of carbon quantification across aquatic environments.

Recommendations to advance aquatic carbon stocks and fluxes in open-ocean and shelf-seas, including air-sea fluxes:

Rec-1: Exploit multi-sensors (active/passive) and multi-platforms (satellite, in-situ) synergy to access the three dimensionality of the ocean.

Rec-2: Develop Lidar capabilities.

Rec-3: Advance the estimation of ocean circulation.

Rec-4: Develop geostationary missions for high frequency measurements

Rec-5: Further develop and support dedicated in situ networks, drones, and CubeSats to provide high-resolution validation measurements.

Rec-6: Develop and validate tools to detect change and the rate of change in the ocean carbon cycle, enabling identification of potential tipping points and fund more science to understand carbon tipping points.

Rec-7: Include indicators of bio- and functional diversity, and the flow of carbon through the marine ecosystem, into ocean carbon research; challenge the understanding of carbon flows and feedbacks.

4.2 Aquatic carbon in coastal zones, including blue carbon ecosystems

Authors: Aurelien Carbonniere, Christine Dupuy, Kevin Kroeger, Tiit Kutser, Antonio Mannino, Dimitris Poursanidis, Kesav Unnithan, Vincent Vantrepotte

Despite recent progress, major challenges remain for optically complex nearshore waters when it comes to the retrieval of in water properties, including carbon. Atmospheric and adjacency effects continue to pose significant difficulties, and these issues are especially critical for high-resolution sensors operating close to shorelines (IOCCG, 2010). Accurate quantification of particulate and dissolved matter from Rrs(λ) also remains to be improved in highly turbid environments, highlighting the need for better inversion techniques exploiting hyperspectral and UV information, and dedicated validation strategies in nearshore domains where strong spatial gradients and temporal dynamics occur.

To better resolve DOC and POC variability and drivers, observational efforts should aim for daily to sub-daily data acquisition at high spatial resolution (< 30 m) in nearshore waters to describe and capture the DOC and POC dynamics in response to episodic and extreme events, and hourly to bi-hourly acquisition at high-moderate spatial resolution (300 m or lower) improve estimates of cross-shelf DOC fluxes (Fichot et al., 2023; Dierssen et al., 2023).

Another pervasive challenge to measuring near-shore carbon remains a lack of openly available Calibration and Validation (Cal Val) data matchups which meet requirements. Data collection efforts are often only funded for short terms or in limited areas; networks, such as AERONET-OC, are relatively limited geographically and rely on support from space agencies or institutions which have no long-term certainty. Complementary in situ carbon chemistry instrumentation/measurements should also be considered in areas where consistent in situ coastal data collections are available to provide insight into processes and transformation of carbon that are occurring in these highly dynamic environments, as well as leveraging already supported “bottom up” in situ efforts (e.g. Arctic COLORS/FORTE) carbon quantification approaches that may help carbon estimates to converge. Coastal carbon data collected by scientists outside

the remote sensing community can be difficult to access, hindering the validation and improvements of remotely sensed measurements.

Regarding blue carbon ecosystems, satellite EO have transformed our ability to study these ecosystems – especially those above water like marshes and mangroves – and have provided unique insights into extent, condition, productivity, carbon dynamics, and biodiversity. This has enabled the possibility to establish baselines and project future risks for these important ecosystems. However, challenges remain; for example, detailed vegetation mapping, especially for retro-littoral and salt marshes, remains insufficient, and more work is needed to improve habitat classification and map validation through field surveys and remote-sensing calibration. Similarly, subtidal seagrasses, submerged macroalgae, and hardbottom/reef habitats are harder to observe from space (water column effects, turbidity) and adequate quantification and observation of these habitats are scarce or lack adequate resolution. While optical remote sensing is already used to define baseline and large changes both through remote sensing (Floyd et al. 2024) and drones (Roe et al. 2020), EO often underestimates extent or misses below-water biomass without complementary methods. In addition, seasonal and yearly fluctuations in natural ranges further complicate seagrass mapping and monitoring. Soil carbon (vast in tidal marshes/mangroves, and where the bulk of sequestered carbon lies) still needs field cores for accurate stocks (Macreadie et al., 2021; Krause et al. 2025). Gaps also remain regarding the quantification of gas fluxes and the role of managed blue carbon ecosystems in CO_2 and CH_4 emissions and drawdowns. For instance, though some gas-flux data are openly available, expanding continuous CO_2 and CH_4 measurements (e.g., via eddy-covariance towers) in coastal areas is necessary to monitor greenhouse-gas exchanges at high resolution; the ICOS network (https://icos-france.fr/) provides an example. This remains a frontier in blue carbon research, requiring stronger integration of EO with in situ flux measurements and modelling (Pham et al., 2019). EO have undoubtedly improved spatial and spectral resolution for blue carbon mapping, are reducing sampling costs by guiding where to sample, but cannot wholly replace in-situ measurement.

As blue carbon enters the carbon and nature repair markets embedded within climate policy frameworks, robust Monitoring, Reporting, and Verification (MRV) systems are essential (Dam et al., 2024). EO provides a scalable, transparent, and cost-effective foundation for MRV, reducing reliance on costly and complex field campaigns. By combining freely available global data streams with targeted high-resolution acquisitions, EO-based MRV can balance precision with affordability. The challenge is to ensure consistency, transparency, and stakeholder trust, particularly as MRV systems begin to underpin financial flows for conservation and restoration projects (Mengis et al., 2023). In addition, framing blue carbon ecosystems as both Essential Climate Variables and Essential Biodiversity Variables would enable the connection of such ecosystems and their valuable carbon sequestration contributions to Kunming-Montreal GBF reporting.

Looking forward, EO can play a predictive role by integrating habitat distribution and suitability models with climate projections to forecast future shifts in distribution, and to identify areas most vulnerable to loss and in need of restoration actions (Erftemeijer et al., 2023). As sensor and machine learning capabilities expand and integration with field data improves, EO will play an even greater role in quantifying carbon stocks,

monitoring fluxes, and enabling cost-effective MRV systems linked to the multiple ecosystem services provided by blue carbon ecosystems.

Lastly, organizations of global reach (e.g. GOOS, CEOS) could play a role facilitating open-source Cal Val data and ensuring access conforms to FAIR principles so that EO measurements can be further validated and utilised for broad applications, from carbon budgets to MRV. Agreement on a science-based approach that adheres to community-vetted measurement protocols is also needed to reduce uncertainty and error in carbon estimates locally, nationally or regionally.

Recommendations to advance aquatic carbon stocks and fluxes in coastal zones, including blue carbon ecosystems:

- Rec-1: Develop geostationary mission for high frequency coastal measurements
- Rec-2: Exploit multisensor and multi-mission approaches to combine all types of surface and sub-surface observations that can characterize and constrain coastal carbon fluxes
- Rec-3: Integrate EO and relevant in situ data with models to develop forecasting or evaluation approaches (digital twin element or Observing System Simulation Experiments) to identify carbon-relevant areas most vulnerable to loss or in need of restoration or mitigation actions.
- Rec-4: Maintain and improve the accuracy and stability of primary observables needed for ocean carbon research by sustaining robust calibration and validation (cal/val) activities. Research communities should ensure all data are provided following FAIR practices.
- Rec-5: Continue improvements and advances in atmospheric correction, especially in complex coastal waters.
- Rec-6: Develop a more robust science-based approach to reduce uncertainty and error in carbon estimates for coastal waters.
- Rec-7: develop EO-based MRV approaches for shelf seas and blue carbon that are capable of supporting policy and conservation.

4.3 Aquatic carbon in inland water bodies, including land to ocean fluxes

Authors: Dustin Carroll, Meghan Halabisky, Tiit Kutser, Antonio Mannino, Raphael Savelli, Stefan Simis

Global-scale remote sensing of carbon in inland waters remains challenging; most algorithms are developed for specific lakes, reservoirs, or rivers and are not easily transferable. While global algorithms exist for water-quality parameters such as chlorophyll-a, suspended sediments, cyanobacteria, and optical water types (e.g. CyAN), comparable methods for carbon concentrations and primary productivity are lacking. In addition, because of their size, challenges remain regarding adjacency effects, atmospheric correction, revisit frequency, spatial resolution (for smaller water bodies), and spectral information necessary to retrieve carbon properties. For example, the retrieval of CDOM in the ESA Lakes Climate Change Initiative (Lakes CCI) using optical pre-classification remains challenging as algorithm sensitivity varies over concentration range and has interference in phytoplankton-dominated waters. Algorithms exist for products such as POC and DOC (e.g., Xu et al., 2020; Toming et al 2016a,b), but their applicability across diverse inland water systems is still uncertain.

Building a unified, integrated framework for aquatic carbon quantification in inland waters, coupling knowledge from different disciplines (physics, biology, biogeochemistry, engineering) and thematics (atmospheric, land ecosystems, hydrological, blue carbon, solids and sediment diagenesis, coastal and ocean sciences) would help advance the field. Indeed, there are mechanisms altering or supplementing carbon in inland waters, especially when related to fluxes, that remain poorly constrained. Salinity gradients trigger flocculation and sorption that removes or transforms dissolved organic matter and metals through association with particulates (Sholkovitz, 1976). In addition, the source of the emission of organic carbon determines its availability for remineralization (labile, semi-refractory, refractory), which ultimately defines the magnitude of the remineralization across the LOAC and the associated respiration towards the atmosphere in terms of CO_2 and CH_4 fluxes (Lønborg et al., 2020). In some regions, riverine solutes and solids are supplemented by groundwater and subglacial discharge. Groundwater discharge delivers the equivalent of 23% of riverine DIC (Luijendijk et al., 2020). Subglacial upwelling can transport nutrients to the photic zone (Hopwood et al., 2018), and meltwater from ice sheets and icebergs provides reactive iron that supports high-latitude coastal ecosystems (Hawkings et al., 2014; Hopwood et al., 2020). Accounting for these gaps is pivotal to capture the sinks and sources of carbon across the LOAC and thus, the amount and nature of terrestrial carbon flowing into the ocean.

While the Global Carbon Budget (GCB) has exhaustively tracked global carbon emissions and sinks since 2012, the GCB and the global-ocean biogeochemistry models and dynamic global vegetation models it relies on do not properly account for the anthropogenic perturbation of the LOAC nor the flux of carbon from land to inland waters, leading to key uncertainties in quantifying the net land–ocean–atmosphere balance of carbon (Regnier et al., 2022; Friedlingstein et al., 2024). To quantify carbon flow across the multi-dimensional, cross-domain, and highly-variable LOAC, model frameworks need to integrate data sets that are grounded in measurements to deliver actionable information that can be used by scientific communities, governments, land management, and users. This framework should leverage the complementary respective strengths of modelling, remote sensing, and in-situ measurements. This type of framework will also be of use to lakes and reservoirs that are impacted by changes in seasonal inflows, land use, and other processes that impact carbon production and transformation. When flowing through different systems, carbon is transformed through specific processes associated with the particular ecosystem (Ward et al., 2017). Thus, it is challenging to account for the regional complexity of the LOAC and to capture the coarse-to-fine-scale processes occurring within inland waters, sediments, estuaries, deltas, wetlands, coastal and open ocean, and at their mutual interfaces when accounting for sinks and sources of carbon. The speed of these processes (hourly, seasonal to decadal) adds to this intricacy, and these processes are also impacted by climate and anthropogenic perturbations both on land and in the ocean, which makes it even more challenging to disentangle natural, climate-perturbed, and anthropogenic variability when computing carbon budgets across the LOAC (Regnier et al., 2022).

Recent satellite missions are bridging key dimensional and intrinsic gaps in the remote sensing Earth observation system. PACE provides daily hyperspectral ocean colour to separate phytoplankton groups, coloured dissolved organic matter (CDOM), and particles at global scales. SWOT measures water level and surface dynamics in rivers,

lakes, estuaries, and the coastal ocean to constrain exchange and routing. EAGLE-VSWIR and CHIME will connect land and water with imaging spectroscopy for soils, vegetation, and water quality. Geostationary ocean colour from GLIMR will capture diurnal coastal change and events. However, for smaller lakes, rivers, and reservoirs, airborne hyperspectral imaging spectroscopy (e.g., PRISM, AVIRIS) is needed to be able to develop algorithms for retrieval of carbon species. Nimble platforms, such as easy to deploy drones, should be considered. Existing global databases of in situ optical and biogeochemical measurements are essential for developing and validating remote-sensing algorithms, but they must be expanded to capture the full range of biogeochemical conditions. Estuaries are particularly important because they are major CO_2 outgassing hotspots, yet their high turbidity and CDOM concentrations make observations difficult. Capturing tidal-scale carbon fluxes requires frequent, high-resolution measurements, supported by models and in situ observations. Targeted field campaigns and autonomous monitoring platforms can help provide the data needed to validate and scale remote-sensing products. To accurately represent carbon gradients, observations should span the entire land–ocean aquatic continuum (LOAC), from inland waters to the open ocean. Earth System Models should seamlessly integrate estuaries and the coastal ocean when coupling land, ocean, and atmospheric models and adapt to account for sub-grid-scale processes (Ward et al., 2020).

By integrating models, satellites, and in-situ networks (e.g. GLEON) across the complete LOAC, key uncertainties can be reduced, and the natural and human-driven change can be separated. This approach will deliver observation-constrained carbon budgets that inform management and improve estimates of the global carbon budget. The data-model framework's final products should be open-source, user-friendly, and accessible to any stakeholders.

Recommendations to advance aquatic carbon stocks and fluxes in inland water bodies, including land to ocean fluxes:

- Rec-1: Research should focus on developing approaches that consider the land to ocean continuum, its heterogeneity and cascade of scales and the carbon flow within this, so linking inland waters, estuarine and coastal seas. This will enable improved inclusion of inand regions within global budgets
- Rec-2: Expand hyperspectral in situ measurements (e.g. airborne, drones) of inland water bodies to improve model development and validation of EO.
- Rec-3: Further develop and refine algorithms for retrieval of carbon concentrations and primary productivity in inland waters
- Rec 4: Support research that focuses on inland littoral zones, which processes significant amounts of the carbon leached from land to inland waters.

5.0 Demonstrating the power of aquatic carbon Earth observation to inform diverse stakeholders

*Author: Rosa M. Roman-Cuesta, **
***Some of the text contributed to this section was not attributed by request*

EOs are already enabling policy-relevant applications that link aquatic carbon dynamics with adaptation and mitigation objectives, especially when methodologies, governance, and capacity development align. In Guyana and Suriname, satellite observations have supported the planning and monitoring of green infrastructure approaches to sea-level rise, including the protection and restoration of mangroves. EO-derived data on coastal change, vegetation extent, and ecosystem condition have informed national adaptation strategies while simultaneously strengthening understanding of coastal carbon stocks and resilience co-benefits (GFOI, 2025; UNEP, 2021). Similarly, Australia, Japan, and the United States have advanced methodological frameworks for incorporating coastal blue carbon into national planning and reporting (e.g. Windham-Myers et al., 2018; Kroeger et al., 2017; Holmquist et al., 2018; GHGMMIS, 2023; Emmet-Booth et al., 2020; Blue Carbon Method, 2022; Japan's Plan for Global Warming Countermeasures; Basic Policy on Economic and Fiscal Management and Reform 2023). EO plays a central role in mapping ecosystem extent, tracking change, and supporting activity data required for inventory development, as documented in recent international guidance (Howard et al., 2017; GFOI, 2025).

Aquatic carbon is embedded within IPCC Working Group I on 'The Physical Science Basis,' in Working Group II on 'Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability,' and III on 'Mitigation of Climate Change,' and in the GCB through a combination of satellite-constrained data products and model–data fusion approaches (IPCC, 2021; Friedlingstein et al., 2023). EO informs:

- Estimates of net ocean CO_2 uptake, via constraints on air–sea gas exchange, surface pCO_2 reconstructions, and biological productivity (Landschützer et al., 2016; Rödenbeck et al., 2022);
- Detection of interannual variability and trends in ocean carbon uptake associated with climate variability and long-term warming (McKinley et al., 2020; Fay et al., 2021);
- Characterization of cryosphere–ocean interactions, including sea ice extent and

freshwater inputs that modulate carbon cycling at high latitudes (IPCC, 2019; Arrigo et al., 2020).
- Characterization of terrestrial-aquatic exchange of organic matter and the role of biological processes in the regulation of CO_2 concentrations in inland waters (Settele et al., 2014).

The contribution of EO to UNFCCC reporting and to the Global Stocktake is discussed in several publications (Bastos et al. 2022; Ochiai et al. 2023). For blue carbon ecosystems, EO has improved temporality and spatial coverage of these remote and hard to access ecosystems. It supplies spatially consistent, repeatable observations needed to (1) map coastal carbon-rich ecosystems at scale, (2) detect and track gains and losses over time, (3) feed national inventories and verification, and (4) inform ambition, finance and policy decisions.

Despite these advances in utilizing EO for aquatic carbon quantification and its incorporation in assessments, coverage remains uneven across aquatic domains. Open-ocean processes are comparatively well represented, while near-coastal waters, coastal blue carbon ecosystems, inland waters, and land–ocean fluxes remain weakly constrained or excluded from formal assessments as a result of the fragmentation and limited integration that exist across those aquatic domains (Regnier et al., 2022; Ciais et al., 2022). Methodological immaturity for inventory use of many EO aquatic carbon products also prevents their integration, as many are not yet designed to meet IPCC requirements for transparency, uncertainty reporting, and reproducibility (IPCC, 2019; GCOS, 2022). Institutional and assessment barriers that limit the systematic uptake of EO capabilities within IPCC and global stocktake workflows, despite scientific readiness, also constitute a significant hurdle (Oreskes et al., 2023; CEOS, 2023). These challenges and gaps propagate directly into global budgets and limit the ability of IPCC and GCB assessments to fully represent the aquatic carbon cycle. Coastal and inland aquatic systems are also where national policy relevance is highest, intersecting with adaptation planning, ecosystem services, and hazard mitigation. Yet carbon dynamics are often treated qualitatively—or omitted entirely—from accounting frameworks (IPCC, 2019; UNEP, 2021). Addressing these challenges requires coordinated development across observation systems, assessment bodies, and national stakeholders.

5.1 Case studies

5.1.1 National Determined Contributions (NDCs) and blue carbon

Authors: Miguel Cifuentes-Jara, Blake Clark, Rosa M. Roman-Cuesta, Ben Poulter, Oscar Serrano

NDCs are the climate action plans that each Party to the Paris Agreement must prepare, communicate, and maintain (Decision 1/CP21, Katowice Climate Package). They set out what actions a country intends to take to reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions (mitigation) and how it will adapt to the adverse impacts of climate change. Countries must also report on finance (requested/received support), capacity building and technological transfer. NDCs are updated every five years, and successive NDCs should represent progression and increasing ambition, following recommendations from the Global Stocktake assessment (see Section 5.1). Countries' commitments under their NDCs are not legally binding, but signatories of the Paris Agreement are expected

to achieve or at least advance on their pledges. This means robust data on the spatial cover dynamics of land use categories are needed. Hence, to include blue carbon as part of a country's NDC adaptation target, baseline data on area and ecosystem status are needed to facilitate the tracking of their achievements. For mitigation, countries willing to include blue carbon targets under their NDC's mitigation pledges need to have also reported blue carbon emissions and removals under their GHG Inventories.

Insufficient capacity and poor data on subtidal area changes are among the factors that hinder the accurate assessment of carbon emissions and removals, especially in lesser-studied ecosystems such as seagrasses (Arkema et al. 2023). For example, the Japanese Ministry of the Environment (MOE) has estimated and reported CO_2 removals from seagrass and seaweed beds in the inventory reported to the United Nations in April 2024, (https://www.env.go.jp/en/earth/ondanka/blue-carbon-en/initiatives.html). However, blue carbon-specific quantitative mitigation targets are not yet explicitly defined in the NDCs, and satellite data are only used to assess environmental conditions for estimation of the seagrass and seaweed active areas. On a wider context, coastal and marine ecosystems are growing popular under NDCs as Nature Based Solutions, with almost half of the countries submitting their NDCs by 2023 mentioning their mitigation and adaptation services (et al., 2023) (Figure 3).




Figure 3: Countries' inclusion of coastal and marine NbS for mitigation and adaptation in their NDCs. Out of 148 countries that have submitted their NDCs as of 19 September 2023, 97 have included coastal and marine NbS. Among these, 61 countries included coastal and marine NbS for both mitigation and adaptation purposes, 1 for mitigation only and 35 for adaptation only. Source: (Lecerf et al. 2023).

Blue carbon ecosystems (BCEs; tidal marshes, mangroves, macroalgal beds, lake littorals and seagrasses) are included in GHG Inventories as coastal wetlands (IPCC Wetland Supplement 2013), and as forests in the Land Use, Land Use Change and Forestry (LULUCF) category (IPCC 2006 Guidelines). Blue carbon is increasingly participating in countries' GHG inventory reporting, a comprehensive accounting process used to calculate, quantify, and report all greenhouse gas emissions and removals tied to a specific entity. These entities can be corporations, a city, or a

country; emissions and removals are calculated over a defined time frame using standardized metrics like carbon dioxide equivalent (CO_2e) and mitigation-adaptation commitments, making it more visible in the GST process (e.g. Decision 1/CMA.5 on the role of oceans). Reporting of blue carbon emissions and removals under National GHG Inventories is however not compulsory, as the use of the IPCC Wetland Supplement (2013) remains voluntary (e.g. Parties may choose to apply it if they want to include managed wetlands, drained/rewetted lands, mangroves, seagrass, etc., in their national inventories). Its absence in National GHG Inventories would prevent accountable mitigation commitments under countries Nationally Determined Contributions (NDCs) but would still allow its participation under adaptation planning and generic mitigation action through Nature Based Solutions (e.g., commitments to protect, restore and/or manage blue carbon ecosystems). When this document was written, blue carbon is only enacted under the voluntary carbon market (VCM) with a range of standards and registries that enable cross-border purchase and sale of offsets for emissions (see also Sec. 6.5). The integrity of the VCM is being increasingly advanced by emerging bodies such as the Voluntary Carbon Market Integrity Initiative (VCMI) and the Integrity Council for the Voluntary Carbon Market (IC-VCM). An example of this is the J Blue Credit, which has been established and operated since 2020.

GHG reporting must follow all the available IPCC methodological guidance on blue carbon. These include the IPCC Wetland Supplement (2013), the LULUCF guidelines from IPCC (2006) on forest and grassland reporting, and the 2019 IPCC Refinement. As far as biases are avoided and minimized, countries can develop their own methodologies to report on blue carbon emissions/removals not covered yet by the IPCC.

EO data are used to measure and monitor blue carbon stocks, their threats and restoration opportunities (e.g., Arkema et al. 2023, Reise et al. 2024). While changes in blue carbon biomass associated with different drivers (deforestation, degradation, restoration) can rely on standard Tier 1 factors for GHG Inventory reporting (e.g. Emission Factors under the IPCC database), baseline data on BC extent and changes in their area are needed for reporting. EO can be used to quantify the latter and can also provide proxy data on carbon losses and removals in these frequently remote and hard to access ecosystems. Moreover, EO can reduce monitoring expenses through repeated and consistent monitoring at a national scale (with increasing details as technology and spatial resolution of EO continue to improve rapidly), and offer a consistent representation of ecosystems' extent and carbon fluxes over time, which are key requirements for reporting against climate targets.

Example - Blue carbon in Costa Rica's NDC

Coastal wetlands are a cross-cutting topic in Costa Rica's 2020 NDC (Costa Rica 2020), especially as they relate to people-centred and science-based sustainable management, restoration, protection, provision of ecosystem services, adaptation and resilience. The country focused its contributions on conserving 100% of its officially inventoried wetlands by 2025 and addressing the underlying causes for their loss to revert this process by 2030. In addition, it is committed to including adaptation measures in all its territorial, coastal and marine planning instruments by 2030.

Including coastal blue carbon contributions in its NDCs (Costa Rica NDC 2020) allowed the country to springboard significant action towards coastal wetlands conservation.

Following its NDC, Costa Rica developed and launched the first-in-kind National Blue Carbon Strategy (SINAC 2023) and its supplementary Action Plan (2024). The strategy recognizes blue carbon as a nature-based solution for both climate mitigation and adaptation. The strategy's main goal is to integrate actions for conserving and restoring blue carbon ecosystems to benefit both nature and coastal communities. It is organized around five objectives: strengthening governance, conserving and monitoring ecosystems, creating financial mechanisms, empowering communities, and raising public awareness through education and communication. Based on its experience developing and implementing its National Blue Carbon Strategy, Costa Rica is offering international guidance on how to build similar policies (SINAC 2024a).

As a next step, Costa Rica accounted for mangrove blue carbon for the first time in its National GHG Inventory, using the 2013 IPCC Wetlands Supplement. Accounting for emissions and removals from mangrove forests was done for the entire time series (1990-2021) and using recent (2013) maps of forest types. These new data show mangroves increased in area from 5499 ha in 1990 to 6100 ha in 2021 and removed CO_2e at a rate between 5 and 6 Mg CO_2e ha^{-1} yr^{-1} (Megagram per hectare of land and per year) starting early on during that same period.

Next steps on blue carbon research under the UNFCCC/NDC that EO can help address

Identified gaps	Recommendations Action Short term	Recommendations Action long term
Subtidal Seagrass, macroalgae, and hardbottom/reef area monitoring remains challenging	Use remote sensing for shallow seagrasses, macroalgae, and hardbottom/reef under transparent water.	Promote diving and/or automated underwater rovers for monitoring activities to characterize area/area changes under different drivers and environmental conditions. Explore EO data combined with machine learning algorithms and ground-truthing to map and monitor changes in seagrass, macroalgae, and hardbottom/reef habitats
Tier 2 reporting is needed for mitigation commitments, and for improved reporting when blue carbon emissions or removals are key categories	Build a regional EF database that can be applied for similar conditions in countries without data. See Holmquist et al. (2024) for such an example of a coastal carbon data repository.	Promote ground sampling for more accurate Tier 2. Develop models (Tier 3)
EF not included under the Wetland Supplement are needed, particularly for standing blue carbon ecosystems under conservation	Further research to estimate GHG gains and losses under different activities/EF: BCEs loss or restoration, rewetting, creation of new BCEs, etc as current activities under IPCC are limited	Promote ground sampling for more accurate Tier 2. Develop models (Tier 3)

5.1.2 Supporting and constraining global carbon assessments

Author: Abhishek Chatterjee, Daniel J. Ford, Peter Landschutzer, Neill Mackay, Jamie D. Shutler

As anthropogenic carbon emissions continue to increase, the ability to determine how these emissions are partitioned between the natural carbon sinks forms the global carbon budget. These natural sinks are (1) the accumulation within the atmosphere, (2) the ocean sink and (3) the land sink. Bottom-up air-sea carbon flux estimates are an essential part of the annual Global Carbon Budget analysis, as the atmosphere and oceans are the only two components which can be directly observed, and so they form the only two observational constraints within global carbon assessments (Shutler et al., 2020; Friedlingstein et al 2025). In contrast, the land sink is not observed but estimated using a range of modelling approaches. Tightening these two observational constraints will therefore improve the ability to quantify the redistribution of anthropogenic carbon within the Earth system.

A simple mass balance assessment can illustrate the constraint the ocean provides on balancing the changing nature of the global carbon budget. As the Earth system is largely closed, a change in one of the natural sinks and constraints (e.g. the ocean) must imply a change in the other less constrained estimate (i.e. the land). Using the total carbon emissions within the latest global carbon budget assessment (Friedlingstein et al., 2025), alongside the observational constraints of the atmosphere and an observation-based ocean sink estimate, the land sink can be inferred (Figure 4; Mayot et al., 2024). The inferred land sink shows similar variability to the modelled estimates, but exercising the ocean constraint suggests that the land sink has largely stagnated over at least the last decade. This contrasts with the 0.43 Pg C yr^{-1} dec^{-1} trend for the period 1980 to 2023 estimated from the models (Friedlingstein et al., 2025). The simple analysis and result in Figure 4 are consistent with more advanced analyses that used the ocean as a constraint on the carbon budget (Mayot et al., 2024).

Clearly, this revision of the partitioning of carbon within the Earth system has urgent implications for policy. The result suggests that efforts to enhance the land sink over the last decade appear to have been largely ineffective, calling into question their ability to mitigate against rising emissions. Whilst land policy and efforts to boost biodiversity and the land carbon sink are critically important for long-term climate stability, it would seem that they are unlikely to achieve any success in meeting the challenge of the climate emergency.

The ocean is now more effective at taking up anthropogenic carbon than the land sink (Friedlingstein et al., 2025) and is helping to slow the full impacts of climate change. But the ability of the ocean to continue this long-term absorption of carbon is unclear, as this same uptake is changing the ocean chemistry and reducing the ability of the ocean to absorb more. Moreover, the effects of potentially dramatic climate change-driven shifts and tipping points, such as a collapse in the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation (AMOC), are not fully understood but are likely to be substantial. Policies need to focus on increasing protection and financial support to monitor ocean carbon if retaining this vital constraint on the global carbon budget is desired. Without it, it will be increasingly difficult to track progress related to carbon fluxes and detect any change in the service the ocean sink is providing, and it will become impossible to monitor the land sink.

Estimates of the sink from ocean models have diverged from those from observational data products in recent years (Friedlingstein et al., 2025), raising the question as to whether the models may be deficient (Mayot et al., 2023; Terhaar et al., 2024) or whether insufficient observational coverage is biasing the data products' estimates (e.g. Hauck et al., 2023). To resolve these questions, monitoring of ocean carbon must continue and be boosted by utilising the latest technological advancements in conjunction with measurements achieved by more traditional means. These assessments are only possible with the global coverage of EO infrastructure which has been instrumental in our ability to quantify and monitor the ocean carbon sink. Indeed, as a result of the increasing confidence in satellite-derived measurements and their importance, in its latest assessment cycle (AR6), the IPCC considered these bottom-up CO_2 flux estimates as reliable indicators of carbon sources and sinks over the ocean. Moreover, a total of 20 different data products combining ocean biogeochemistry models, in-situ measurements and remote sensing observations is currently used to inform the Global Carbon Budget (Friedlingstein et al., 2025) and will play a crucial role in the IPCC AR7 report. Essentially, without EO and concomitant in situ reference measurements, it would be impossible to assess and observe the ocean carbon sink (Shutler et al., 2024), removing a key constraint on global assessments and significantly degrading and undermining any policy advice that results from these assessments.

Next steps that EO can help support and constrain global carbon assessments (see also Sec. 6)

Identified gaps	Recommendations Action Short term	Recommendations Action long term
Support near-real time release of remote sensing data (especially near real time carbon products, like CDOM/DOC) to contribute to regular assessments of the ocean carbon sink	Ensure physical, chemical and biological proxy data from EO remains accessible to the community. Optimize validation of CO_2 data over open ocean, inland, and coastal areas	Ensure continuity of critical EO measurements (e.g. physical- sea surface temperature, salinity, sea surface height - chemical - atmospheric carbon dioxide- and biological - ocean colour) required to derive key parameters that contribute and constrain global carbon assessments
Further develop statistical and AI/ML approaches for improved estimations and reduce uncertainty of air-sea CO_2 fluxes leveraging EO and in situ measurements	Explore novel computational approaches to estimate air-sea CO2 fluxes at a variety of spatial and temporal scales; exploit novel platforms that are being launched in applications related to air-sea fluxes	Invest in future high-resolution remote sensing data (products) to support regional carbon budgets and climate interventions (e.g. marine carbon dioxide removal, mCDR)
Enhance monitoring of ocean carbon cycling	Leverage the latest technological advancements, including autonomous platforms and remote sensing, in conjunction with the gold-standard measurements achieved by more traditional means, to expand carbon flux observations. Invest in developing algorithms that exploit the information provided by XCO_2 measurements	Invest in purpose-built open ocean XCO_2 sensors designed to acquire high-spectral-resolution observations of the ocean. Further invest in upscaling air-sea CO_2 fluxes to estimate ocean carbon uptake and its variability at coarser global and very fine local scales




Figure 4: (a) Anthropogenic carbon emissions from fossil fuels and land use changes, alongside the cement carbonate sink as reported in the GCB2024. (b) Observational atmospheric accumulation of carbon as reported within the GCB2024. (c) Example observational assessment of the ocean carbon sink from the UExP-FNN-U, submitted to the Global Carbon Budget 2025 with uncertainty calculated following Ford et al. (2024). (d) The land sink inferred from the observational constraints compared to that reported by the modelled land sink within the GCB 2024. Uncertainty bounds in all plots indicate the 1 sigma (~67 %) confidence interval.

6.0 Complementarities and linkages to the GHG and AFOLU and other roadmaps

Authors: Abhishek Chatterjee, David Crisp, Ben Poulter

The CEOS SIT recently initiated an effort to coordinate activities across the GHG, AFOLU, and Aquatic Carbon Roadmaps (Figure 1). One approach for implementing this coordination is to define a series of use cases that require contributions across the individual roadmap communities to solve a common problem of interest. Each use case would bring together the relevant observations, modelling capabilities and expertise from the three communities, while providing a concrete framework for identifying shared measurement requirements, developing joint activities and demonstrating the added value of coordination.

Five complementary use cases are proposed here as initial priorities:

6.1. Constraining air-sea fluxes from atmospheric GHG observations
6.2 Purpose-built sensors for space-based XCO_2 observations, and validation over the ocean
6.3 Crosscutting collaboration to improve assessment of blue carbon ecosystem contributions
6.4 Closing the land–aquatic–atmospheric carbon budget through lateral carbon transport
6.5 Integrating ocean observations and models into global atmospheric GHG inversions

6.1 Constraining air-sea fluxes from atmospheric GHG observations

A commonly overlooked approach for estimating air-sea fluxes is to use direct observations of atmospheric CO_2 within an inverse-modelling framework to provide a top-down constraint (Su et al., 2024; Byrne et al., 2023). However, a robust implementation of this top-down approach requires atmospheric column CO_2 observations with either high accuracy (over the open ocean), or high spatial resolution and high precision (over the coasts). This top-down approach is the primary focus of the GHG Roadmap and key element of the AFOLU Roadmap. Similarly, ocean bottom-up flux products should be co-designed with the atmospheric inversion community from the outset to ensure the roadmap contributes meaningfully to global GHG budget assessments.

Over the open ocean, air-sea CO_2 fluxes are typically more than an order of magnitude smaller than those associated with anthropogenic emissions sources or sources and

sinks in the land biosphere, and produce correspondingly smaller variations in XCO_2 (Byrne et al., 2023). In order to constrain such weak, spatially-extensive variations in the ocean fluxes, we require sensors with high accuracy (<0.2 ppm). This is extremely challenging to achieve with our current generation of dedicated, moderate-spatial resolution Global GHG Mappers, such as JAXA's GOSAT and GOSAT-2 and NASA's OCO-2 and OCO-3. These sensors now return XCO_2 estimates with precisions and accuracies of 0.25% (1 ppm). This level of precision and accuracy, combined with their near-global coverage, has revolutionized our understanding of surface-atmosphere CO_2 fluxes associated with anthropogenic emissions and the land biosphere. However, these space-based XCO_2 observations have provided much less insight into CO_2 fluxes over the ocean due to the presence of small (< 0.5 ppm) measurement biases that introduce systematic errors in flux inversion models. Even though recently launched Global GHG Mappers, such as MicroCarb and GOSAT-GW, or ESA's planned CO2M and China's TanSAT constellations will extend the spatial coverage and resolution of space-based XCO_2 datasets, these sensors were not optimized for quantifying CO_2 fluxes over the ocean. These missions, as designed, are therefore unlikely to return XCO_2 estimates with accuracies higher than 0.05% (0.2 ppm) over the ocean.

All existing space-based XCO_2 sensors have been optimized to monitor fluxes over land, where CO_2 sources and sinks are spatially heterogeneous. High spatial resolution and wall-to-wall coverage are critical for these applications. The need to collect samples at high spatial resolution from a spacecraft traveling at ~7 km/sec in low Earth orbit limits the exposure and integration time, and thus the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) of each measurement. For example, the OCO-2 sensors were optimized to collect observations at a spatial resolution of ~2 km^2, yielding single-sounding random errors < 0.5 to 1 ppm (c.f., Das et al., 2025). Facility-scale hyperspectral plume mappers, such as PRISMA, EMIT or Carbon Mapper, have spatial resolutions of 30-50 m, but have correspondingly lower precision and accuracy (~10 ppm). Their measurements are ideal for monitoring intense CO_2 plumes from coal fired power plants and other large emitters, but not useful for monitoring weak, spatially extensive fluxes that are prevalent over the open ocean.

6.2 Purpose-built sensors for space-based XCO_2 observations over the open ocean

6.2.1 Purpose-built sensors for space-based XCO_2 observations over the open ocean

No fundamentally new technologies are needed to monitor ocean XCO_2 gradients on spatial scales of 10 to 1000 square km over the open ocean with much higher accuracy and precision. However, existing technologies and sensor designs will have to be optimized to meet these needs. This should be possible because CO_2 sources and sinks over the open ocean are expected to have much less spatial variability than those over land. This will allow the use of much longer exposure times and larger surface footprints, yielding much greater SNR and much higher single sounding precisions. The primary factor limiting the exposure time and surface footprint size over the open ocean is the need for soundings that are completely free of clouds (or their reflections or shadows) to yield accurate XCO_2 estimates. This may require that dozens or

hundreds of individual soundings be collected on spatial scales of 1°x1°, screened for clouds and then summed to maximize the SNR.

To maximize their precision and accuracy, purpose-built open ocean XCO_2 sensors should be designed to acquire high-spectral-resolution observations of the bright ocean glint, which can be highly polarized. Extremely stable instrument designs, combined with enhanced pre-launch and on-orbit calibration approaches are needed to ensure the accuracy of the reported, spectrally-dependent radiances. Also, following the CO2M example, these XCO_2 sensors should be co-manifested with co-bore-sighted sensors designed to detect and characterize the optical properties and distribution of thin clouds and aerosols, which are often the largest sources of systematic bias in space-based XCO_2 estimates (c.f., Nelson and O'Dell, 2019; Rusli et al., 2021).

Improved retrieval algorithms must also be developed to fully exploit the information provided by the combined XCO_2 and cloud/aerosol sensor suite. Advances in atmospheric inverse models are also needed to quantify weak ocean fluxes in the context of CO_2 outflows from land-based sources, which often dominate the XCO_2 variability over the ocean. Finally, a key requirement for optimizing the current technologies and retrieval algorithms to deliver more accurate CO_2 data over the ocean is the need for much more capable surface-based and airborne validation systems, as outlined in section 6.4.

6.2.2 Purpose-built sensors for space-based XCO_2 observations over coastal regions

Unlike CO_2 fluxes over the open ocean, air-sea fluxes along the coastlines have larger variations in the magnitude of XCO_2, but are heavily influenced by the land signal that interferes with the large footprint of the retrieval (Lohrenz et al., 2018). Coastal XCO_2 retrievals are also considerably more demanding than those over the open ocean because of the stronger and more variable influence of aerosols in coastal environments, which can introduce additional uncertainties and biases in the retrieved atmospheric CO_2 signal. Sensors optimized for this application ideally must combine small surface footprints and high precision to capture the high spatial and temporal heterogeneity in the fluxes. This might require sensors with large, optically-fast optics and/or conventional, high-spatial-resolution XCO_2 sensors combined with observation strategies employing image motion compensation to increase SNR.

In selected cases, coastal fluxes could be suitably monitored using a combination of ground-based and novel airborne eddy flux measurements paired with satellite-based data, such as XCO_2, or surface reflectance, as has been recently demonstrated for the Everglades region in Florida, USA (Doughty et al, 2026). Retrieval algorithms and atmospheric inverse models will also have to be optimized to fully exploit this diverse range of data sources. Purpose-built observational networks and analysis systems, optimized to quantify CO_2 and CH_4 fluxes over wetlands, inland water bodies, and coastal oceans are not yet included in any agency's plans. These methods would provide the core of a system for validating space-based estimates of coastal XCO_2 and CO_2 fluxes.

6.2.3 Validation of atmospheric GHG observations over the ocean

The accuracy of existing XCO_2 sensors over the ocean has been challenging to assess or improve in large part due to shortcomings of the existing, surface-based validation system. The design of the current system for validating space-based atmospheric GHG observations is largely focused on the validation of XCO_2 estimates over land (Wunch et al., 2011; Frey et al., 2019). This validation strategy has demonstrated accuracy better than 0.25% (~1 ppm). To produce meaningful space-based data on ocean carbon fluxes, the validation system's accuracy must be improved fivefold. This improvement does not require specific new technologies, but rather optimization of the validation networks over open ocean and coastal areas, enhancement of surface-based in situ and remote sensing data acquisition and analysis, and launching targeted validation campaigns. These activities would likely be most successful if co-funded and shared with the GHG Roadmap community.

Key priorities that would enable a step change include:

1. Adding more TCCON stations in regions that sample ocean environments and collection of AirCore profiles,
2. Routinely deploying portable, low-cost spectrometers (EM27/SUNs) on ships to take XCO_2 measurements over the open ocean (Klappenbech et al., 2015; Müller et al., 2021; Knapp et al., 2021),
3. Broader use of eddy covariance measurements on ships would enable more direct evaluation between atmospheric conditions and variability with that of ocean uptake (Ford et al., 2025),
4. Designing targeted validation campaigns to provide tiered observations from the ocean surface to the top of the atmosphere, i.e., collecting ocean surface measurements alongside marine boundary layer atmospheric measurements, and those relevant for the total atmospheric column,
5. Deploying traveling standards to improve mutual consistency across and among various monitoring networks.

6.3 Crosscutting collaboration to improve assessment of blue carbon ecosystem contributions

Blue carbon ecosystems play multiple roles in national greenhouse gas accounting, the Global Stocktake, Voluntary Carbon Markets, and in closing the carbon budget. Within the Agriculture, Forestry and Other Land Use (AFOLU) category of national greenhouse gas inventories, blue carbon ecosystems bridge both ‘forest’ and ‘wetland’ categories depending on the type of wetland as well as its structural, i.e., height, characteristics. The Global Stocktake and blue carbon ecosystems intersect in policies related to Nationally Determined Contributions, where countries feature the management of blue carbon as part of their pathway toward net zero emissions. Voluntary carbon markets have established several methodologies where the management of blue carbon ecosystems can be associated with carbon credits that provide financial incentives.

In each of the above cases, data are critical for measurements and monitoring. Collecting field data in blue carbon ecosystems is challenging given their extent, remoteness and challenging conditions. Modeling of carbon stocks, sequestration, and developing baselines for carbon markets is also challenging given uncertainties in scaling, and in socio-economic dynamics. As such, ambition for using blue carbon as

climate solutions is lagging the potential impact these systems could play in mitigating climate change. Satellite data could play a key role in advancing how blue carbon ecosystems are represented in AFOLU and REDD+. While the CEOS AFOLU roadmap does not specifically refer to blue carbon ecosystems, it does include wetlands which, in addition to mangroves, also encompasses peatlands. The AFOLU roadmap suggests the improvement in current EO approaches that would allow for better detection of different wetland types in order to assess changes related to GHG estimation, with a focus on the terrestrial. Improved mapping and detection of mangroves is a common goal for both the Aquatic Carbon and AFOLU roadmap, and the expansion to coastal blue carbon in the Aquatic Carbon Roadmap will result in complementary work that will contribute to multiple mutual goals. By combining hyperspectral, radar and lidar data, carbon sequestration and stock uncertainties can be reduced to better support emissions and removal estimates using gain-loss, stock-change, and modeling studies associated with Tier 3 reporting.

The AFOLU Roadmap also includes a set of recommendations from testing mangrove maps that could be of use for implementation of both Roadmaps. EOs need to have fairly high spatial resolution to avoid cross contamination with vegetation and water, and use wavelengths that can retrieve information on canopy chemistry, vegetation health, vegetation structure, and be able to penetrate shallow surface waters to characterize aquatic biomass.

Lateral flows of carbon in blue carbon ecosystems are outside the scope of AFOLU, but provide great complementarity to that Roadmap. This is also true for inland peatlands, flooded forests, and freshwater marshes. The quantification of lateral flows and carbon turnover from wetlands to estuaries to continental shelves is a key component in reconciling top-down and bottom-up carbon budgets used to understand the Earth system but also to make adjustments for national greenhouse gas inventories. While they remain challenging to quantify, this roadmap provides a path forward for closing that gap that is expected to provide benefits well beyond this current exercise.

6.4 Closing the land–aquatic–atmospheric carbon budget through lateral carbon transport

Another important place of intersection and opportunity for cross-roadmap integration are interfaces (Figure 1). Lateral transport of carbon from terrestrial ecosystems to inland waters, estuaries and the ocean, in the form of dissolved organic carbon (DOC), dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) and particulate carbon, represents a multi-GtC yr^{-1} component of the global carbon cycle. However, this flux is not fully represented or consistently constrained within either terrestrial or ocean carbon observing systems. Its magnitude and fate therefore constitute a major source of uncertainty when comparing bottom-up AFOLU carbon budgets with top-down atmospheric inversion estimates and aquatic carbon budgets.

A joint GHG–AFOLU–Aquatic Carbon activity should determine what combination of space-based observations, in situ measurements and models is required to quantify and constrain these lateral fluxes across the land–river–estuary–coastal ocean continuum. This use case would provide a particularly strong opportunity for cross-roadmap integration because the relevant carbon fluxes currently fall between established observing and modelling responsibilities and capabilities.

Key questions include:

- Can we reduce the uncertainties on the lateral transport of carbon among land ecosystems, ocean and atmospheric reservoirs? How is this lateral carbon flux changing due to human activity and climate change?
- Can we combine top-down and bottom-up methodologies with lateral transport to reconcile differences in net ecosystem exchange and provide a workflow for improved integration of atmospheric data within estimates of emissions and removals for the Agriculture, Forestry and Other Land Use sector?

6.5 Integrating ocean observations and models into global atmospheric GHG inversions

Another cross-roadmap priority includes bridging the gap between observationalists, ocean biogeochemistry modellers, and the inversion community. Ocean biogeochemical models and inverse modeling systems are increasingly being used together to understand and quantify the ocean carbon cycle and ecosystem change, but often these communities operate with different objectives, methodologies, and assumptions. Establishing a direct pathway through which ocean observations can be used to reduce uncertainty in top-down GHG budgets, and where ocean carbon fluxes estimated from bottom-up observations and ocean biogeochemical models can be co-designed with the atmospheric inversion community would result in a more efficient integration of process-based models, observations, and data assimilation techniques. To this end, a cross biogeochemical model and observation and atmospheric inversion development programme could be designed to bring together these three communities to establish common requirements and understanding, leading to accelerated advances. Possible areas of collaboration include:

- Identification of key uncertainties in ocean carbon, nutrient, oxygen, and ecosystem dynamics
- Observational constraints, including surface and interior ocean observations available, including Biogeochemical Argo, ship-based measurements, remote sensing, and emerging observing systems
- Representation of Biogeochemical Processes across communities
- Inverse Methods and Data Assimilation
- Carbon Cycle Applications, including air-sea CO_2 fluxes, ocean carbon uptake and storage, and trends and variability

The outcome would be a two-way information flow where atmospheric inversions identify regions and processes where ocean constraints are most needed, while improved ocean observations and models could provide better prior information and independent constraints for the inversions. This effort could also serve as a forum for exploration of emerging opportunities such as digital twins, AI and machine learning applications, and identification of areas of opportunity for observing networks and data streams.

7.0 Expanding visibility, utilisation and capacity development

7.1 Communicating the science of the changing marine carbon to policy makers

Authors: Helen Findlay, Luke Gregor, Jamie Shutler

Earth observation data are now fundamental for all global scale ocean carbon assessments that are used to guide policy decisions and those used to assess the carbonate health of the ocean e.g., all observation-based assessments within Friedlingstein et al. (2025) and its annual updates. But the use or critical reliance on Earth observation data is often opaque to policymakers (Shutler et al., 2024) and potential end users may not be aware of how to access or use these assessments. This section introduces an example of how Earth observation-based assessments are being used to communicate the science and declining health of the oceans.

The ESA-OceanSODA project developed the OceanSODA-ETHZ product, an EO-based dataset that supports studies of ocean acidification (Gregor et al., 2021). To make these data accessible beyond the scientific community, the project also invested in tools that translate complex measurements into clear messages, with two notable communication products. The OceanAcidificationStripes (OAstripes; Figure 5), inspired by the Warming Stripes, provide striking visual summaries of four decades of decline in surface ocean pH and aragonite saturation state. And, an online viewer further allows users to explore maps and regional statistics, offering tailored insights for stakeholders interested in specific areas.

These products were taken up by communities that bridge science and policy. The OAstripes in particular have been adopted by the GOA-ON community, and by the Ocean Acidification Alliance (OA Alliance). Their use has extended beyond science communication into direct engagement with decision-makers.




Figure 5 An adapted image of the Ocean Acidification Stripes for pH in the equatorial Pacific – a region of high interannual pH variability.

As a result, the OAstripes and related materials have gained visibility at major international events, including UNFCCC COP meetings (2022, 2023, 2024), the UN Ocean Conference in Lisbon (2022). At the 2025 UN Ocean Conference, the OA Alliance premiered Carbon in the Current, a 3D artistic animation that conveyed the threat of ocean acidification to wider audiences. OceanSODA data were also featured in high-profile outlets such as an Economist Impact article. The ESA OceanSODA project's success in reaching a wider audience does not come from compelling communication products alone. It has been rooted in the project's integration with a scientific community that actively engages with policy, and in direct connections with governmental and management stakeholders. Together, these elements have ensured that OceanSODA is not only a research product, but a platform for communicating the changing marine carbon system to those shaping decisions.

7.2 Utilisation and capacity development

Over the past decade, aquatic carbon has been viewed as a "background sink." However, this perception has been evolving as new technology and scientific approaches enable the measurement of its dynamic behaviour and composition, and its importance in the overall context of global carbon accounting and climate regulation. Indeed, the carbon stored in the ocean is roughly 50 times greater than what is currently in the atmosphere, and for it to be duly utilized in decision making and the blue economy, including planning, forecasting, geoengineering, mitigation, and carbon markets, it is necessary to obtain, with fit for purpose uncertainty, the type and permanence of different carbon pools, and their relative importance to the overall global carbon stocktake. For example, advancing the ability to derive robust estimations of carbon fluxes in blue carbon ecosystems using EO would enable the use of such data in carbon markets, including offsets and carbon credits.

The need to present scientific results in a manner that is useful for stakeholders and decision makers is not a new recommendation (e.g. GCOS 2016, Michalak et al., 2011) but remains critical so that scientific findings are useful for planning and execution. Understanding the format and type of information, who is performing the management (e.g. local authorities, water basin districts, coastal municipalities, etc.), and the uncertainty that needs to be associated with the products, remains high priority. While managers and decision makers have a clearer understanding of what they need, further development of analysis-ready data and cloud-based platforms tailored specifically for the managers is fundamental, as is their continued work with scientists.

Various national and international agencies and organizations support capacity building for carbon flux estimation methodologies, including the use of compatible methods and translatable measurements (e.g. U.S. Greenhouse Gas Measurement, Monitoring, and Information System (US GHGMMIS, 2023)); these are critical for advancing research and modeling, but current efforts are not enough. Capacity development for field and remote sensing measurements remains necessary, leveraging existing time-series networks and well-established groups. This is especially important for the Global South, where learning to utilize open-source tools to process satellite data for region-specific applications, for example NDCs, is important to meet national priorities. It also remains important to account for the necessary IT-related infrastructure that enables the analysis of EO and in situ data, and support interoperability of new data (U.S. GHGMMIS, 2023).

Shared Socioeconomic Pathways (SSPs) scenarios predict that the ocean will continue to absorb carbon through 2050, current ocean models have uncertainty gaps of 10–20% for those predictions. Such uncertainties yield materially different outcomes with respect to target attainment and downstream impacts on aquatic systems. Specifically, shifts in ecosystem resilience – driven by climate variability – can alter a system's capacity for carbon sequestration, either amplifying or diminishing its effectiveness. Narrowing these model uncertainties would strengthen the reliability and applicability of predictive outputs, ultimately supporting more informed and robust scenario planning.

Ensuring that interdisciplinary and cross-disciplinary studies continue and expand remains just as critical to account for the different systems through which, and by which, aquatic carbon is transformed in the Earth system. Most importantly, however, is the addition of the human dimension to carbon cycle research. Social and political landscapes can impact the Earth system significantly, and added pressures from human expansion or purposeful management practices are expected to influence the distribution and health of important aquatic systems, such as blue carbon ecosystems. For example, Campbell et al. (2022) conducted a comprehensive salt marsh change analysis and found that anthropogenic marsh conversion varied globally; in countries where protection for salt marshes was effective, loss due to urbanization was low. The largest driver of marsh loss was climate change, with increased hurricane landfall and intensity, sea level rise, and coastal erosion. Warmer climates will also extend the range of mangroves (Gramoso et al., 2026) poleward, encroaching into salt marsh ecosystems. While mangrove encroachment would increase carbon sequestration, the ecological implications of the ecosystem shift, and the impact to their services, are not well understood.

8.0 Forward look

This roadmap identifies key scientific priority areas that will help advance observations and quantification of aquatic carbon stocks and fluxes, critical in the understanding of the integrated Earth System and its elemental cycling. These priorities will also help ensure the services provided by aquatic ecosystems – economic growth, security, and safety - can continue to benefit humans for years to come. The roadmap underscores the important role of EOs, which afford a unique vantage point, and the only means to observe aquatic ecosystem components globally. The roadmap also provides a powerful set of example applications that illustrate how EO will continue to help a varied set of stakeholders at local, regional, and global levels. However, there is more to do.

Beyond the list of priority areas and areas of opportunity listed throughout the roadmap, there remain specific elements that are missing or need more attention in the future; they may be underdeveloped in this document because they are emerging, or the technology is not mature yet to address the topic, or because there are more urgent priorities that required the focus of the roadmap. However, this document is not meant to be a final statement, but rather the beginning of a journey.

Elements to be addressed in the future include (see also Table 1):

- Going beyond CO_2: The roadmap should be expanded to consider other greenhouse gases beyond CO_2, explicitly including methane (CH_4) and nitrous oxide (N_2O), particularly in inland, coastal, and wetland systems where uncertainties, and their importance as GHG contributors, remain high. For example, combining high-resolution inundation mapping (SAR/optical) with atmospheric GHG sensors (TROPOMI, upcoming CO2M) may help tackle aquatic methane emissions.
- Including ecosystem functioning: Future efforts must move beyond carbon stocks to better represent ecosystem function, including biodiversity, trophic dynamics, and carbon flow through aquatic systems. This is essential for understanding resilience, feedbacks, and long-term sequestration. For instance, Blue carbon ecosystems shall be framed as both Essential Climate Variables and Essential Biodiversity Variables, connecting to Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework reporting.
- Addressing the impact of extreme events on the aquatic carbon cycle: The impact of extreme (marine heatwaves, deoxygenation episodes, extreme runoff events) and transient (floods, droughts) events on aquatic carbon cycle needs to be further addressed, together with the development of early warning indicators of abrupt changes in carbon cycling and tipping points.
- Investigating Cryosphere-aquatic interactions: Cryosphere–aquatic carbon interactions, including permafrost thaw, glacial meltwaters, and polar inland waters remain underexplored in this roadmap and would require targeted observational and modelling strategies.
- Policy Latency: In order to be actionable, products milestones should be explicitly aligned with specific legal/regulatory needs (e.g. mapped to GST-2 (~2028), GST-3 (~2033), NDC/BTR submission cycles, and the IPCC AR7 window).

- Leveraging Artificial Intelligence: The transformative role of artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) needs to be further leveraged. With rapidly increasing data volumes and complexity, AI will be critical to fuse heterogeneous datasets (satellite, in situ, models), retrieve complex or non-linear relationships between variables, detect anomalies, regime shifts, and early warning signals and quantify and propagate uncertainties in high-dimensional systems. Future efforts must also address explainability, reproducibility, and physical consistency of AI-driven approaches to ensure scientific credibility and policy trust.
- Marine carbon dioxide removal (mCDR): While marine carbon dioxide removal (mCDR) is mentioned in the current roadmap, it requires further attention especially as society develops and explores the potential of diverse mCDR approaches. These activities raise important ethical and governance questions that need to be addressed, and EO-based carbon information will be critical to evaluate scientific output, societal needs, and ethical/policy frameworks to validate safety and efficacy. Efforts should be focused on scientific understanding of the impact of mCDR on the marine environment and the aquatic carbon cycle itself.
- Including the human dimension: Increased attention should be given to the human dimensions influencing aquatic carbon dynamics. Future perspectives should consider impacts of land use, water management, aquaculture, and urbanization on aquatic carbon fluxes, socio-economic drivers of management or change in coastal and inland systems and how they impact aquatic carbon cycling. The integration of human activity data into Earth system analyses is particularly important for linking observations to mitigation and adaptation strategies.
- Other Aquatic Systems: Certain systems like flood plains, macroalgae such as Sargassum, and benthic ecosystems like reefs, were not included or very briefly mentioned in the roadmap though their contributions towards the aquatic carbon cycle has been recognized and requires further evaluation.
- Societal alignment and Economic Valuation: Gaps persist between scientific results and stakeholders' needs for execution of activities related to carbon management. These needs are expected to continue to evolve in the future as society continues to grapple with mitigation of emissions and adaptation in a changing planet. Future roadmap activities must continue to address uncertainty of measures relevant to managers and decision-makers, and further activities that link aquatic carbon data to monetary or economic values so as to accelerate and improve incorporation of aquatic carbon into global, regional, and local priorities.
- Scalable Monitoring: A key future action will be aligning mature Earth Observation (EO) products that address aquatic carbon priorities with specific Global Stocktake (GST) requirements, including consistent definitions and scalability from national to global levels. This will require broadening the adoption of products and the incorporation of aquatic environments (e.g. the ocean) in the stocktake.

9.0 References

Arkema, K. K. et al. Evidence-based target setting informs blue carbon strategies for nationally determined contributions. Nat. Ecol. Evol. 7, 1045–1059 (2023).

Arrigo, K.R., van Dijken, G.L., & Strong, A.L. 2020. Environmental controls of marine productivity hot spots around Antarctica. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 125(1), e2019JC015542. https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JC015542

Bakker, D. C. E., Pfeil, B. Landa, C. S., Metzl, N., O'Brien, K. M., Isen, A., Smith, K., Cosca, C., Harasawa, S., et al. 2016. A multi-decade record of high quality fCO_2 data in version 3 of the Surface Ocean CO_2 Atlas (SOCAT). Earth System Science Data 8: 383-413. doi:10.5194/essd-8-383-2016.

Barange, M., Butenschön, M., Yool, A., Beaumont, N., Fernandes, J.A., Martin, A.P., and Allen, J.I. 2017. The Cost of Reducing the North Atlantic Ocean Biological Carbon Pump. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 3. doi: https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2016.00290

Bastos, A., Ciais, P., Sitch, S. et al. 2022. On the use of Earth Observation to support estimates of national greenhouse gas emissions and sinks for the Global stocktake process: lessons learned from ESA-CCI RECCAP2. Carbon Balance Manage 17, 15. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13021-022-00214-w

Behrenfeld, M.J., Hu, Y., O'Malley, R.T., Boss, E.S., Hostetler, C.A., Siegel, D.A., Sarmiento, J.L., Schulien, J., Hair, J.W., Lu, X., Rodier, S., Scarino, A.J., 2017. Annual boom–bust cycles of polar phytoplankton biomass revealed by space-based lidar. Nat Geosci 10, 118–122. https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2861

Behrenfeld, M.J., Gaube, P., Della Penna, A., O'Malley, R.T., Burt, W.J., Hu, Y., Bontempi, P.S., Steinberg, D.K., Boss, E.S., Siegel, D.A., Hostetler, C.A., Tortell, P.D., Doney, S.C. 2019. Global satellite-observed daily vertical migrations of ocean animals. Nature 576, 257–261. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1796-9

Behrenfeld, M.J., Lorenzoni, L., Hu, Y., Bisson, K.M., Hostetler, C.A., Di Girolamo, P., Dionisi, D., Longo, F., Zoffoli, S., 2023. Satellite Lidar Measurements as a Critical New Global Ocean Climate Record. Remote Sens (Basel) 15, 5567. https://doi.org/10.3390/rs15235567

Bellacicco, M., Marullo, S., Dall'Olmo, G. et al. 2025. The oceanic physical injection pump of organic carbon. Nat Commun 16, 7100. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-025-62363-z

Bisson, K., Werdell, P., Chase, A., Kramer, S., Cael, B., Boss, E., McKinna, L., Behrenfeld, M., 2023. Informing ocean color inversion products by seeding with ancillary observations. Opt Express 31, 40557. https://doi.org/10.1364/OE.503496

Boyd, P.W., Claustre, H., Levy, M., Siegel, D.A. and Weber, T. 2019. Multi-faceted particle

pumps drive carbon sequestration in the ocean. *Nature*, 568 (7752), pp.327–335. doi:https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1098-2.

Bracher, A., Bouman, H.A., Brewin, R.J., Bricaud, A., Brotas, V., Ciotti, A.M., Clementson, L., Devred, E., Di Cicco, A., Dutkiewicz, S. and Hardman-Mountford, N.J. 2017. Obtaining phytoplankton diversity from ocean color: a scientific roadmap for future development. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 4, p.55.

Brewin, R.J.W., Sathyendranath, S., Platt, T., Bouman, H., Ciavatta, S., Dall'Olmo, G., Dingle, J., Groom, S., Jönsson, B., Kostadinov, T.S., Kulk, G., Laine, M., Martinez-Vicente, V., Psarra, S., Raitsos, D.E., Richardson, K., Rio, M.-H., Rousseaux, C.S., Salisbury, J. and Shutler, J.D. 2021. Sensing the ocean biological carbon pump from space: A review of capabilities, concepts, research gaps and future developments. *Earth-Science Reviews*, 217, p.103604. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2021.103604.

Brewin, R.J.W., Sathyendranath, S., Kulk, G., Rio, M.-H., Concha, J.A., Bell, T.G., Bracher, A., Fichot, C., et al. 2023. Ocean carbon from space: Current status and priorities for the next decade. Earth Sci Rev 240. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2023.104386

Bunting, P., Rosenqvist, A., Hilarides, L., Lucas, R.M., Thomas, N., Tadono, T., Worthington, T.A., Spalding, M., Murray, N.J. & Rebelo, L.-M. 2022 Global Mangrove Extent Change 1996–2020: Global Mangrove Watch Version 3.0. *Remote Sensing*, 14, 3657. https://doi.org/10.3390/rs14153657

Byrne, B., Baker, D. F., Basu, S., et al. 2023. National CO_2 budgets (2015–2020) inferred from atmospheric CO_2 observations in support of the global stocktake. Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 15, 963–1004. doi: 10.5194/essd-15-963-2023

Cael, B.B., Bisson, K., Boss, E., Dutkiewicz, S., Henson, S., 2023a. Global climate-change trends detected in indicators of ocean ecology. Nature 619, 551–554. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-023-06321-z

Cael, B.B., Bisson, K., Boss, E., Erickson, Z.K., 2023b. How many independent quantities can be extracted from ocean color? Limnol Oceanogr Lett 8, 603–610. https://doi.org/10.1002/lol2.10319

Campbell, A.D., Fatoyinbo, L., Goldberg, L. et al. 2022. Global hotspots of salt marsh change and carbon emissions. *Nature* 612, 701–706. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-05355-z

Cao, F., and Tzortziou, M. 2021. Capturing dissolved organic carbon dynamics with Landsat-8 and Sentinel-2 in tidally influenced wetland–estuarine systems. Science of the Total Environment, 777. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.145910.

Cao, F., Tzortziou, M., Hu, C., Mannino, A., Fichot, C. G., Del Vecchio, R., Najjar, R. G., & Novak, M. 2018. Remote sensing retrievals of colored dissolved organic matter and dissolved organic carbon dynamics in North American estuaries and their margins. Remote Sensing of Environment, 205, 151–165. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2017.11.014

Carrea, L.; Crétaux, J.-F.; Liu, X.; Wu, Y.; Bergé-Nguyen, M.; Calmettes, B.; Duguay, C.; Jiang, D.; Merchant, C.J.; Mueller, D.; Selmes, N.; Simis, S.; Spyrakos, E.; Stelzer, K.; Warren, M.; Yesou, H.; Zhang, D. 2024: ESA Lakes Climate Change Initiative (Lakes_cci): Lake products, Version 2.1. NERC EDS Centre for Environmental Data Analysis, 04 April 2024. doi:10.5285/7fc9df8070d34cacab8092e45ef276f1.

ESA Lakes Climate Change Initiative (Lakes_cci): Lake products, Version 2.1. https://dx.doi.org/10.5285/7fc9df8070d34cacab8092e45ef276f1

CEOS 2014. CEOS Carbon Strategy Report (https://ceos.org/home-2/the-ceos-carbon-strategy-space-satellites/).

CEOS 2023. CEOS strategy for carbon cycle observations. Committee on Earth Observation Satellites.

Cetinić, I., Rousseaux, C.S., Carroll, I.T., Chase, A.P., Kramer, S.J., Werdell, P.J., Siegel, D.A., Dierssen, H.M., et al., 2024. Phytoplankton composition from sPACE: Requirements, opportunities, and challenges. Remote Sens Environ 302, 113964. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2023.113964

Chai, F., Johnson, K.S., Claustre, H., Xing, X., Wang, Y., Boss, E., Riser, S., Fennel, K., Schofield, O., Sutton, A., 2020. Monitoring ocean biogeochemistry with autonomous platforms. Nat Rev Earth Environ 1, 315–326. https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-020-0053-y

Chandra, G., Ochieng, E., Tieszen, L., Zhu, Z., Singh, A., Loveland, T., Masek, J. & Duke, N. 2010. Status and distribution of mangrove forest of the world using earth observation satellite data. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 20, pp.154–159. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1466-8238.2010.00584.x

Chatterjee, A., Gierach, M.M., Sutton, A.J., Feely, R.A., Crisp, D., Eldering, A., Gunson, M.R., O'Dell, C.W., Stephens, B.B. and Schimel, D.S. 2017. Influence of El Niño on atmospheric CO2 over the tropical Pacific Ocean: Findings from NASA's OCO-2 mission. Science, 358(6360), p.eaam5776.

Chen, L., Moeller, I., Zhou, Z., Hu, Z., Zhang, Y., Chu, M., Jia, Y., Townend, I. & Zhang, C. 2024. Seasonal biophysical interactions in tidal marsh evolution: insights from a synchronized dataset in Jiangsu, China. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 11, 1469307. ttps://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2024.1469307

Cherukuru, N., Ford, P.W., Matearb, R.J., Oubelkheir, K., Clementson, L.A., Suber, K., Steven, A.D.L. 2016. Estimating dissolved organic carbon concentration in turbid coastal waters using optical remote sensing observations, International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation, 52, 149-154, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jag.2016.06.010

ChunHock, S., Cherukuru, N., Mujahid, A., Martin, P., Sanwlani, N., Warneke, T., Rixen, T., Notholt, J., & Müller, M. 2020. A new remote sensing method to estimate river to ocean DOC flux in peatland dominated Sarawak coastal regions, Borneo. Remote Sensing, 12(20), 3380.

https://doi.org/10.3390/rs12203380

Ciais, P., *et al.* 2022. Empirical estimates of regional carbon budgets imply reduced land carbon uptake. Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 36(3), e2021GB007075. https://doi.org/10.1029/2021GB007075

Clark, J., Schaeffer, B., Darling, J., Urquhart, E., Johnston, J. M., Ignatius, A., Myer, M. H., Loftin, K. A., Werdell, P. J., & Stumpf, R. P. 2017. Satellite monitoring of cyanobacterial harmful algal bloom frequency in recreational waters and drinking water sources. Ecological Indicators, 80, 84–95. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2017.04.046

Clarke, K., Hennessy, A., McGrath, A. et al. 2021. Using hyperspectral imagery to investigate large-scale seagrass cover and genus distribution in a temperate coast. *Scientific Reports*, 11, 4182. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-83728-63

Claustre, H., Johnson, K.S., Takeshita, Y., 2020. Observing the Global Ocean with Biogeochemical-Argo. Ann Rev Mar Sci 12, 23–48. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-marine-010419-010956

Claustre, H., Legendre, L., Boyd, P.W., Levy, M. 2021. The Oceans' Biological Carbon Pumps: Framework for a Research Observational Community Approach. Front Mar Sci 8. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2021.780052

Cole, J.J., Prairie, Y.T., Caraco, N.F. et al. 2017. Plumbing the Global Carbon Cycle: Integrating Inland Waters into the Terrestrial Carbon Budget. Ecosystems 10, 172–185. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-006-9013-8

Costa Rica. 2020. Contribución Nacionalmente Determinada (NDC) 2020. Ministerio del Ambiente, Dirección de Cambio Climático. 113p.

Costanza, R., de Groot, R., Sutton, P., van der Ploeg, S., Anderson, S. J., Kubiszewski, I., Farber, S., & Turner, R. K. 2014. Changes in the global value of ecosystem services. Global Environmental Change, 26, pp.152–158. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2014.04.002

Das, S., Kiel, M., Laughner, J., Osterman, G., O'Dell, C. W., Taylor, T. E., et al. 2025. Comparisons of the v11.1 Orbiting Carbon Observatory-2 (OCO-2) XCO_2 measurements with GGG2020 TCCON. Earth and Space Science, 12, e2024EA003935. https://doi.org/10.1029/2024EA003935

Dierssen, H.M., Gierach, M., Guild, L.S., Mannino, A., Salisbury, J., Schollaert Uz, S., Scott, J., Townsend, P.A., Turpie, K., Tzortziou, M. and Urquhart, E. 2023. Synergies between NASA's hyperspectral aquatic missions PACE, GLIMR, and SBG: Opportunities for new science and applications. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, *128*(10), p.e2023JG007574.

C.L. Doughty, Q. Ying, E. Ward, E. Delaria, G.M. Wolfe, S.L. Malone, D.E. Reed, T. Troxler, J.S. Kominoski et al. 2026. Compounded effects on wetland greenhouse gas fluxes from climate change and water management along a saline to freshwater gradient, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.

U.S.A. 123 (8) e2513685123, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2513685123.

Duan, H., Feng, L., Ma, R., Zhang, Y. and Loiselle, S.A. 2014. Variability of particulate organic carbon in inland waters observed from MODIS Aqua imagery. Environmental Research Letters, *9*(8), p.084011.

Emmet-Booth, J., Macreadie, P., Carnell, P., Baldock, J., Vlassova, L., Horwitz, P., ... & Ewers Lewis, C. 2020. Blue Carbon in Australia's National Greenhouse Gas Inventory. Australian Government, Department of Industry, Science, Energy and Resources

Erftemeijer, P., Gils, J., Fernandes, M., Daly, R., van der Heijden, L. & Herman, P. 2023. Habitat suitability modelling to improve understanding of seagrass loss and recovery and to guide decisions in relation to coastal discharge. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 186, 114370. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2022.114370

ESA (2024): Earth Science in Action for Tomorrow's World Earth Observation Science Strategy, European Space Agency, 42pp, October 2024

Fay, A.R., McKinley, G.A., & Lovenduski, N.S. 2021. Southern Ocean carbon trends: Sensitivity to climate variability and forcing. Geophysical Research Letters, 48(9), e2020GL091058. https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GL091058

Feely, R., Doney, S. and Cooley, S. 2009. Ocean Acidification: Present Conditions and Future Changes in a High-CO2 World. *Oceanography*, [online] 22(4), pp.36–47. doi:https://doi.org/10.5670/oceanog.2009.95.

Feely, R.A. 2004. Impact of Anthropogenic CO_2 on the $CaCO_3$ System in the Oceans. *Science*, [online] 305(5682), pp.362–366. doi:https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1097329.

Fichot, C., Tzortziou, Mannino, A., 2023. Remote sensing of dissolved organic carbon (DOC) stocks, fluxes and transformations along the land-ocean aquatic continuum: advances, challenges, and opportunities, *Earth-Science Reviews*, 242(2):104446.

Floyd, M., East, H.K., Traganos, D. et al. 2024 Rapid seagrass meadow expansion in an Indian Ocean bright spot. *Scientific Reports*, 14, 10879. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-61088-1

Ford, D. J., Blannin, J., Watts, J., Watson, A. J., Landschützer, P., Jersild, A., & Shutler, J. D. 2024. A Comprehensive Analysis of Air-Sea CO_2 Flux Uncertainties Constructed From Surface Ocean Data Products. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, *38*(11), e2024GB008188. https://doi.org/10.1029/2024GB008188

Ford, D.J., Shutler, J.D., Blanco-Sacristán, J., Corrigan, S., Bell, T.G., Yang, M., Kitidis, V., Nightingale, P.D., Brown, I., Wimmer, W. and Woolf, D.K., 2024. Enhanced ocean CO_2 uptake due to near-surface temperature gradients. Nature Geoscience, 17(11), pp.1135-1140.

Frey, M., et al. 2019 Building the COllaborative Carbon Column Observing Network (COCCON): long-term stability and ensemble performance of the EM27/SUN Fourier transform spectrometer. Atmospheric Measurement Technologies, 12, 1513–1530. doi:

10.5194/amt-12-1513-2019.

Friedlingstein, P., *et al.* 2023. Global Carbon Budget 2023. Earth System Science Data, 15, 5301–5369. https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-15-5301-2023

Friedlingstein, P., O'Sullivan, M., Jones, M. W., Andrew, R. M., Hauck, J., Landschützer, P., Le Quéré, C., Li, H., Luijkx, I. T., Olsen, A., & Peters, G. P. 2024. Global carbon budget 2024. Earth System Science Data Discussions, 2024, 1–133. https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-2024-341

Friedlingstein, P., O'Sullivan, M., Jones, M.W., Andrew, R.M., Hauck, J., Landschützer, P., Le Quéré, C., et al. 2025. Global Carbon Budget 2024. Earth Syst Sci Data 17, 965–1039. https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-17-965-2025

GCOS 2016. Global Climate Observing System (GCOS), 2016. *The Global Observing System for Climate: Implementation Needs*. GCOS-200. World Meteorological Organization (WMO), Geneva.

GCOS 2022. Global Climate Observing System implementation plan 2022. World Meteorological Organization, GCOS-244.

GFOI 2025. Guidance for integrating blue carbon into national greenhouse gas inventories. Global Forest Observations Initiative. https://www.reddcompass.org/mgd/resources/GFOI_BlueCarbon_Guidance_20250201.pdf

GHG Inventory Costa Rica. 2024. Inventario Nacional de emisiones por fuentes y absorción por sumideros de Gases Efecto Invernadero. Costa Rica, 1990-2021. Instituto Meteorológico Nacional. 232 p. https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/DIN%201990-2021%20%286%29.pdf

U.S. GHGMMIS 2023. Greenhouse Gas Monitoring and Measurement Interagency Working Group (GHG IWG), 2023. *National Strategy to Advance an Integrated U.S. Greenhouse Gas Measurement, Monitoring, and Information System*. Office of Science and Technology Policy, The White House, Washington, DC, 70 pp.

Google. 2026. Gemini 3. https://gemini.google.com/ Used for parts of Sec. 2.3 and Sec. 8.

Gramoso, L. E., Castagno, K. A., Kennedy, J. J., & Feller, I. C. 2026. Climate change drives the poleward expansion of mangroves along the Atlantic coast of North America. Global Change Biology, 32(1), e70676. doi.org

Gregor, L. and Gruber, N. 2021. OceanSODA-ETHZ: a global gridded data set of the surface ocean carbonate system for seasonal to decadal studies of ocean acidification, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 13, 777–808, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-13-777-2021.

Gregg, W.W., 2008. Assimilation of SeaWiFS ocean chlorophyll data into a three-dimensional global ocean model. Journal of Marine Systems 69, 205–225. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2006.02.015

Gregg, W.W., Casey, N.W., Rousseaux, C.S., 2014. Sensitivity of simulated global ocean carbon flux estimates to forcing by reanalysis products. Ocean Model (Oxf) 80, 24–35. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocemod.2014.05.002

Griscom, B.W., *et al.* 2017. Natural climate solutions. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 114(44), 11645–11650. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1710465114

Groom, S., Sathyendranath, S., Ban, Y., Bernard, S., Brewin, R., Brotas, V., Brockmann, C., Chauhan, P., et al. 2019. Satellite Ocean Colour: Current Status and Future Perspective. Front Mar Sci 6. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2019.00485

Gruber, N., Clement, D., Carter, B. R., Feely, R. A., van Heuven, S., Hoppema, M., Ishii, M., Key, R. M., Kozyr, A., Lauvset, S. K., Lo Monaco, C., Mathis, J. T., Murata, A., Olsen, A., Perez, F. F., Sabine, C. L., Tanhua, T., and Wanninkhof, R. 2019. The oceanic sink for anthropogenic CO_2 from 1994 to 2007. Science, 363(6432), 1193-1199. DOI: 10.1126/science.aau5153

Gruber, N., Bakker, D.C.E., DeVries, T., Gregor, L., Hauck, J., Landschützer, P., McKinley, G.A. and Müller, J.D. 2023. Trends and variability in the ocean carbon sink. *Nature Reviews Earth & Environment*, 4(2), pp.119–134. doi:https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-022-00381-x.

Guan, Y., McKinley, G.A., Fay, A.R., Doney, S.C. and Keppel-Aleks, G., 2024. Ocean-driven interannual variability in atmospheric CO_2 quantified using OCO-2 observations and atmospheric transport simulations. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, *11*, p.1272415.

Hansell, D.A. 2013. Recalcitrant Dissolved Organic Carbon Fractions. *Annual Review of Marine Science*, 5(1), pp.421–445. doi:https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-marine-120710-100757.

Hansell, D.A. and Carlson, C.A. 2013. Localized refractory dissolved organic carbon sinks in the deep ocean. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 27(3), pp.705–710. doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/gbc.20067.

Harkort, L. and Duan, Z., 2023. Estimation of dissolved organic carbon from inland waters at a large scale using satellite data and machine learning methods. *Water Research*, *229*, p.119478.

Hauck, J., Nissen, C., Landschützer, P., Rödenbeck, C., Bushinsky, S., & Olsen, A. 2023. Sparse observations induce large biases in estimates of the global ocean CO_2 sink: an ocean model subsampling experiment. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, *381*(2249), 20220063. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2022.0063

Hawkings, J. R., Wadham, J. L., Tranter, M., Raiswell, R., Benning, L. G., Statham, P. J., Tedstone, A., Nienow, P., Lee, K., and Telling, J. 2014. Ice sheets as a significant source of highly reactive nanoparticulate iron to the oceans. Nature Communications, 5(1), 3929. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms4929

Hedges, J.I. 1992. Global biogeochemical cycles: progress and problems. *Marine Chemistry*,

[online] 39(1-3), pp.67–93. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-4203(92)90096-s.

Heinze, C., Meyer, S., Goris, N., Anderson, L., Steinfeldt, R., Chang, N., Le Quéré, C. and Bakker, D.C.E. 2015. The ocean carbon sink – impacts, vulnerabilities and challenges. *Earth System Dynamics*, [online] 6(1), pp.327–358. doi:https://doi.org/10.5194/esd-6-327-2015.

Henson, S., Beaulieu, C., Ilyina, T. et al. 2017. Rapid emergence of climate change in environmental drivers of marine ecosystems. *Nat Commun* 8, 14682. ttps://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms14682

Hollmann, R., Merchant, C.J., Saunders, R., Downy, C., Buchwitz, M., Cazenave, A., Chuvieco, E., Defourny, P., de Leeuw, G., Forsberg, R., Holzer-Popp, T., Paul, F., Sandven, S., Sathyendranath, S., van Roozendael, M., Wagner, W., 2013. The ESA Climate Change Initiative: Satellite Data Records for Essential Climate Variables. Bull Am Meteorol Soc 94, 1541–1552. https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-11-00254.1

Holmquist, J. R., Klinges, D., Lonneman, M., Wolfe, J., Boyd, B., Eagle, M., Sanderman, J., et al. 2024. The Coastal Carbon Library and Atlas: Open source soil data and tools supporting blue carbon research and policy. *Global Change Biology*, 30, (1). https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.17098.

Holmquist, J.R., Windham-Myers, L., Bliss, N. *et al.* 2018. Accuracy and Precision of Tidal Wetland Soil Carbon Mapping in the Conterminous United States. *Sci Rep* 8, 9478. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-26948-7

Hopkins, J., Henson, S.A., Poulton, A.J. and Balch, W.M. 2019. Regional Characteristics of the Temporal Variability in the Global Particulate Inorganic Carbon Inventory. Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 33(11), pp.1328–1338. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2019gb006300.

Hopwood, M. J., Carroll, D., Browning, T. J., Meire, L., Mortensen, J., Krisch, S., & Achterberg, E. P. 2018. Nonlinear response of summertime marine productivity to increased meltwater discharge around Greenland. Nature Communications, 9(1), 3256. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-05677-1

Hopwood, M. J., Carroll, D., Dunse, T., Hodson, A., Holding, J. M., Iriarte, J. L., Ribeiro, S., Achterberg, E. P., Cantoni, C., Carlson, D. F., & Chierici, M. 2020. How does glacier discharge affect marine biogeochemistry and primary production in the Arctic? The Cryosphere, 14(4), 1347–1383. https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-14-1347- 2020

Howard, J., et al. 2017. Clarifying the role of coastal and marine systems in climate mitigation. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment, 15(1), 42–50. https://doi.org/10.1002/fee.1451

IOCCG 2010. Atmospheric Correction for Remotely-Sensed Ocean-Colour Products. Wang, M. (ed.), Reports of the International Ocean-Colour Coordinating Group, No. 10, IOCCG, Dartmouth, Canada.

IOCCG 2014. Phytoplankton functional types from space. Reports of the International Ocean Colour Coordinating Group, No. 15.

IPCC 2006. IPCC 2006 Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories. Volume 4 on Agriculture, Forests and Other Land Uses (AFOLU) https://www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp/public/2006gl/vol4.html

IPCC 2013. IPCC 2013 Supplement to the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories: Wetlands. (Hiraishi, T., Krug, T., Tanabe, K., Srivastava, N., Baasansuren, J., Fukuda, M. and Troxler, T.G. (eds)). Published: IPCC, Switzerland. https://www.ipcc.ch/publication/2013-supplement-to-the-2006-ipcc-guidelines-fornational-greenhouse-gas-inventories-wetlands/

IPCC 2019. 2019 Refinement to the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories.

IPCC 2021. Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report. Cambridge University Press.

Iversen, M.H., 2023. Carbon export in the ocean: A biologist's perspective. Annual Review of Marine Science, 15(1), pp.357-381.https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-marine-032122-035153

Jamet, C, Ibrahim, A, Ahmad, Z, Angelini, F, Babin, M, Behrenfeld, M.J, Boss, E, Cairns, B, et al. 2019. Going Beyond Standard Ocean Color Observations: Lidar and Polarimetry. Front. Mar. Sci. 6:251. doi: 10.3389/fmars.2019.00251

Jiang, G., Loiselle, S.A., Yang, D., Gao, C., Ma, R., Su, W. and Duan, H., 2019. An absorption-specific approach to examining dynamics of particulate organic carbon from VIIRS observations in inland and coastal waters. Remote Sensing of Environment, 224, pp.29-43.

Jönsson, B.F., Kulk, G. and Shubha Sathyendranath. 2023. Review of algorithms estimating export production from satellite derived properties. Frontiers in Marine Science, 10. doi:https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2023.1149938.

Kaushal, N., Sanwlani, N., Tanzil, J. T. I., Cherukuru, N., Sahar, S., Müller, M., Mujahid, A., Lee, J. N., Goodkin, N. F., & Martin, P. 2021. Coral skeletal luminescence records changes in terrestrial chromophoric dissolved organic matter in tropical coastal waters. Geophysical Research Letters, 48(8), https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GL092130

Klappenbach, F., Bertleff, M., Kostinek, J., Hase, F., Blumenstock, T., Agusti-Panareda, A., Razinger, M., and Butz, A. 2015. Accurate mobile remote sensing of XCO_2 and XCH_4 latitudinal transects from aboard a research vessel. Atmospheric Measurement Techniques, 8(12), 5023–5038. https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-8-5023-2015

Knapp, M., Kleinschek, R., Hase, F., Baier, B., Turner, J. R., Kiel, M., Blake, D. F., and Butz, A. 2021. Shipborne measurements of XCO_2, XCH_4, and XCO above the Pacific Ocean and comparison to CAMS atmospheric analyses and S5P/TROPOMI. Earth System Science Data,

13(1), 199–211. https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-13-199-2021

Kong, C.E., Shubha Sathyendranath, Jackson, T., Dariusz Stramski, Robert, Kulk, G., Jönsson, B.F., Loisel, H., Martí Galí and Le, C. 2024. Comparison of ocean-colour algorithms for particulate organic carbon in global ocean. Frontiers in Marine Science, 11. doi:https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2024.1309050.

Krause, J.R. et al. 2025. Global seagrass carbon stock variability and emissions from seagrass loss. Nature Communications. 16:3798. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-025-59204-4

Kroeger, K.D., Crooks, S., Moseman-Valtierra, S., & Tang, J. 2017. Restoring tides to reduce methane emissions in impounded wetlands: A new and potent blue carbon climate change intervention. Scientific Reports, 7, 11914.

Kulk, G., Platt, T., Dingle, J., Jackson, T., Jönsson, B.F., Bouman, H.A., Babin, M., Brewin, R.J.W., et al. 2021. Correction: Kulk et al. Primary Production, an Index of Climate Change in the Ocean: Satellite-Based Estimates over Two Decades. Remote Sens. 2020, 12, 826. Remote Sensing, 13(17), p.3462. doi:https://doi.org/10.3390/rs13173462.

Kutser, T., D. C. Pierson, K. Kallio, A. Reinart, S. Sobek. 2005a. Mapping lake CDOM by satellite remote sensing. Remote Sensing of Environment, 94: 535-540.

Kutser, T., D. C. Pierson, L. Tranvik, A. Reinart, S. Sobek, K. Kallio. 2005b. Estimating the colored dissolved organic matter absorption coefficient in lakes using satellite remote sensing, Ecosystems, 8: 709-720.

Kutser, T., 2009. Passive optical remote sensing of cyanobacteria and other intense phytoplankton blooms in coastal and inland waters. International Journal of Remote Sensing, 30(17), pp.4401-4425.

Kutser, T., Verpoorter, C., Paavel, B. and Tranvik, L.J., 2015. Estimating lake carbon fractions from remote sensing data. Remote sensing of Environment, 157, pp.138-146.

Kutser, T., Hedley, J.D., Giardino, C., Roelfsema, C. & Brando, V.E. 2020. Remote sensing of shallow waters – a 50 year retrospective and future directions. Remote Sensing of Environment, 240, 111619. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2019.111619

Land, P.E., Shutler, J.D., Findlay, H.S., Girard-Ardhuin, F., Sabia, R., Reul, N., Piolle, J.-F., Chapron, B., Quilfen, Y., Salisbury, J., Vandemark, D., Bellerby, R., Bhadury, P., 2015. Salinity from Space Unlocks Satellite-Based Assessment of Ocean Acidification. Environ Sci Technol 49, 1987–1994. https://doi.org/10.1021/es504849s

Landschützer, P., Gruber, N., Bakker, D.C.E., & Schuster, U. 2016. Decadal variations and trends of the global ocean carbon sink. Nature, 531, 777–780. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature17176

Landschützer, P., Laruelle, G. G., Roobaert, A., & Regnier, P. 2020. A uniform pCO_2 climatology

combining open and coastal oceans. Earth System Science Data, 12(4), 2537–2553.DOI: 10.5194/essd-12-2537-2020

Laruelle, G.G., Cai, W.-J., Hu, X., Gruber, N., Mackenzie, F.T., Regnier, P., 2018. Continental shelves as a variable but increasing global sink for atmospheric carbon dioxide. Nat Commun 9, 454. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-02738-z

Le, C., Lehrter, J. C., Hu, C., MacIntyre, H., & Beck, M. W. 2017. Satellite observation of particulate organic carbon dynamics on the Louisiana continental shelf. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 122, 555–569. https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JC012275

Le Quere C., Moriarty R., Andrew R. M., Canadell J. G., Sitch S., Korsbakken J. I., Friedlingstein P., et al. 2015. Global Carbon Budget 2015. Earth System Science Data. 7 (2). 349-396. https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-7-349-2015, https://archimer.ifremer.fr/doc/00383/49442/

Lecerf, M., Herr D., Elverum, C., Delrieu, E. and Picourt, L. 2023. Coastal and marine ecosystems as Nature based Solutions in new or updated Nationally Determined Contributions, Ocean & Climate Platform, Conservation International, IUCN, Rare, The Nature Conservancy, Wetlands International and WWF.

Lin, J., Lyu, H., Miao, S., Pan, Y., Wu, Z., Li, Y. and Wang, Q. 2018. A two-step approach to mapping particulate organic carbon (POC) in inland water using OLCI images. Ecological Indicators, 90, pp.502-512.

Liu, Y. & Zhu, X. 2024. Tracking mangrove light use efficiency using normalized difference red edge index. Ecological Indicators, 168, 112774. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2024.112774

Liu, Z., Zhou, Y., Zhang, H., Wen, J., Gong, M., Zhou, F., Tang, Y., Liu, Q., and Liu, D. 2026. Denoising performance of entropy quantum computing for oceanic lidar. Appl. Opt. 65, 7226-7234.

Lohrenz, S.E., Cai, W.-J., Chakraborty, S., Huang, W.-J., Guo, X., He, R., Xue, Z., Fennel, K., Howden, S., Tian, H. 2018. Satellite estimation of coastal pCO_2 and air-sea flux of carbon dioxide in the northern Gulf of Mexico, Remote Sensing of Environment, 207, 71-83, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2017.12.039

Lo Iacono, C., M. A. Mateo, E. Gràcia, L. Guasch, R. Carbonell, L. Serrano, O. Serrano, and J. Dañobeitia 2008. Very high-resolution seismo-acoustic imaging of seagrass meadows (Mediterranean Sea): Implications for carbon sink estimates, Geophys. Res. Lett., 35, L18601, doi:10.1029/2008GL034773.

Loisel, H., Duforêt-Gaurier, L., Tran, T. K., Schaffer Ferreira Jorge, D., Steinmetz, F., Mangin, A., Bretagnon, M., & Hembise Fanton d'Andon, O. 2023. Characterization of the organic vs. inorganic fraction of suspended particulate matter in coastal waters based on ocean color radiometry remote sensing. In K. von Schuckmann, L. Moreira, P.-Y. Le Traon, M. Grégoire, M. Marcos, J. Staneva, P. Brasseur, G. Garric, P. Lionello, J. Karstensen, & G. Neukermans (Eds.), 7th edition of the Copernicus Ocean State Report (OSR7) (State Planet, 1-osr7, p. 11).

Copernicus Publications. https://doi.org/10.5194/sp-1-osr7-11-2023

Lønborg, C., Carreira, C., Jickells, T., & Álvarez-Salgado, X. A. 2020. Impacts of global change on ocean dissolved organic carbon (DOC) cycling. Frontiers in Marine Science, 7, 466. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2020.00466

Luijendijk, E., Gleeson, T., and Moosdorf, N. 2020. Fresh groundwater discharge insignificant for the world's oceans but important for coastal ecosystems. Nature Communications, 11(1), 1260. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-15064-8

Macreadie, P.I., Anton, A., Raven, J.A., Beaumont, N., Connolly, R.M., Friess, D.A., Kelleway, J.J., Kennedy, H., Kuwae, T., Lavery, P.S. and Lovelock, C.E., 2019. The future of Blue Carbon science. Nature communications, 10(1), p.3998.

Macreadie, P.I., Costa, M.D.P., Atwood, T.B. et al. 2021. Blue carbon as a natural climate solution. Nature Reviews Earth & Environment, 2, pp.826–839. https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-021-00224-1

Macreadie, P.I., Robertson, A.I., Spinks, B., Adams, M.P., Atchison, J.M., Bell-James, J., Bryan, B.A., et al. 2022. Operationalizing marketable blue carbon. One Earth 5(5), pp. 485-492.

Mannino, A., S. R. Signorini, M. G. Novak, J. Wilkin, M. A. M. Friedrichs, and R. G. Najjar 2016. Dissolved organic carbon fluxes in the Middle Atlantic Bight: An integrated approach based on satellite data and ocean model products, J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosci., 121, oi:10.1002/2015JG003031.

Mayot, N., Buitenhuis, E. T., Wright, R. M., Hauck, J., Bakker, D. C. E., & Le Quéré, C. 2024. Constraining the trend in the ocean CO2 sink during 2000–2022. Nature Communications, 15(1), 8429. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-024-52641-7

Mayot, N., Le Quéré, C., Rödenbeck, C., Bernardello, R., Bopp, L., Djeutchouang, L. M., et al. 2023. Climate driven variability of the Southern Ocean CO2 sink. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences, 381(2249), 20220055. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2022.0055

McKinley, G.A., et al. 2020. Large uncertainties in anthropogenic carbon uptake by the ocean. Science, 368(6492), 113–116. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaz1674

Mengis, N., Paul, A. & Fernández-Méndez, M. 2023 Counting (on) blue carbon—Challenges and ways forward for carbon accounting of ecosystem-based carbon removal in marine environments. PLOS Climate, 2(8), e0000148. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pclm.0000148

Michalak, A.M., Jackson, R., Marland, G. and Sabine, C.L. 2011. A US carbon cycle science plan. NOAA Institutional Repository

Mishra, D.R., I. Ogashawara, A.A. Gitelson (eds), 2017. Bio-optical Modeling and Remote Sensing of Inland Waters, (pp. 309), Elsevier.

Muller-Karger, F. E., et al. 2018. "Satellite Sensor Requirements for Monitoring Terrestrial, Freshwater and Marine Biodiversity." Biogeosciences.

Müller, A., Tanimoto, H., Sugita, T., Machida, T., Nakaoka, S., Patra, P. K., and Crisp, D. 2021. New approach to evaluate satellite-derived XCO2 over oceans by integrating ship and aircraft observations. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 21, 8255–8271. doi: 10.5194/acp-21-8255-2021

Murdiyarso, D., Krisnawati, H., Adinugroho, W.C. et al. 2023. Deriving emission factors for mangrove blue carbon ecosystem in Indonesia. Carbon Balance and Management, 18, 12. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13021-023- 00233-1

Murray, N.J., Phinn, S.P., Fuller, R.A. et al. 2022. High-resolution global maps of tidal flat ecosystems from 1984 to 2019. Scientific Data, 9, 542. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-022-01635-5

NASA 2024. Earth System Observatory 2035+ vision. National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

Nelson, R. R., and O'Dell, C. W. 2019. The impact of improved aerosol priors on near-infrared measurements of carbon dioxide, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 12, 1495–1512. doi:10.5194/amt-12 1495-2019

Neukermans, G., Bach, L.T., Butterley, A., Sun, Q., Claustre, H., Fournier, G.R., 2023. Quantitative and mechanistic understanding of the open ocean carbonate pump - perspectives for remote sensing and autonomous in situ observation. Earth Sci Rev 239, 104359. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2023.104359

Nowicki, M., DeVries, T., Siegel, D.A., 2022. Quantifying the Carbon Export and Sequestration Pathways of the Ocean's Biological Carbon Pump. Global Biogeochem Cycles 36. https://doi.org/10.1029/2021GB007083

Ochiai, O., Poulter, B., Seifert, F. M., Ward, S., Jarvis, I., Whitcraft, A., Sahajpal, R., Gilliams, S., et al. 2023. Towards a roadmap for space-based observations of the land sector for the UNFCCC global stocktake. iScience, 26(4), 106489. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2023.106489

Oreskes, N., et al. 2023. Verification, validation, and confidence in Earth system science for policy. Annual Review of Environment and Resources, 48, 1–28. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-environ-112321-084803org/10.1029/2018JC014646

Orr, J.C., Maier-Reimer, E., Mikolajewicz, U., Monfray, P., Sarmiento, J.L., Toggweiler, J.R., Taylor, N.K., Palmer, J.,Gruber, N., Sabine, C.L., Le Quéré, C., Key, R.M. and Boutin, J. 2001. Estimates of anthropogenic carbon uptake from four three-dimensional global ocean models. Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 15(1), pp.43–60. doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/2000gb001273.

Palmer, S.C., Kutser, T. and Hunter, P.D., 2015. Remote sensing of inland waters: Challenges, progress and future directions. Remote sensing of Environment, 157, pp.1-8.

Pastra A, Johansson TM, Soares J and Muller-Karger FE. 2025. The use of emerging autonomous technologies for ocean monitoring: insights and legal challenges. Front. Mar. Sci. 12:1561737. doi: 10.3389/fmars.2025.1561737

Pham, T.D.; Xia, J.; Ha, N.T.; Bui, D.T.; Le, N.N.; Tekeuchi, W. 2019. A Review of Remote Sensing Approaches for Monitoring Blue Carbon Ecosystems: Mangroves, Seagrasses and Salt Marshes during 2010–2018. Sensors, 19, 1933. https://doi.org/10.3390/s19081933

Pham, T.D., Yokoya, N., Nguyen, T.T.T., Le, N.N., Ha, N.T., Xia, J. & Pham, T.D. 2020. Improvement of mangrove soil carbon stocks estimation in North Vietnam using Sentinel-2 data and machine learning approach. GIScience & Remote Sensing, 58(1), pp.68–87. https://doi.org/10.1080/15481603.2020.1857623

Poursanidis, D. & Katsanevakis, S. 2025. Mapping subtidal marine forests in the Mediterranean Sea using Copernicus Contributing Mission. Remote Sensing, 17(14), 2398. https://doi.org/10.3390/rs17142398

Raven, J.A. and Falkowski, P.G. 1999. Oceanic sinks for atmospheric CO2. Plant, Cell and Environment, [online] 22(6), pp.741–755. doi:https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-3040.1999.00419.x.

Regnier, P., et al. 2013. Anthropogenic perturbation of the carbon fluxes from land to ocean. Nature Geoscience, 6, 597–607. https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1830

Regnier, P., Resplandy, L., Najjar, R. G., & Ciais, P. 2022. The land-to-ocean loops of the global carbon cycle. Nature, 603(7901), 401–410. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-04446-y

Reiman, H. J., Xu, Y. J. 2019. Dissolved carbon export and CO2 outgassing from the lower Mississippi River – Implications of future river carbon fluxes, Journal of Hydrology, 124093, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2019.124093

Reise, J., Urrutia, C., von Vittorelli, L., Siemons, A. & Jennerjahn, T. 2024. Potential of Blue Carbon for Global Climate Change Mitigation. https://www.umweltbundesamt.de/en/publikationen/potential-of-blue-carbon-for-global-climate.

Robinson, I.S. 2004. Measuring the Oceans from Space: The Principles and Methods of Satellite Oceanography. Praxis Publishing, Chichester, 720.

Rödenbeck, C., et al. (2022). Global surface-ocean pCO_2 and sea–air CO_2 flux variability. Earth System Science Data, 14, 3367–3387. https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-14-3367-2022

Roe, P. 2020. *Six Senses Laamu seagrass monitoring 2020*. Maldives Underwater Initiative (MUI), Six Senses Laamu. https://www.maldivesunderwaterinitiative.com/s/Six-Senses-Laamu-Seagrass-Monitoring-2020_-Website.pdf [1]

Rusli, S. P., Hasekamp, O., aan de Brugh, J., Fu, G., Meijer, Y., and Landgraph, J., 2021. Anthropogenic CO2 monitoring satellite mission: the need for multi-angle polarimetric

observations, Atmos. Meas. Tech. 14, 1167-1190. doi: 10.5194/amt-14-1167-2021

Sabine, C.L. 2004. The Oceanic Sink for Anthropogenic CO_2. Science, [online] 305(5682), pp.367–371. doi:https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1097403.

Salisbury, J.E., Jönsson, B.F., Mannino, A., Kim, W., Goes, J.I., Choi, J.Y. and Concha, J.A., 2021. Assessing net growth of phytoplankton biomass on hourly to annual time scales using the geostationary ocean color instrument. Geophysical Research Letters, 48(23), p.e2021GL095528.

Sanwlani, N., Evans, C. D., Müller, M., Cherukuru, N., & Martin, P. 2022. Rising dissolved organic carbon concentrations in coastal waters of northwestern Borneo related to tropical peatland conversion. Science Advances, 8(15), eabi5688. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abi5688

Sathyendranath, S., Brewin, R.J.W., Brockmann, C., Brotas, V., Calton, B., Chuprin, A., Cipollini, P., et al. 2019. An ocean-colour time series for use in climate studies: The experience of the ocean-colour climate change initiative (OC-CCI). Sensors (Switzerland) 19. https://doi.org/10.3390/s19194285

Sayers, M.J., Fahnenstiel, G.L., Shuchman, R.A. and Bosse, K.R. 2021. A new method to estimate global freshwater phytoplankton carbon fixation using satellite remote sensing: initial results. International Journal of Remote Sensing, 42(10), pp.3708-3730.

Schiebel, R. 2002. Planktic foraminiferal sedimentation and the marine calcite budget. Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 16(4), pp.3–13–21. doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/2001gb001459.

Schroeder, T., Devlin, M. J., Brando, V. E., Dekker, A. G., Brodie, J. E., Clementson, L. A., McKinna, L., 2012: Inter-annual variability of wet season freshwater plume extent into the Great Barrier Reef lagoon based on satellite coastal ocean colour observations, Marine Pollution Bulletin, 65, 210-223, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2012.02.022

Schueler, C., Holmes, A., 2016. SeaHawk CubeSat system engineering, in: Ardanuy, P.E., Puschell, J.J. (Eds.), p. 99770A. https://doi.org/10.1117/12.2242298

Settele, J., R. Scholes, R. Betts, S. Bunn, P. Leadley, D. Nepstad, J.T. Overpeck, and M.A. Taboada. 2014. Terrestrial and inland water systems. In: Climate Change 2014: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability. Part A: Global and Sectoral Aspects. Contribution of Working Group II to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Field, C.B., V.R. Barros, D.J. Dokken, K.J. Mach, M.D. Mastrandrea, T.E. Bilir, M. Chatterjee, K.L. Ebi, Y.O. Estrada, R.C. Genova, B. Girma, E.S. Kissel, A.N. Levy, S. MacCracken, P.R. Mastrandrea, and L.L. White (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, pp. 271-359.

Sexton, P.F., Norris, R.D., Wilson, P.A., Pälike, H., Westerhold, T., Röhl, U., Bolton, C.T. and Gibbs, S. 2011. Eocene global warming events driven by ventilation of oceanic dissolved organic carbon. Nature, 471(7338), pp.349–352. doi: https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09826.

Sholkovitz, E. R. 1976. Flocculation of dissolved organic and inorganic matter during the mixing of river water and seawater. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 40(7), 831–845. https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037(76)90035-1

Shutler, J. D., Wanninkhof, R., Nightingale, P. D., Woolf, D. K., Bakker, D. C., Watson, A., et al. 2020. Satellites will address critical science priorities for quantifying ocean carbon. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment, 18(1), 27–35. https://doi.org/10.1002/fee.2129

Shutler, J.D., Gruber, N., Findlay, H.S., Land, P.E., Gregor, L., Holding, T., Sims, R., Green, H., Piolle, et al. 2024. The increasing importance of satellite observations to assess the ocean carbon sink and ocean acidification. Earth-Science Reviews, [online] p.104682. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2024.104682.

Siegel, D.A., DeVries, T., Cetinić, I., Bisson, K.M., 2023. Quantifying the Ocean's Biological Pump and Its Carbon Cycle Impacts on Global Scales. Ann Rev Mar Sci 15, 329–356. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-marine-040722-115226

Sigman, D. 2012. The Biological Productivity of the Ocean. [online] www.nature.com. Available at: https://www.nature.com/scitable/knowledge/library/the-biological-productivity-of-the-ocean-70631104/.

Signorini, S. R., Mannino, A., Friedrichs, M. A. M., St-Laurent, P., Wilkin, J., Tabatabai, A., Najjar, R. G., Hofmann, E. E., Da, F., Tian, H., and Yao, Y. 2019. Estuarine dissolved organic carbon flux from space: With application to Chesapeake and Delaware Bays. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 124, 3755–3778. https://doi.

SINAC. 2023. Estrategia Nacional de Carbono Azul. Ministerio del Ambiente. 17 p. https://www.sinac.go.cr/ES/docu/Paginas/pnhumed.aspx

SINAC. 2024. Guía para el establecimiento de una Estrategia Nacional de Carbono Azul en las políticas nacionales. Ministerio del Ambiente, Conservación Internacional. 24 p. https://www.sinac.go.cr/ES/docu/Paginas/pnhumed.aspx

Skákala, J., Sathyendranath, S., Artioli, Y., Banerjee, D.S., Bouman, H., Brewin, R.J., Butenschön, M., Ciavatta, S., Dutkiewicz, S., Fidai, Y. and Ford, D., 2026. Modelling primary production: multitude of theories, or multitude of languages?. Ocean science, 22(3), pp.1457-1481.

Stramska, Malgorzata & Cieszyńska, Agata. 2015. Ocean colour estimates of particulate organic carbon reservoirs in the global ocean – revisited. International Journal of Remote Sensing. 36. 3675-3700. 10.1080/01431161.2015.1049380.

Su, W., Wang, B., Chen, H. et al. 2024. A new global carbon flux estimation methodology by assimilation of both in situ and satellite CO2 observations. npj Clim Atmos Sci 7, 287. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41612-024-00824-w

Sunkur, R., Kantamaneni, K., Bokhoree, C. et al. 2024. Mangrove mapping and monitoring

using remote sensing techniques towards climate change resilience. Scientific Reports, 14, 6949. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-57563-4

Terhaar, J., Goris, N., Müller, J. D., DeVries, T., Gruber, N., Hauck, J., et al. 2024. Assessment of Global Ocean Biogeochemistry Models for Ocean Carbon Sink Estimates in RECCAP2 and Recommendations for Future Studies. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 16(3), e2023MS003840. https://doi.org/10.1029/2023MS003840

Toming, K., Kutser, T., Tuvikene, L., Viik, M., Nõges, T. 2016a. Dissolved organic carbon and its potential predictors in eutrophic lakes. Water Research, 102: 32–40. Doi 10.1016/j.watres.2016.06.012

Toming, K., Kutser, T., Laas, A., Sepp, M., Paavel, B., Nõges, T. 2016b. First Experiences in Mapping Lake Water Quality Parameters with Sentinel-2 MSI Imagery. Remote Sensing, 8 (640), 1–14. Doi 10.3390/rs8080640.

Toming, K., Kulk, G., Quast, R., Shevchuk, R., Kutser, T. 2026. Towards a global assessment of coastal dissolved organic carbon. Remote Sensing of Environment, 115388 DOI: 10.1016/j.rse.2026.115388

Tran, T. K., Duforêt-Gaurier, L., Vantrepotte, V., Jorge, D. S. F., Mériaux, X., Cauvin, A., Fanton d'Andon, O., & Loisel, H. 2019. Deriving particulate organic carbon in coastal waters from remote sensing: Intercomparison exercise and development of a maximum band-ratio approach. Remote Sensing, 11, 2849. https://doi.org/10.3390/rs11232849

Tranvik, L. J., Cole, J. J., & Prairie, Y. T. 2018. The study of carbon in inland waters–from isolated ecosystems to players in the global carbon cycle. *Limnology and Oceanography Letters*, *3*(3), 41–48. doi.org

United Nations Environment Programme, 2021. *Understanding the State of the Ocean: A Global Manual on Measuring SDG 14.1.1, SDG 14.2.1 and SDG 14.5.1.* UNEP , Nairobi.

UNFCCC 2023. Technical dialogue of the first global stocktake. United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change.

Unnithan, S. L. K., Cherukuru, N., Ingleton, T., Lehmann, E., Paget, M., Guo, Y., Drayson, N., & Kerrisk, G. 2025. Mapping total suspended solids (TSS) and dissolved organic carbon (DOC) in complex coastal waters using deep learning enhanced remote sensing. Ecological Informatics, 90, Article 103276. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoinf.2025.103276

Urtizberea, A., Dupont, N., Rosland R., Aksnes, D. L. 2013. Sensitivity of euphotic zone properties to CDOM variations in marine ecosystem models. Ecological Modelling, 256, 16–22. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2013.02.010

Wanninkhof, R., Park, G.H., Takahashi, T., Sweeney, C., Feely, R., Nojiri, Y., Gruber, N., Doney, S.C., McKinley, G.A., Lenton, A. and Le Quéré, C., 2013. Global ocean carbon uptake: magnitude, variability and trends. Biogeosciences, *10*(3), pp.1983-2000.

Ward, N. D., Bianchi, T. S., Medeiros, P. M., Seidel, M., Richey, J. E., Keil, R. G., & Sawakuchi, H. O. 2017. Where carbon goes when water flows: Carbon cycling across the aquatic continuum. Frontiers in Marine Science, 4, 7. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2017.00007

Ward, N. D., Megonigal, J. P., Bond-Lamberty, B., Bailey, V. L., Butman, D., Canuel, E. A., Diefenderfer, H., Ganju, N. K., Goñi, M. A., Graham, E. B., & Hopkinson, C. S. 2020. Representing the function and sensitivity of coastal interfaces in Earth system models. Nature Communications, 11(1), 2458. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-16236-2

Wen, Z., Shang, Y., Lyu, L., Li, S., Tao, H. and Song, K., 2021. A Review of Quantifying p CO2 in Inland Waters with a Global Perspective: Challenges and Prospects of Implementing Remote Sensing Technology. *Remote Sensing*, *13*(23), p.4916.

Westberry, T.K., Silsbe, G.M., Behrenfeld, M.J., 2023. Gross and net primary production in the global ocean: An ocean color remote sensing perspective. Earth Sci Rev 237, 104322. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2023.104322

Windham-Myers, L., Crooks, S., & Troxler, T.G. (Eds.) 2018. A Blue Carbon Primer: The State of Coastal Wetland Carbon Science, Practice, and Policy. CRC Press.

Woolf, D.K., Land, P.E., Shutler, J.D., Goddijn-Murphy, L.M. and Donlon, C.J. 2016. On the calculation of air-sea fluxes of CO2in the presence of temperature and salinity gradients. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 121(2), pp.1229–1248. doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/2015jc011427.

Worthington, T.A., Spalding, M., Landis, E., Maxwell, T.L., Navarro, A., Smart, L.S. & Murray, N.J. 2024. The distribution of global tidal marshes from Earth observation data. Global Ecology and Biogeography, 33, e13852. https://doi.org/10.1111/geb.13852

Wunch, D., Toon, G. C., Blavier, et al. 2011. The total carbon column observing network, Philosophical Transactions of the Royal. Society A, 369, 2087–2112. doi:10.1098/rsta.2010.0240

Xu, D., Xue, R., Luo, M., Wang, W., Zhang, W. and Wang, Y., 2025. Advances in Dissolved Organic Carbon Remote Sensing Inversion in Inland Waters: Methodologies, Challenges, and Future Directions. Sustainability, *17*(14), p.6652.

Xu, J., Lei, S., Bi, S., Li, Y., Lyu, H., Xu, J., Xu, X., Mu, M., Miao, S., Zeng, S. and Zheng, Z., 2020. Tracking spatiotemporal dynamics of POC sources in eutrophic lakes by remote sensing. *Water research*, *168*, p.115162.

Xue, K., Ma, R., Wang, M., Wei, X., Liu, H., Hu, M., Jiang, L., Shen, M., & Cao, Z. 2025. A state-of-the-art algorithm to retrieve particulate organic carbon concentration in optically complex waters via multiple satellite missions. Remote Sensing of Environment, 329, Article 114914. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2025.114914

Yang, M., Bell, T. G., Bidlot, J. R., Blomquist, B. W., Butterworth, B. J., Dong, Y., Fairall, C. W., Landwehr, S., Marandino, C. A., Miller, S. D., Saltzman, E. S., and Zavarsky, A. 2022. Global

synthesis of air- sea CO_2 transfer velocity estimates from ship-based eddy covariance measurements. Front. Mar. Sci. 9, 1–15. doi:10.3389/fmars.2022.826421

Zickfeld, K., Eby, M., Weaver, A.J., Alexander, K., Crespin, E., Edwards, N.R., Eliseev, A.V., Feulner, G., Fichefet, T., Forest, C.E., Friedlingstein, P., Goosse, H., Holden, P.B., Joos, F., Kawamiya, M., Kicklighter, D., Kienert, H., Matsumoto, K., Mokhov, I.I. and Monier, E. 2013. Long-Term Climate Change Commitment and Reversibility: An EMIC Intercomparison. *Journal of Climate*, 26(16), pp.5782–5809. doi:https://doi.org/10.1175/jcli-d-12-00584.1.