

Committee on Earth Observation Satellites

DATA DISCUSSION

WG Disasters 12 26 September, 2019 – Reykjavik, Island

Pierric Ferrier, DCT WGDis

Use of CNES data

CE



CNES agreed quotas versus what has been really asked

ACTORS		ANNUAL DEMAND - Km²	CNES AGREED - Km²	FINALLY ASKED - Km²	% AGREED QUOTA USED (as of end of Aug. 2019)
GEO-DARMA Mekong flood pilot		3 000	3 000	0	0%
Volcano demonstrator		20 000	20 000	3 000	15%
Seismic demonstrator		20 000	20 000	5 000	25%
es	1- Hawai volcanoes	N.A.			
<mark>Supersite</mark>	2-Icelandic volcanoes	5 000	5 000	3 000	60%
be	3-Etna volcanoes				
t Su	4-Campi Flegrei/Vesuvius volcanoes				
<mark>permanent</mark>	5-Marmara Western North Anatolian fault				
lan	6-Taupo Volcano				
L L	7-Ecuador Volcanoes	5 000	5 000	0	0%
ă	8-Greek (Enceladus) Corinth Gulf/Ionan Islands	8 000	0		
NSL	9-San Andreas Fault NL				
U	10-Southern Andes Volcanoes	5 000	5 000	0	0%
	11-Virunga volcanoes	11 000	11 000	9 500	86%
	12-Peru (new mars 2019)				
(*)	Azgekleh Earthquake Iran/Irak	10 000	10 000	10 000	100%
landslide Pilot		13 000	6 000	900	15%
	GEOGLAM , GFOI	N.A.			
(*)	Event supersite	100 000	85 000	31 400	37%



Use of CNES data



As a consequence, CNES decied to allocate additional ressources to face the supersites needs (under discussion with Stefano Salvi).

 \rightarrow BUT:

- This is not in line with an optimal use of this costly resource (VHR images)
- It prevents some requesters to get their quota (including those outside the WGDis sphere)
- **Proposals to be discussed:**
 - Are the other agencies (w/o free access to the data e.g. Asi, DLR...) in line with these remarks ?
 - **Could it be possible that each one of these agencies elaborate** such a table giving a mid-year status and then realocate some of the unsued ressources and present the result at the automne meeting? 3