



# Strengthening Disaster Management using Earth Observations – GEOSS and CEOS Activities –

George Percivall, Nadine Alameh; OGC John Evans, GST Karen Moe, NASA

**IGARSS 2012** 











### **GEOSS and CEOS coordination on DM**

- GEO and CEOS are advancing the use of Earth Observations (EO) in Disaster Management (DM)
- GEOSS informs risk management and disaster reduction with EO-derived information.
  - Architecture Implementation Pilot (AIP)
- CEOS developing GEOSS Architecture for the Use of Satellites for DM and Risk Assessment

– GA.4.Disasters

• Coordinated GEOSS and CEOS activities





**GEOSS connects Observations to Decisions** 







### Architecture Implementation Pilot and DM

- AIP-1: GEOSS Common Infrastructure (GCI) prototype
  - Applied to DM: oil spill, volcano, hurricane
- AIP-2: Disaster Management Scenario
  - Scenario and Use Case driven development
  - Applied to flooding; Recast to other disasters
- AIP-3: Near- real-time dispatching during response
  - Component architecture confirmed
- AIP-4: DM based on EC BRISEIDE project
- AIP-5: Coordination with CEOS on DM in process

*"Fostering interoperability arrangements and common practices for GEOSS"* 





#### **AIP Disaster Management Results**



### **Engineering Components:**

GEO GROUP ON EARTH OBSERVATIONS Host data, Interact thru Services









### **GEOSS AIP DM Findings**

- Harm from disasters is minimized by rapid and seamless transfer and use of EO and other geospatial information
- Distribution of alerts, e.g. CAP, GeoRSS, must include geographic location to be useful.
- Replace "order" with "access" to speed delivery and analysis
- Accurate fusion of EO and other geospatial information requires use of open standards
  - OGC Web Processing Service (WPS) for algorithms
- GEOSS approach of interoperable components and services has been shown to improve DM planning and response
  - Aid levels for disaster response can be released prior to on-site damage assessment.

### GEOSS Architecture for the Use of Satellites for Disaster Management and Risk Assessment (GA.4.Disasters)





People – Sensors – Data – Processes – Decision Support

Project of the Committee on Earth Observation Satellites (CEOS) Working Group on Information Systems and Services (WGISS)

- Need a holistic treatment of all phases
  - of the disaster management lifecycle
    - response, recovery, mitigation, preparation
- Many activities by many players
  - Many ad hoc arrangements
  - Limited effectiveness, efficiency
- Unclear how new suppliers can plug in their data / services
- Unclear how new users can tap into these data / services
- Unclear what resources are shared ... missing ... interdependent ... isolated

**GA.4.Disasters: Problem Statement** 

- Need to establish partnerships, standards, vocabulary, etc., in advance of disaster events
- Need a precise, common understanding of processes, resources, and needs





# GA.4.Disasters Approach: Practitioner Case Studies



- Collect insights, practices, vocabulary, mechanisms, lessons from practitioners in the field
  - To ground and supplement architecture use cases
  - Focus on Enterprise, Information, Computation viewpoints
- Disaster response experiences
  - *e.g.*, 2008 China / Sichuan earthquake;
    2011 Japan / Tohoku earthquake / tsunami; 2011 Thailand floods
- Technology Pilots
  - *e.g.*, Namibia Sensor Web Flood Pilot; Ukraine / ESA Wide Area Grid;
    Virtual Mission Operation Center (VMOC)
- Satellite Data Brokers
  - e.g., International Charter Space and Major Disasters
  - Disaster Monitoring Constellation (DMCii); Sentinel Asia
- Value-added service providers
  - e.g., NASA SERVIR; GEOSS Geohazards Supersites

## GA.4.Disasters Case Studies: starting points for data collection







Summarize the disaster event in a few 7. sentences Who participated in disaster response, 2. recovery, or preparations? How did these organizations or individuals 3. 4. Who was involved in supplying satellite information? What satellite information was used to support these activities? What other observations might've been useful? What processing was performed on the data? 6. (e·g·, reformatting; georectification; interpretation, classification) How could information support to these activities been streamlined? Or, how could these activities have taken better advantage of available information?





- Purpose, scope, structure
  - More effective, efficient use of satellite data in disaster management
  - Disaster lifecycle phases
  - Disaster types e.g.,
    - Natural: Geophysical, Hydrological, Climatological, Meteorological, Biological
    - Manmade: Conflict, Famine, Displaced
      populations, Industrial / Transport Accidents
  - Stakeholders
    - Civil defense agencies; Regional / Global data brokers;
    - CEOS / WGISS member agencies; GEO/GEOSS; UN-SPIDER
- Policies / Principles
  - (Interoperating) System of (autonomous) Systems
  - GEOSS data sharing principles; Interoperability arrangements
- Basis
  - GEOSS Strategic Targets, GEO 2012-2015 Work Plan, CEOS Disasters SBA requirements, AIP, others



# GA.4.Disasters: Information Viewpoint elements



- Observation requirements vs. disaster types and lifecycle phases
- Observations by orbital sensor types
- Satellite data processing in disaster management – *e.g.*,
  - Decoding raw satellite feeds
  - Georeferencing / georectification
  - Atmospheric correction
  - Image interpretation, feature extraction
  - Image resampling / tiling for interactive visualization
  - Image enhancement (e.g., pan sharpening)
- Semantics / ontologies / translation
- Metadata for discovery and assessing fitness-for-use







- Priority service types for satellite data in disaster management
  - Data Access
  - Data Processing
    - esp. Image Interpretation
  - Portrayal
  - Sensor Tasking
  - User Management
    - esp. for sensor tasking
- Constraints and requirements
  - for satellite data services in disaster management
    - Near-real-time performance
    - Cross-community interoperability
    - Easy access by a variety of users
    - Streamlined system maintenance
    - Service-oriented approach vs. push / broadcast



![](_page_15_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_1.jpeg)

### **CEOS and GEOSS coordination on DM**

- Coordinated development of GEOSS Architecture for Disaster Management Reference Model led by CEOS
   Use of RM-ODP increases coordination
- Refine Disaster Management Scenario further via implementation in GEOSS AIP-5
- Refine use cases and interoperability for GEOSS components in Disaster Management
  - Discovery, access, processing, workflow
  - Authentication and authorization
- Continuously engage the international DM community to fully utilize EO resources for societal benefit

![](_page_16_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### References

- GEO
  - earthobservations.org
- GEO Architecture Implementation Pilot
  - www.ogcnetwork.net/Alpilot
- GEOSS Registries and SIF
  - geossregistries.info
- CEOS/WGISS GA.4.Disasters project:
  - <u>tinyurl.com/GA4Disasters</u>

![](_page_16_Picture_10.jpeg)