

UK-hosted Methane Standards Workshop overview and way forward

CEOS WG climate GHG TT virtual meeting

Paul Green & workshop steering committee 11/03/2024



Overview



- Successful workshop 26-28 Feb
- 31 speakers
- 74 registered, 62 in person typically 10 or so online at any one time.
- Thanks for attending / engaging and encouraging the community to participate.
- Many people travelled a long way.





Agenda – Mon 26th Feb



Monday 26 February

| 11:45 | Registration and Lunch | | |
|-------|--|-------|--|
| 12:30 | Housekeeping | 15:10 | Perspectives: CEOS & Corporate Reporting and discussion CEOS perspective – SIT chair – Osamu Ochiai, JAXA |
| 12:45 | Welcome NPL Welcome – Pete Thompson, NPL UKSA welcome and motivation – Paul Bate, UKSA | | CEOS perspective – GHG TT – Yasjka Meier, ESA CEOS perspective – AC-VC – John Worden, JPL |
| | DESNZ overview – Paul Monks, DESNZ | 15:50 | Corporate reporting perspective and discussion Steve Spittle, GlobalTrust |
| 13:25 | Workshop Objectives Paul Green, NPL | | |
| | | 16:20 | In-situ emissions monitoring and regulations and discussion Convenor: Rod Robinson, NPL |
| 13:55 | National / international regulations and IMEO initiatives | | |
| | US regulation – EPA – Dan Cusworth, CarbonMapper EU regulation – Kalvani Ramanan, University of Edinburgh | 17:30 | Welcome Drinks Reception – Bushy House, NPL |
| | IMEO initiatives – James France, IMEO | 19:30 | Workshop Dinner – The King's Head |
| | | | 123 High Street, Teddington, TW11 8HG |
| 14:40 | Break | | |
| | | | |



Agenda – Tues 27th Feb

Tuesday 27 February

| 08:30 | Registration and Refreshments |
|-------|--|
| 09:00 | Welcome and day plan |
| | Paul Green, NPL |
| 09:10 | Space Agencies' perspectives |
| | ESA perspective – Angelika Dehn / Claire MacIntosh, ESA |
| | US GHG Centre perspective – Argyro Kavvada, US GHG Centre |
| | JAXA perspective – Osamu Ochiai, JAXA |
| | Chinese perspective – Dongxu Yang, IAP |
| | Korean perspective – Hayoung Park, SNU |
| 09:50 | Mission-specific perspectives |
| | TROPOMI capability – Ilse Aben, SRON |
| | Super-emitters – GHGSat – Jason McKeever, GHGSat |
| | Super-emitters – CarbonMapper – Dan Cusworth, CarbonMapper |
| | Super-emitter – MethaneSat – Luis Guanter, UPV / EDF |
| | Super-emitter – Non-dedicated missions – Javier Gorrono, UPV |
| 10:30 | Break |
| 11:00 | Standards tenets and discussion |
| | Convenor: Paul Green, NPL |
| 12:00 | Terminology and Nomenclature and discussion |
| | Convenor: Annmarie Eldering, NIST |

| 13:00 | Lunch |
|-------|---|
| 14:00 | Standards for concentration / Enhancement / L2 methods and discussion |
| | Convenor: Angelika Dehn, ESA |
| 15:00 | Standards for emissions estimates / flux / L4 methods and discussion |
| | Convenor: Paul Palmer, University of Edinburgh |
| 16:00 | Break |
| 16:30 | Validation: controlled release, TCCON / COCON, standards and discussion |
| | Convenor: Jon Helmore, NPL |
| | |
| | Convenor: Dan Zimmerle, CSU |









The Committee on Earth Observation Satellites

Agenda – Weds 28th Feb



Wednesday 28 February

| 08:30 | Registration and Refreshments |
|-------|--|
| 09:00 | Consensus recommendations and discussion |
| | Steering committee |
| 10:15 | Terminology & nomenclature review and discussion |
| | Steering committee |
| 11:15 | Break |
| 11:45 | Next steps and consensus plan |
| | Steering committee |
| 12:30 | AOB |
| | Sarah Glencross, NPL |
| 12:45 | Closing remarks |
| | Beth Greenaway, UKSA |
| 13:00 | Lunch |
| 14:00 | Close of day 3 & workshop |







The Committee on Earth Observation Satellites

Recommendations - Standards definitions



- Definitions: Best practise is 'voluntary' & compliance statements are open to interpretation.
 Standards are specific, allowing an objective Y/N compliance statement.
- The evolution is assumed to be 'best-practise' > community(-accepted) standard > CEOS adoption > CEN/ANSI/ISO formulation when sufficiently mature.
- A standard will be focused on methods and reporting, not a static threshold performance basis.
- Any standard should be agile enough to allow evolution of methods in an immature field.
- The evolution of the standard should consider back-comparability.
- Early compliance to the standard seen as a mark of rigorousness and trust. Compliance not required to operate in field. Graded compliance (Gold/Silver/Bronze) expected. Should encompass a 'minimum viable' to 'ideal' scale to maximise pragmatism.
- A defined set of a limited number of metrics to judge a product
- Defined to prevent the misuse of data (intentional or otherwise) and foster good use. Standards can also be misused, so need to be explicit.



Recommendations – CH₄ product standards



- Need standards to suit use cases. Some underlying standard/best practise on product and metadata content, with specific focus on facility scale emissions for:
 - Alert
 - Super emitter quantification (for regulation)
 - Time-averaged emission (per facility/basin)
- Need agreed terminology. Use existing definitions and taxonomy in remote sensing and GHG monitoring, as no need to reinvent the wheel. Concentrate on plume identification and quantification (L3 > L4) as driven by new technology and legislation/regulation.
 - Identified terms/concepts: background, separation of plume origin, source and attribution concepts, source rate error & contributors, probability of detection vs detection limit. Different/simpler terminology for different use cases. System probability of detection curve vs individual detection metric.
- Clear separation of measured quantities (concentration/enhancement) and non-measured quantities (e.g. wind) – measured quantities need traceability





Recommendations – CH₄ product levels



- Define the L1 / L2 / L3 / L4 data product & metadata requirements.
- L1 not critical development area as radiometric/spectroscopic standards exist.
- Concentration (dry mole fraction) is well defined but need work for other L2 quantities.
- L3 the delineation of plumes from a concentration/enhancement 'map' a key development area for best practise and approach standards.
 - Define sub-level process and define required metadata reporting per sub-level. e.g. max enhancement, background discrimination, wind direction sanity check, surface reflectance masking etc.... Al trained on x, human inspection/expert opinion against protocol y etc.
- L4 emission/flux estimates from a L3 selection or direct from L2 a key development area for best practise and approach standards.
 - Define sub-level process and define required metadata reporting per sub-level. E.g. plume origin location uncertainty, define underlying assumptions (human or coded). QC has strong expert judgement element. Source attribution (to a facility/component) dependant on database quality. Wind products and treatment key area of development.







Recommendations – Metadata, uncertainties & transparency



- The standards should ensure relevant metadata critical to reaching a given product level is included in the product. The standard should stipulate the essential elements.
- A breakdown of major contributors to the uncertainty is required. Need to define 'major contributors' and be pragmatic in over-stipulating evidently minor contributors.
- Many missions/products have detailed documentation on metadata and uncertainties so effort may be to signpost and define form.
- Transparency and reproducibility of products up the process chain is key for science users. Allows user verification of product. Some SA missions are exemplar in this regard, but commercial providers may have propriety information limitations. Definitions of fully public transparency against closed-door expert review may provide a solution.
- The standard should be accompanied by a public data sandbox of (selected) data to allow users the opportunity to work with the data and generate trust through hands-on reproducibility and algorithm permutations. Is the CEOS cal/val portal a viable location for this sandbox?
- Comparability at key stages of the process required propose L1 / L2 / L3 / L4 data product definitions to enable.







Recommendations – validation



Validation is an essential element.



Recommendations – aspects not in the standard (for now)



- Timescale / persistence / sampling towards total emissions aggregation what is a large enough sample, how many samples?
- Framework for forward model bias estimates



Near-term (workshop) timeline



- IWGGMS abstract deadline 7th 15th March
- Overview presentation for CEOS WG climate GHG TT meeting Mon 11th March
- Draft Recommendations & timeline summary slide deck share with (key) workshop participants by 22nd March
- Presentation to CEOS SIT 9th April (15 mins on schedule)
- Circulate draft workshop report to participants mid- late-April (after CEOS SIT including any points raised)
- IWGGMS 20 presentation 29-31 May outline recommendations and way forward
- Finalise workshop report late-May publish? a DOI would be useful. CEOS/NPL/other?



Standards development timeline



- CEOS SIT April 2024
- Initial outlines defined by end of May what contributions needed from who.
- IWGGMS 20 presentation 29-31 May outline recommendations and way forward
- Ask for initial standards inputs June-July-August from <10 key stakeholders</p>
- Review meeting July? Webex
- Initial standards outlines Sept 2024
- CEOS technical meeting Sept 2024
- IPCC workshop ??
- IMEO workshop late 2024 TBD
- COP29 ??
- COP30 adoption by CEOS







- Review sister standards from ground-based methane monitoring
- Collate all existing best practise documentation & initiatives (CEOS L1 & L2 / medusa etc.)
- Start best practise methodology document
 - Define outline (started) product(s), audience/user,
 - Generalised workflow
 - Define Lx data definitions
 - Define key metrics (at each stage/level) [research commonalities and differences]
 - Define key ancillary data and assumptions [research commonalities and differences]
 - Define review team (NPL, NIST, CarbonMapper, GHGSat, IMEO, Harvard, JPL-EMIT..) for May 2024 timescale.

