The Atmospheric Imaging Mission for Northern Regions (AIM-North): GHG & Air Quality Observations from a Highly Elliptical Orbit (HEO)

Ray Nassar

Environment and Climate Change Canada

CEOS Atmospheric Composition Virtual Constellation Meeting

June 2020



The Highly Elliptical Orbit (HEO) Class

- Highly elliptical orbits can be used for quasi-geostationary high latitude Earth observations
- Multiple Canadian-led studies into HEO orbit variations. Example:





www.aim-north.ca

AIM-North

THE ATMOSPHERIC IMAGING MISSION FOR NORTHERN REGIONS

OVERVIEW SCIENCE ORBIT INSTRUMENTS & DATA TEAM RELEVANCE PUBLICATIO

AIM-North is an innovative satellite mission concept that is under consideration by the Canadian Space Agency (CSA). The mission is currently undergoing Phase 0 studies.

AIM-North would provide observations of unprecedented frequency, density and quality for monitoring greenhouse gases (GHGs), air quality (AQ), clouds and solar induced fluorescence (SIF) from vegetation in northern regions. AIM-North would use a constellation of two satellites in a highly elliptical orbit (HEO) configuration, enabling observations over land from about 40-80°N, multiple times per day.
Enhancing the mission with additional spectral bands could provide complementary observations for weather, climate and AQ research and operations. The project is a collaborative effort between Environment and Climate Change Canada (ECCC), CSA, other federal and provincial government departments, Canadian academia, Canadian industry and international scientists.





Environment and Climate Change Canada







OPEN ACCESS Check for updates

The Atmospheric Imaging Mission for Northern Regions: AIM-North

Ray Nassar^a (a), Chris McLinden^b (a), Christopher E. Sioris^b (b), C. T. McElroy^c, Joseph Mendonca^a, Johanna Tamminen^d (b), Cameron G. MacDonald^e, Cristen Adams^f, Céline Boisvenue^g (b), Adam Bourassa^h (b), Ryan Cooneyⁱ, Doug Degenstein^h, Guillaume Drolet^j (b), Louis Garand^k, Ralph Girardⁱ, Markey Johnson^l, Dylan B.A. Jones^m (b), Felicia Kolonjari^a, Bruce Kuwaharaⁿ, Randall V. Martin^{o *#} (b), Charles E. Miller^p (b), Norman O'Neill^q, Aku Riihelä^d (b), Sébastien Roche^m (b), Stanley P. Sander^p, William R. Simpson^r (b), Gurpreet Singh^c, Kimberly Strong^m, Alexander P. Trishchenko^s (b), Helena van Mierloⁱ, Zahra Vaziri Zanjani^{c,m}, Kaley A. Walker^m (b), and Debra Wunch^{m,t} (b)

^aClimate Research Division, Environment and Climate Change Canada, Toronto, ON M3H 5T4, Canada; ^bAir Quality Research Division, Environment and Climate Change Canada, Toronto, ON M3H 5T4, Canada; ^cDepartment of Earth and Space Science and Engineering, York University, Toronto, ON M3J 1P3, Canada; ^dFinnish Meteorological Institute, Helsinki 00560, Finland; ^eDepartment of Physics and Astronomy, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, ON N2L 3G1, Canada; ^fEnvironmental Monitoring and Science Division, Government of Alberta, Edmonton, AB T5K 2G6, Canada; ^gPacific Forestry Centre, Canadian Forest Service, Natural Resources Canada, Victoria, BC V8Z 1M6, Canada; ^hInstitute of Space and Atmospheric Studies, University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon, SK S7N 5E2, Canada; ⁱCanadian Space Agency, Saint-Hubert, QC J3Y 8Y9, Canada; ^jDirection de la recherche forestière, Ministère des Forêts, de la Faune et des Parcs, Québec, QC G1P 3W8, Canada; ^kMeteorological Research Division, Environment and Climate Change Canada, Dorval, QC H9P 1J3, Canada; ^lAir Health Science Division, Health Canada, Ottawa, ON K1A 0K9, Canada; ^mDepartment of Physics, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON M5S 1A7, Canada; ⁿUniversity of Waterloo, Waterloo, ON N2L 3G1, Canada; ^oDepartment of Physics and Atmospheric Science, Dalhousie University, Halifax, NS B3H 4J5, Canada; ^pJet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91109, USA; ^qUniversité de Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, QC J1K 085, Canada; ^rUniversity of Alaska Fairbanks, Fairbanks, AK 99775, USA; ⁵Canada Centre for Remote Sensing, Natural Resources Canada, Ottawa, ON K1A 0E4, Canada; ^tSchool of the Environment, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON M5S 1A7, Canada

ABSTRACT

AlM-North is a proposed satellite mission that would provide observations of unprecedented frequency and density for monitoring northern greenhouse gases (GHGs), air quality (AQ) and vegetation. AlM-North would consist of two satellites in a highly elliptical orbit formation, observing over land from ~40°N to 80°N multiple times per day. Each satellite would carry a near-infrared to shortwave infrared imaging spectrometer for CO₂, CH₄, and CO, and an ultraviolet-visible imaging spectrometer for air quality. Both instruments would measure

Published Sept 2019 Over 1100 views and counting

Canadian Journal of Remote Sensing 2019 Paper of the Year

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 2 January 2019 Accepted 11 July 2019

Air Quality Spectrometer

NO₂, O₃, SO₂, BrO, HCHO, CIOCIO, aerosols



Similar design to Copernicus/ESA Sentinel-4 AQ instrument



GHG Imaging Fourier Transform Spectrometer (IFTS)

CO₂, CH₄, CO, SIF

Selected for adequate precision performance, high commercialization potential and superior cloud avoidance potential (intelligent pointing)



AIM-North GHG Observing Requirements & Options

	Single Sound Precision*	ing Multi-sounding maximum bias		IFTS	Grating Spectrometer
XCO ₂	1 ppm (G) = 0.25 3 ppm (T) = 0.75	(after bias correction) 5% 0.2 ppm (G) = 0.05% 0.6 ppm (T) = 0.15%	Field of View (FOV)	128 x 128 (step-and-stare)	480 x 1 (sweep scanning)
XCH . 9 ppb (G) = 0.5		2 pph(G) = 0.10%	Pixel Size	4x4 km ²	4x4 km ²
	27 ppb(T) = 1.59	% 6 ppb (T) = 0.30%	Integration time	60 s	1.75 s
XCO	5% (G) 15% (T)	5% (G) 15% (T)	Aperture diameter	20 cm	10 cm
	Multi-sounding Precision		Mass	164 kg	203 kg
Solar Induced Fluorescence (SIF)	0.30-0.90		Power	187 W	202 W
	W m ⁻² μm ⁻¹ sr ⁻¹	G = Goal, T = Threshold	Raw # obs / h	~900,000	~900,000
		*AIM-North requirements converted from % assuming 400 ppm XCO ₂ and 1.8 ppm XCH ₄			

Simulated instrument performance using modified OCO-2 retrieval algorithm:

J. Mendonca, S. Roche, D. Wunch, K. Strong, R. Nassar

Precision achieved based on current IFTS and grating spectrometer design options

for a reference scene (SZA = 45°, VZA = 0.1°, AOD = 0.1 and forest albedo).

(%)	XCH4	XCO	XCO2
Grating	0.53	7.63	0.40
FTS	0.62	8.56	0.42

AIM-North Intelligent Pointing

- About 70% of Earth is covered by clouds at any moment, resulting in loss of most observations with standard pointing
- Plan for Intelligent Pointing building off pioneering work of GOSAT-2 (Japan) from LEO
- With real-time cloud information, 128x128 pixel FOV could be pointed at cloud-free regions every ~60 s
- Hourly revisit rate for cloud-free land regions spanning daylight (SZA ≤ 80°) portion of the diurnal cycle



Although a dispersive spectrometer can cover similar area in same time, aspect ratio of FOV matters! IFTS with square FOV gets factor ~2.0 increase in successful observations with intelligent pointing, but dispersive only gets factor ~1.6, since harder to fit through holes in cloud cover.

Baseline Products from the GOES-R Advanced Baseline Imager (ABI)



TABLE 4. List of GOES-R ABI-derived baseline products. Also included are other attributes, such as the geographical coverage, horizontal resolution, and product refresh rate. Product geographic Product horizon-Refresh rate/coverage **Baseline product** tal resolution time (mode 3) coverage CONUS CONUS: 15 min Aerosol detection (including FD 2 km FD: 15 min smoke and dust) Mesoscale Mesoscale: 15 min CONUS CONUS: 5 min Aerosol optical depth 2 km FD FD: 15 min Volcanic ash: Detection and height FD 2 km FD: 15 min CONUS CONUS: 5 min 2 km, with finer day-Cloud and moisture imagery FD FD: 15 min time observations Mesoscale Mesoscale: 30 s CONUS: for optical depth > 1 CONUS: 2 km CONUS: 15 min Cloud optical depth FD: for optical depth > I FD: 15 min FD: 4 km CONUS CONUS: 5 min Cloud particle size distribution FD 2 km FD: 15 min Mesoscale Mesoscale: 5 min CONUS CONUS: 5 min Cloud-top phase FD 2 km FD: 15 min Mesoscale: 5 min Mesoscale CONUS CONUS: 10 km CONUS: 60 min FD Cloud-top height FD: 10 km FD: 60 min Mesoscale: 4 km Mesoscale:5 min Mesoscale CONUS CONUS: 60 min Cloud-top pressure 10 km FD FD: 60 min FD FD: 15 min Cloud-top temperature 2 km Mesoscale: 5 min Mesoscale Hurricane intensity FD 2 km FD: 30 min FD: 15 min Rainfall rate/quantitative precipita-FD 2 km tion estimation (QPE) CONUS CONUS: 30 min FD Legacy vertical moisture profile 10 km FD: 60 min Mesoscale Mesoscale: 5 min CONUS CONUS: 30 min Legacy vertical temperature FD 10 km FD: 60 min profile

Mesoscale

TABLE 4. Continued			
Baseline product	Product geographic coverage	Product horizon- tal resolution	Refresh rate/coverage time (mode 3)
Derived stability indices [convec-	CONUS		CONUS: 30 min
tive available potential energy	FD		FD: 60 min
(CAPE), lifted index, K index, Showalter index, total totals]	Mesoscale	— 10 km	Mesoscale: 5 min
	CONUS	- 1.499.00	CONUS: 30 min
Total precipitable water	FD	10 km	FD: 60 min
	Mesoscale		Mesoscale: 5 min
	CONUS	2 km	CONUS: 15 min
Clear-sky masks	FD		FD: 15 min
	Mesoscale		Mesoscale: 5 min
	CONUS: clear and above cloud regions only		CONUS: 15 min
Radiances	FD: clear and above cloud	resolutions (0.5, 1.0,	FD: 15 min
	Mesoscale: clear and above cloud regions only	— and 2.0 km)	Mesoscale:5 min
-	CONUS	CONUS: 25 km	CONUS: 60 min
Downward shortwave radiation:	FD	FD: 50 km	FD: 60 min
Surface	Mesoscale	Mesoscale: 5 km	Mesoscale: 60 min
Reflected shortwave radiation:	CONUS	251	CONUS: 60 min
TOA	FD	— 25 km	FD: 60 min
	CONUS		CONUS: 15 min
Derived motion winds	FD	Band dependent	FD: 60 min (based on a single set of three sequential images 5 min or more apart)
	Mesoscale		Mesoscale: 5 min
Fire/hotepot characterization	CONUS	2 14mm	CONUS: 5 min
Fire/hotspot characterization	FD	- 2 KM	FD: 15 min
	CONUS	CONUS: 2 km	CONUS: 60 min
Land surface (skin) temperature	FD	FD: 10 km	FD: 60 min
	Mesoscale	Mesoscale: 2 km	Mesoscale: 60 min
	CONUS		CONUS: 60 min
Snow cover	FD	2 km	FD: 60 min
	Mesoscale		Mesoscale:60 min
Sea surface temperature (skin)	FD	2 km	FD: 60 min

Schmidt et al. (2017), A Closer Look at the ABI on the GOES-R series, Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, doi:10.1175/BAMS-D-15-00230.1

Mesoscale: 5 min

GEO-XO Industry Concept Analyses

From the BAA, FY20 funds are being used to partner with industry for options to replenish GOES-R data by 2030:

Instruments

- Regional, real-time weather imagery
- Space weather data
- High latitude observations highly elliptical orbits (Tundra) for Arctic observations
- Lightning mapping; hyperspectral sounding to support ۲

Implementation solutions

- Standard satellite bus, including GEO/Tundra shared
- Hosting services, for NOAA instruments on others' platforms and for partners to fly on NOAA satellites
- Small satellites for space weather instruments
- Commercial data and services

Letter of Support from NOAA, Stephen Volz (2020)

2020: Complete pre-Phase A studies, ready for detailed trades 2021: Phase A start, focused industry design trades

Dr. Stephen Volz Assistant Administrator for Satellite and Information Service Canada is now exploring partnership possibilities with: NOAA, EUMETSAT,

ESA, NASA (heliophysics), for an expanded international HEO mission: Arctic **Observing** Mission

ž

NOAA Satellite and

Information

Service

March 31, 2020

Committee on Earth Science and Applications from Space

NESDIS Update to the

औ

哭

13

ĸ

International Satellite Constellations for Weather, Air Quality and GHGs

- Weather: LEO+GEO constellation, but WMO & CGMS vision for future includes HEO for northern coverage
- AQ: LEO+GEO constellation will be in place in 2020s, but extending coverage to north via HEO needed
- GHGs: CEOS vision for GHGs is LEO constellation in near term, followed by GEO+HEO later

The Arctic Observing Mission (AOM) would address the northern gap in these disciplines delivering a major improvement in capacity for Northern observation from space

Weather



Air Quality



Greenhouse Gases



Committee on Earth Observation Satellites

A CONSTELLATION ARCHITECTURE FOR MONITORING CARBON DIOXIDE AND METHANE FROM SPACE

6.1 A CO2/CH4 constellation architecture with LEO, GEO and HEO elements

A constellation of CO₂/CH₄ satellites that fully exploits the assets of the LEO, GEO, and HEO vantage points will be needed to meet the demanding GCOS requirements for precision, accuracy, spatial and temporal resolution and coverage summarized in Table 6.1. The following sub-sections describe a point design for a NIR/SWIR constellation architecture that addresses these requirements over continents, while providing somewhat lower resolution and coverage over the ocean. One or more of the LEO platforms would have to carry active CO₂ and CH₄ Lidars to provide useful constraints on XCO₂ and XCH₄ over the nighttime hemisphere, Lidar measurements could also provide global constraints on systematic biases in passive SWIR observations associated with variations in the solar illumination and viewing geometry.

Coordination Group for Meteorological Satellites